BREEDING SUCCESS OF THE COWBIRD

HOWARD YOUNG

The Brown-headed Cowbird (Molothrus ater) has always been of special interest as our only obligate nest parasite. Since Friedmann's (1929) monograph, there have been many published notes on new species victimized, and papers on the breeding activities of other species frequently comment on the effect of cowbird parasitism. However, the success of the parasite itself has usually received only incidental attention in these reports.

Studying the reproductive activity of such a species, which builds no nest, obviously presents special problems. Under unusual circumstances it may be possible to trace the activities of an individual female, if the egg markings are distinctive, as was done by Walkinshaw (1949). But ordinarily this cannot be done with any degree of accuracy.

To investigate breeding success in the cowbird, the only feasible approach is to record all eggs laid, then carefully keep track of them, and note the number of eggs which produce fledglings.

Considerable data of this sort are imbedded in various papers, so that an attempt to estimate the cowbird breeding success seems reasonable at this time. The material that follows contains data gleaned from these different papers, plus information I gathered during field studies in Wisconsin. The field studies during 1959 and 1960 were supported by NSF Grant G-7446.

It is evident that the cowbird breeding success varies widely with the species parasitized. The Robin, for example, almost never accepts the cowbird egg, and the same applies to the Catbird. In these cases the striking contrast of the mottled cowbird eggs against the blue of the hosts' eggs is probably the cause of the rejection. The Cedar Waxwing also appears to be unsatisfactory as a foster parent. I have found single nestling cowbirds in three different waxwing nests. In each case the parasite died in the nest, while the waxwing young fledged. The color of the mouth of the young waxwing is distinctive, and it may be that the gaping of the young cowbirds was not a proper stimulus, so that they were not adequately fed. I find no records of cowbirds fledging successfully from waxwing nests.

Because none of these three species is parasitized to any significant extent, this low success has no great effect on cowbird breeding. This suggests the possibility that the cowbird is adapted to parasitize most frequently those birds which are most likely to rear cowbird young successfully—a point which will be considered in more detail later.

In general, however, the breeding efficiency of the cowbird appears to be quite low. Norris (1947) presented information on 74 parasitized nests of

15 different species. One hundred and eight cowbird eggs laid in these nests resulted in only 29 cowbird fledglings. In other words the breeding success was 27 per cent.

Berger (1951) reported on 112 parasitized nests of 20 different species. In these cases, 204 cowbird eggs produced 43 fledglings, a breeding success of only 22 per cent.

When data are gathered for a number of different specific hosts (Table 1), a range of success in cowbird breeding is found. For most of these hosts the data are inadequate, but for three different hosts there are data on 75 or more cowbird eggs. Of these, the Red-eyed Vireo (23 per cent of 121 cowbird eggs produced fledglings) and the Song Sparrow (30 per cent of 223 cowbird eggs produced fledglings) show results comparable to those cited immediately above. The Yellow Warbler (only 8 per cent of 75 cowbird eggs produced fledglings) seems not to be a particularly desirable host, even though it is frequently parasitized (40 per cent of 126 nests).

For certain of the other species, some information can be gained by grouping data. Among the warblers, 23 per cent of 251 cowbird eggs, and among the fringillids, 27 per cent of 361 cowbird eggs produced fledglings.

It will be noted that fledging success is considerably in excess of hatching success, and this pattern is repeated in the majority of the host species. The reason is apparent; there are certain hazards faced by both eggs and nestlings: predation, weather, death of the female, etc. But in addition there are dangers particularly faced by the cowbird egg, but not by the young. Many birds will desert when a strange egg appears, some will remove it, some will bury it. In addition, a significant number of cowbird eggs are laid in nonactive nests, where desertion has occurred, or even in nests where the young have already fledged.

Considering the 36 host species listed in Table 1, the over-all success of the cowbird was 25 per cent (218 fledglings from 879 eggs). However, to get a precise figure, one would have to know what proportion of cowbird eggs are laid in the nests of each parasitized species, which have varying suitability as hosts.

Such exact figures are unavailable. However, the success of cowbird breeding efforts will be determined mainly by those eggs laid in the nests of abundant species which are commonly parasitized. These will constitute the bulk of its reproductive attempts.

