How to rewrite a paper: Culling common errors

Hannah B. Suthers

I. Introduction

A previous paper of mine outlined how to get your story down on paper and in publishable form (H.B. Suthers, NABB 2:110-112, 1977). A large part of the labor in producing a brain child consists of rewriting. Then, too, the editor's and referees' verdicts are invariably: rewrite.

This paper offers suggestions on how to overcome the "rewrite" blues. The title was chosen deliberately. You are not going to avoid errors in writing, and you don't want to be concerned about errors while rapidly writing down your first draft. The first draft is your raw material, the essence of what you want to say. The task of the rewrite is to give shape to your raw material, to discipline it into a concise form, free of errors.

Then, to overcome the initial paralysis from the editorial dictum to rewrite, you may need to go through a similar process to the one you underwent with the first draft. You will need to overcome the tendency to regard your written word as set, fixed. You must think of better ways of saying the same thing.

The aids that follow are applicable toward both your rough draft and your revision that the editor requires for publication.



II. How to check the rough draft

A. Check organization by outlining your story

- 1. Like a skeleton in a body, an outline gives shape to your paper
- 2. For example, this presentation is in outline form
 - a) A formal outline makes you think through your ideas more thoroughly
 - b) If a formal outline blocks you, simply list your ideas under headings

- B. Check that the parts meet their purpose
 - 1. The introduction should state clearly and concisely the thesis of the paper
 - 2. The body should develop every issue in the introduction
 - a) Statements should be supported by data
 - b) The body should not be too brief to develop ideas
 - c) Nor too long with unnecessary repetition
 - 3. Conclusions should be logical, based on data
 - 4. The summary should list findings or conclusions
- C. Make a clean copy with wide margins, space on bottom
- D. Set the draft aside for at least a few days
 1. You want the written word to get cold in your memory
 - 2. Then you can see it again with a fresh mind and some impartiality
- III. How to revise the rough draft
 - A. Take the draft out of cold storage and read it with criteria II.B. in mind
 - B. Check paragraph structure
 - 1. Begin each paragraph with a topic sentence
 - 2. Follow with sentences that give reason, example, or detail in support of the topic sentence
 - 3. If the topic requires more than one paragraph, connect paragraphs with a transition sentence or phrase

Starlings are aged by length of iridescence on the throat hackles . . . As the young of some species have sparse thigh feathers . . .

Darkness of the underwing coverts is useful in separating adults from young birds . . .

Another age indicator appeared quite by surprise . . .

4. Give a conclusion to each topic before moving on

- C. Check sentence structure
 - 1. Are modifiers close to the words they modify?
 - a) Faulty order
 - If they were captured for the first time as old birds according to the earlier theory they would be classified as indeterminates and considered young.
 - b) Right order
 If they were captured for the first time as old birds they would be classified as indeterminates and according to the earlier theory considered young.
 - 2. Are constructions parallel within a sentence?
 - a) Shifted
 - Most male deaths occurred after banding year 2 when the birds were at least 4 years of age, but most females died by banding year 2 before 3 years of age.
 - b) Parallel

Most male deaths occurred after banding year 2 when the birds were at least 4 years of age, but most female deaths occurred by banding year 2 before the birds were 3 years of age.

- 3. Are the sentences wordy?
- a) Wordy

It appears to be evident that hackles wear faster on old birds.

b) Revised

Hackles wear faster on old birds.

- 4. Is the passive construction overused?
 - a) Overused

The reason that more than one tree cavity was defended by a pair was that a roost was needed as well as a nesting site.

- b) Revised
 A pair defended one tree cavity for nesting and another for roosting.
- D. Check grammar and syntax
 - 1. Is it clear to whom or to what each pronoun refers?
 - a) Unclear

Bachelor males preempted nesting cavities and by doing so they were available for future mates.

b) Revised Bachelor males preempted nesting cavities keeping them available for future mates.

- 2. Do the pronoun and antecedent agree in number, gender, and person?
 - a) Disagree When a flock flies into town to forage they disperse.
 - b) Agree When a flock flies into town to forage it disperses.
- 3. Do the subject and predicate agree in number and person?
 - a) Disagree The data indicates a differential migration.
 - b) Agree The data indicate a differential migration.
- 4. Does the participial phrase at the beginning of a sentence refer to the subject?
 - a) Dangling
 Once thought to migrate, this study
 shows that the birds are residential.
 - b) Related This study shows that the birds, once thought to migrate, are residential.
- E. Read aloud to catch errors, inconsistencies, awkwardness.
- F. Make a clean copy of the revised draft.

IV. The final draft

- A. Look at your intended journal for style of titles, subtitles, headings, punctuation, abbreviations, captions, literature cited 1. The inside cover usually has instructions
 - to authors 2. The Auk and Wilson Bulletin use the Style Manual for Biological Journals
- V. The galley proofs
 - A. Check the proof against your manuscript, word by word
 - 1. Watch for your errors, and typesetter's errors
 - 2. Watch for editor's improvements that change the meaning of your sentence
 - 3. Check for errors in tables, captions
 - 4. Check that literature citations in the text agree with the references in the Bibliography
 - B. The final say on the proofs is yours; you are responsible for what appears there

VI. In conclusion

- A. Effective communication is the goal of all your work
 - 1. Look at it as a creative challenge and have fun!
 - 2. You didn't know you had it in you, did you?
- B. If you desire help, the following people will look over your work and make suggestions for your revision. Write any one of them and send a copy of your paper:

Dr. Charles H. Blake	Mrs. Hannah B. Suthers
P.O. Box 10	4 View Point Drive
Hillsborough, NC 27278	Hopewell, NJ 08525
Mr. Walter P. Protzman P.O. Box 237 Hopewell, NJ 08525	Dr. Charles T. Collins Dept. of Biology Calif. State Univ. Long Beach, CA 90840
Dr. Jeff Swinebroad	Mrs. Eleanor L. Radke
10423 Kardwright Court	P.O. Box 446
Gaithersburg, MD 20760	Cave Creek, AZ 85331



Further aids

- The Elements of Style. William Strunk, Jr. and E.B. White. Second Ed. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York. 1972. (A 78-page gem; get this if nothing else.)
- A Dictionary of Modern English Usage. H.W. Fowler. Second Ed. Oxford Univ. Press, New York. 1965. (Alphabetical entries of topics.)
- A Dictionary of Contemporary American Usage. Bergen and Cornelia Evans. Random House, New York. 1957. (Word preferences, grammar, style, punctuation, idioms.)
- The Careful Writer, A Modern Guide to English Usage. Theodore M. Bernstein. Atheneum Press, New York. 1973. (Alphabetical entries of problems.)

4 View Point Drive, Hopewell, NJ 08525