Table 1 shows five common species with at least 40 per cent parasitism, for which information is available on at least 50 cowbird eggs. These are: Redeyed Vireo, Ovenbird, Yellow Warbler, Yellowthroat, and Song Sparrow. Totalled, the data on these species again give a cowbird success of 25 per cent (134 young fledged from 543 eggs).

Toylog				-	TABLE								You
Total			ပ်	WBIRD]	Зкеерп	ve Succ	ESS						ng
Phoebe 15 5 33 8 1.6 5 63 5 100 63 Berger (1951)	Hat maria	Total	Par	ra- red	Total cow-	Avg.	hat	ggs	You	ung Iged	eggs produc-		
phoebe) 15 5 33 8 1.6 5 63 5 100 63 Berger (1951) idonax virescens) 68 17 25 19 1.1 7 37 7 100 37 Brandt (1947); Walkin-shaw (1961) ullii) 115 12 10 16 1.3 8 50 5 63 31 Brank (1961) Walkinshaw (1961) nensis) 37 3 8 3 1.0 2 67 2 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkin-shaw (1961) nensis) 3,349 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkin-shaw (1961) na rutum) 19 1 2 67 2 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkin-shaw (1961) na rutum) 19 1 2 67 2 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkin-shaw (1961) s) 11 4 1.3	caraers rout	nests	No.	%	eggs	para- sitized nest	No.	%	No.	%	ing fledg - lings	References	
Secretary Graph 115 12 10 16 1.3 8 50 5 63 31 Barta (1947); Walkingman 115 12 10 16 1.3 8 50 5 63 31 Barta (1961) Malkinshaw (1961) Ma	Eastern Phoebe (Sayornis phoebe)	15	ī.	33	∞	1.6	2	63	5	100	63	Berger (1951)	
115 12 10 16 1.3 8 50 5 63 31 Batts (1981); Berger (1951); Walkinshaw (1961)	Acadian Flycatcher (Empidonax virescens)	89	17	22	19	1.1	2	37	2	100	37	(1947);	•
must) 37 3 8 3 1.0 2 67 2 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkinshaw (1962); Walkinshaw (1962); Walkinshaw (1962); Walkinshaw (1963); W	Traill's Flycatcher (E. traillii)	115	35	2	76	33	œ	S	ц	63	21	shaw (1961)	JOW
mensis 3,349 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkinsham (1961) nensis 3,349 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkinsham (1961) na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young (1947); Young (1947) s) 200 2 1 2 1.0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young (1947) s) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947); Young (1947) sida cedrorum 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 0 Dear (1947); Young (1947) sida cedrorum 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<	``````````````````````````````````````		ļ	2	2	2	,	3	י	3	0.0	Datts (1990); Detger (1951); Walkinshaw	DI
must) 37 3 8 3 1.0 2 67 2 100 67 Terrill (1961); Walkinshaw (1961) nensis) 3,349 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 100 8 Berger (1951); Nickell (1958); Norris (1947); na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young (1948); Young (1948)												(1961); Young	III
nensis) 3,349 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 100 8 Berger (1951); Nickell (1951); Nickell (1953); Norris (1947); na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young (1951); Norris (1947); s) 200 2 1 2 1.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young (1951); Norris (1947); ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947); ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947); illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947); inaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1953); Young ca petechia) 126 51 121	Least Flycatcher (E. minimus)	37	က	œ	က	1.0	7	29	2	100	29	Terrill (1961); Walkin-	JЪ
nensis) 3,549 11 0.3 13 1.2 1 8 1 10 8 Be na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 0 0 B mustelina) 18 3 17 4 1.3 1 25 1 100 25 B ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 N illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 0 0 ivaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Ba ca petechia) 126 51 40 75 15 8 11 6 75 8 Ba	() () () () () () () () () ()	0	:	•	;	,		(i			shaw (1961)	ш
na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young s) 200 2 1 2 1.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 25 1 100 25 Berger (1951); Young ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947) lda cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam ila cedrorum) 93 7 8 1.8 0 0 0 Mumford (1952) ivacceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958)	Cathird (Dumetella carolinensis)	3,349	Ξ	0.3	E.	7:7		x	_	100	∞	Berger (1951); Nickell	4141.
na rufum) 19 1 5 2 2.0 0 0 0 Norris (1947); Young s) 200 2 1 2 1.0 0 0 0 Batts (1958); Young mustelina) 18 3 17 4 1.3 1 25 1 100 25 Berger (1951); Young ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947) illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam ivaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1952) ivaceus) 126 51 40 75 15 8 Batts (1958); Barger												(1958); Norris (1947); Terrill (1961) \cdot Volum	/11\
s) 200 2 1 2 1.0 0 0 0 0 Batts (1958); Young mustelina) 18 3 17 4 1.3 1 25 1 100 25 Berger (1951); Norris (1947) ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947) illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam (1949); Young 6 5 83 9 1.8 0 0 0 0 Mumford (1952) ivaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958); Lawrence (1953); Norris (1947); Southern (1958) sa petechia) 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young (1951); Schrantz	Brown Thrasher (Toxostoma rufum)	19	-	Ŋ	8	2.0	С	0	_	0	C	Norris (1947) · Voung	G
mustelina) 18 3 17 4 1.3 1 25 1 100 25 Berger (1951); Norris (1947) ens) 11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947) illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 1ca (1942); Putnam illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 0 Ica (1942); Putnam ibaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1953); Lawrence ibacecus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1953); Norris (1947); ca petechia) 126 51 40 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger 1943); Young 1343); Young	Robin (Turdus migratorius)	200	87	_	2	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	Batts (1958): Young	SC
11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947)	Wood Thrush (Hylocichla mustelina)	18	က	17	4	1.3	-	22	-	100	25	Berger (1951); Norris	
11 7 64 8 1.1 8 100 4 50 50 Norris (1947)												(1947)	وتثار
illa cedrorum) 93 7 8 7 1.0 3 43 0 0 Lea (1942); Putnam (1949); Young 6 5 83 9 1.8 0 0 0 0 Mumford (1952) vaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958); Lawrence (1953); Norris (1947); ca petechia) 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young	Veery (Hylocichla fuscescens)	11	2	64	8	1.1	œ	100	4	20	20	Norris (1947)	دد
6 5 83 9 1.8 0 0 0 0 Mumford (1952) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958); Lawrence (1953); Norris (1947); Southern (1958) 2a petechia) 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young	Cedar Waxwing (Bombycilla cedrorum)	93	7	∞	~	1.0	က	43	0	0	0	Lea (1942); Putnam	
6 5 83 9 1.8 0 0 0 0 Mumford (1952) ivaceus) 131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958); Lawrence (1953); Norris (1947); Southern (1958) a petechia) 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young		,										(1949); Young	
131 67 51 121 1.8 43 36 28 65 23 Batts (1958); Lawrence (1953); Norris (1947); Southern (1958) hia 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1951); Schrantz	Bell's Vireo (Vireo bellii)	9	ro	83	6	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	Mumford (1952)	
(1953); Norris (1947); Southern (1958) (1958); Southern (1958) (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young	Red-eyed Vireo (Vireo olivaceus)	131	29	21	121	1.8	43	36	28	65	23	Batts (1958); Lawrence	
Southern (1958) 126 51 40 75 1.5 8 11 6 75 8 Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young												(1953); Norris (1947);	
120 51 40 75 1.5 0 11 0 75 0 Datts (1956); Berger (1951); Schrantz (1943); Young	Yellow Warhler (Dondroica notachia)	196	7	Ŷ	ř,	L4	٥	-	٧	r n	c	Southern (1958)	
2	tono water (Denalogue Percenta)	170	77	₽	C)	C'T	0	ī	0	C)	×	batts (1958); Berger (1951); Schemen	Т
Simo (1747)												(1931); Schrantz (1043): Voung	1 (
												gunor (C+CT)	

10																			V O	1. 7), No	. 4
	References		Terrill (1961)	Pitelka (1940)	Norris (1947)	Walkinshaw (1959)	Berger (1951); Hann (1937): Norris (1947)	Eaton (1958)	Batts (1958); Berger	(1951); Hofslund	Stewart (1953); Young	Berger (1951); Nickell (1955)	Sturm (1945)	Young		Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Nickell	(1955); Wood (1938); Young	Petersen and Young	(1950); Young	Norris (1947)	Berger (1951); Laskey (1950). Namis (1947).	Young
	% eggs produc- ing	fledg- lings	0	0	0	22	23	71	28			27	0	100	•	er E		0		40	24	
	Young	%	0	0	0	100	45	٥.	۵.			98	0	100		42		0		100	100	
	Y. fle	No.	0	0	0		13	12	19			9	0	7	1	c.		0		87	9	
	Eggs hatched	%	33	0	0	22	51	۵.	۵.			32	0	100	į	53		0		4	24	
А		No.	1	0	0	-	53	۵.	٠.			2	0	_	;	77		0		2	9	
CONTINUED	Avg.	para- sitized nest	1.5*	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.9	2.1^*	1.8			2.0	2.0	1.0	1	Ξ:		1.0		1.3	1.7	
	Total	eggs	က	-	က	4	22	17	29			22	2	1	;	48				2	22	
TABLE 1.	Para- sitized	%	43	100	20	24	29	53	43			62	9	0.8		2		_		100	39	
	Pau	No.	က	1	_	4	30	∞	37			11	-	-		45		_		4	15	
	Total	nests	7	-	2	17	21	15	88			14	18	120		,021		83		4	38	!
	i i	Host Species	Black-throated Blue Warbler (D. caerulescens)	Black-throated Green Warbler (D. virens)	Chestnut-sided Warbler $(D, pensylvanica)$	Prairie Warbler (D. discolor)	Ovenbird (Seiurus aurocapillus)	Louisiana Water-thrush (S. motacilla)	Yellowthroat (Geothlypis trichas)			Yellow-breasted Chat (Icteria virens)	American Bedstart (Setophaga ruticilla)	Yellow-headed Blackbird (Xanthocephalus	xanthocephalus	Red-winged Blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus) 2,021		Common Grackle (Ouiscalus	quiscula versicolor)	Scarlet Tanager (Piranga olivacea)	Cardinal (Richmondena cardinalis)	

		`	TABLE 1.		CONTINUED	٩						Young
D'oct mooile	Total	Pa siti	Para- sitized	Total	Avg.		Eggs hatched	You	Young	eggs produc-		
TIOSE SPECIES	nescs	No.	%	eggs	para- sitized nest	No.	%	No.	%	fledg- lings	hererences	
Rose-breasted Grosbeak (Pheucticus ludovicianus)	က	7	09	61	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	Berger (1951); Young	
Indigo Bunting (Passerina cyanea)	76	12	46	17	1.4	9	35	9	100	24	Berger (1951); Phillips (1951); Norris (1947); Young	
American Goldfinch (Spinus tristis)	326	က	0.9	4	2.0*	0	0	0	0	0	Berger (1951); Stokes (1950); Young	
Rufous-sided Towhee (Pipilo erythrophthalmus)	42	21	20	36	1.7	16	48	12	22	36	Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Nice (1937); Norris (1947); Walkinshaw (1938)	
Vesper Sparrow (Pooecetes gramineus)	13	က	23	က	1.0	က	100	-	33	33	Batts (1958); Berger (1951)	
Chipping Sparrow (Spizella passerina)	91	10	11	12	1.2	81	11	2	100	17	Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Norris (1947); Walkinshaw (1944)	
Clay-colored Sparrow (S. pallida)	19	∞	43	14	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	Fox (1961); Walkin- shaw (1939)	
Field Sparrow (S. pusilla)	126	19	15	22	1.3	7	28	5	71	25	Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Norris (1947)	
Song Sparrow (Melospiza melodia)	329	149	45	223	1.5	124	26	89	55	30	Batts (1958); Berger (1951); Nice (1937); Norris (1947);	113
* Information not available on all nests.												

It was suggested earlier that the cowbird seldom parasitized those species which were not good hosts. This idea also can be examined by using data from Table 1. Here we find five species, Traill's Flycatcher, Catbird, Redwinged Blackbird, Field Sparrow, and Chipping Sparrow, where the incidence of parasitism does not exceed 15 per cent, and where at least 10 cowbird eggs are recorded. The number of eggs in this sample is small, but it is clear that data on cowbird eggs from lightly parasitized nests will accumulate very slowly, so it is appropriate to use the information at hand. In this group we find a cowbird success of 16 per cent (18 fledglings from 114 eggs). This shows a significant difference from the heavily parasitized hosts ($x^2 = 4.185$, P < 0.05), but more information would be desirable. As previously noted, the Yellow Warbler also appears to be a poor host, but is heavily parasitized.

The poorer success of the cowbird with the lightly parasitized group seems to be due almost entirely to differences in egg acceptance. Forty-three per cent (204/476) of the cowbird eggs hatched in the nests of heavily parasitized species, while only 26 per cent (30/114) hatched in the nests of the lightly parasitized hosts. The difference is highly significant ($\chi^2 = 10.516$, P < 0.01). The fledging success was similar in each group: 56 per cent (115 fledglings from 204 nestlings) in the nests of heavily parasitized species, and 60 per cent (18 fledglings from 30 nestlings) in the nests of those lightly parasitized. The difference here is of no statistical significance ($\chi^2 = 0.015$, P > 0.90).

While no general rule for cowbird reproductive success is advanced here, the information indicates that figures in the range of 20 to 30 per cent will often approach the over-all actual success. As with other species, of course, this will vary with the season, locality, and other factors.

Studies of other passerine species usually indicate a considerably better fledgling/egg ratio. For example, a sample of 548 Robin eggs (Young, 1955) showed 45 per cent of them producing fledglings. Nice (1937) found 36 per cent of Song Sparrow eggs producing fledglings, and a study of six species (Young, 1949) showed an over-all average of 40 per cent.

The unimpressive breeding efficiency of the cowbird is perhaps compensated for by increased egg production. This is typical of parasitic forms—witness the myriads of eggs produced by helminth endoparasites, scarcely any of which result in a new adult. Conceivably the cowbird is adapted in a similar fashion, but of course on a much milder scale.

Information on this point is scanty, but such as there is does not invalidate the idea. According to Friedmann (op. cit.), a caged cowbird laid 13 eggs in 14 days. And Walkinshaw (1949) estimated 25 eggs produced during one season by a single female. If this latter case is at all typical, and if 25 per cent approaches the actual fledging rate, we would have an average of about

six young produced per adult female per season. Data on the Robin (Young, 1955) indicate that the average female produces about 11.5 eggs per season, which result in about 5.6 fledglings. The figures on cowbird production, of course, are purely hypothetical, and show that much still needs to be learned about the life history of this species.

Although there is ample evidence to show that cowbird parasitism reduces the success of the host species (Nice, op. cit.; Norris, op. cit.; Hann, 1937; etc.), it must be remembered that these species have evolved with the problem, and over centuries they have demonstrated their ability to survive with the cowbird. While it is not a popular species, the cowbird is a natural part of our American avifauna, with a reproductive pattern distinctive among native species. Fewer cowbird eggs should be removed by well-meaning people, and more information should be gathered on their fate, so that eventually we may get a more detailed and accurate picture of its breeding biology.

SUMMARY

Data were gathered on the hatching and fledging success of 879 cowbird eggs from 580 nests of 36 host species. Fledging success was distinctly better than hatching success, reflecting the special perils faced by the cowbird eggs, and over-all reproductive success was 25 per cent (218 fledglings from 879 eggs). The fledging rate for eggs laid in the nests of heavily parasitized species was 25 per cent; for those laid in the nests of lightly parasitized nests it was 16 per cent. The difference appears to be due to varying degrees of egg acceptance. It is hypothesized that there are approximately six young produced per adult female cowbird per season.

LITERATURE CITED

BATTS, H. L., JR.

1958 The distribution and population of nesting birds on a farm in southern Michigan. Jack-Pine Warbler, 36:131-149.

Berger, A. J.

1951 The cowbird and certain host species in Michigan. Wilson Bull., 63:26-64. Brandt, A. E.

1947 The rearing of a cowbird by Acadian Flycatchers. Wilson Bull., 59:79-82. EATON, S. W.

1958 A life history study of the Louisiana Water-thrush. Wilson Bull., 70:211-236. Fox, G. A.

 $1961\,$ A contribution to the life history of the Clay-colored Sparrow. $Auk,\,78\!:\!220\!-\!224.$ Friedmann, H.

1929 The cowbirds. A study in social parasitism. Charles C Thomas Co., Springfield, Ill. Hann, H. W.

1937 Life history of the Oven-bird in southern Michigan. Wilson Bull., 49:145-237. Hofslund, P. B.

1957 Cowbird parasitism of the Northern Yellow-throat. Auk, 74:42-48.

LASKEY, A. R.

1950 Cowbird behavior. Wilson Bull., 62:157-174.

LAWRENCE, L. DE K.

1953 Nesting life and behavior of the Red-eyed Vireo. Can. Field Nat., 67:47-77.

LEA, R. B.

1942 A study of the nesting habits of the Cedar Waxwing. Wilson Bull., 54:225-237. Mumford, R. E.

1952 Bell's Vireo in Indiana. Wilson Bull., 64:224-233.

NICE, M. M.

1937 Studies in the life history of the Song Sparrow I., Trans. Linn. Soc. N. Y., 4. Nickell, W. P.

1955 Notes on cowbird parasitism of four species. Auk, 72:88-92.

1958 Brown-headed Cowbird fledged in nest of Cathird. Wilson Bull., 70:286-287. NORRIS, R. T.

1947 The cowbirds of Preston Frith. Wilson Bull., 59:83-103.

PETERSEN, A., AND H. YOUNG

1950 A nesting study of the Bronzed Grackle. Auk, 67:466-475.

PHILLIPS, R. S.

1951 Nest location, cowbird parasitism, and nesting of the Indigo Bunting. Wilson Bull., 63:206-207.

PITELKA, F. A.

1940 Breeding behavior of the Black-throated Green Warbler. Wilson Bull., 52:3-18. PUTNAM, L. S.

1949 The life history of the Cedar Waxwing. Wilson Bull., 61:141-182.

Schrantz, F. G.

1943 Nest life of the Eastern Yellow Warbler. Auk, 60:367-387.

SOUTHERN, W. E.

1958 Nesting of the Red-eyed Vireo in the Douglas Lake Region, Michigan. *Jack-Pine Warbler*, 36:105-134, 185-207.

STEWART, R. E.

1953 A life history study of the Yellow-throat. Wilson Bull., 65:99-115.

STOKES, A. W.

1950 Breeding behavior of the Goldfinch. Wilson Bull., 62:107-127.

STURM, L.

1945~ A study of the nesting activities of the American Redstart. $Auk,\,62\colon\!189\text{--}206.$ Terrill, L. McI.

1961 Cowbird hosts in southern Quebec. Can. Field Nat., 75:2-11.

WALKINSHAW, L. H.

1938 A local nesting habit of the towhee. Wilson Bull., 50:284.

1939 Notes on the nesting of the Clay-colored Sparrow. Wilson Bull., 51:17-21.

1944 The Eastern Chipping Sparrow in Michigan. Wilson Bull., 56:193-205.

1949 Twenty-five eggs apparently laid by a cowbird. Wilson Bull., 61:82-85.

1959 The Prairie Warbler in Michigan. Jack-Pine Warbler, 37:54-63.

1961 The effect of parasitism by the Brown-headed Cowbird on *Empidonax* Flycatchers in Michigan. Auk., 78:266-268.

Wood, H. B.

1938 Nesting of the Red-winged Blackbirds. Wilson Bull., 50:143-144.

Young, H.

1949 A comparative study of nesting birds in a five-acre park. Wilson Bull., 61: 36-47.

1955 Breeding behavior and nesting of the Eastern Robin. Am. Midl. Nat., 53:329-352.

WISCONSIN STATE COLLEGE, LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN, 9 APRIL 1962