EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE AMERICAN KESTREL (FALCO SPARVERIUS): EXTERNAL CRITERIA FOR STAGING
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ABSTRACT.—Descriptions of embryonic development exist for a handful of bird species. Such standard information is essential for the evaluation of species-specific features and detecting abnormal morphology. The American Kestrel (Falco sparverius) is a common North American raptor that is frequently used in experimental studies as a model raptor species. We described the normal progression of embryonic development in the American Kestrel. This provides a standard for assessing American Kestrel embryos, and potentially those of other raptors. During the first half of incubation, the developmental progression of American Kestrel embryos corresponded closely to developmental stages established in the chicken (Gallus domesticus). Morphological parameters that we measured were correlated significantly with incubation day. These qualitative and quantitative descriptions provide useful benchmarks for determining age and identifying abnormalities of experimentally-treated embryos or embryos of unknown history.
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The ability to age embryos accurately and assess normal development in birds is critical to many areas of biological study. Some of the more important applications include monitoring for environmental contaminant effects and determining nutritional requirements for breeding birds. Currently, the most complete and detailed description of avian embryonic development is that done for the domestic chicken, Gallus domesticus (Hamburger and Hamilton 1951, Hamilton 1952, Bellairs and Osmond 1998). Developmental progressions have also been described for other precocial birds including Ring-necked Pheasant (Phasianus colchicus; Hermes and Woodard 1987, Labisky and Opsahl 1958), Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos; Caldwell
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and Snart 1974), Bobwhite Quail (Colinus virgini-
 anus; Roseberry and Klimstra 1965), domestic
 chicken, turkey (Meleagris gallopavo), and Japanese
 quail (Coturnix japonica) (Abbott 1967), and Adelie
 Penguin (Pygoscelis adeliae, Herbert 1967), as well
 as a handful of altricial species (Daniel 1956, Bird
 et al. 1984, Abbott et al. 1991, Hanbridge and Fox
 1996) including the American Kestrel (Falco sparvi-
 erius). In studies requiring a finely detailed assess-
 ment of development, it is desirable to have spe-
cies-specific data on which to base comparisons.
 While gross or extreme embryonic deformities and
 stunting are generally distinguishable in the ab-
sence of a reference, more subtle morphological
 changes may be overlooked without a normal stan-
dard for comparison.

With the exception of Bird et al. (1984), no spe-
cies from the Falconiformes have been described
during embryonic development. This is in spite of
the numerous potential applications of such data,
including comparative studies, aging of field col-
clected embryos, assessment of abnormal develop-
ment, and in captive breeding efforts for rare spe-
cies. In this paper we provide, through
measurements, qualitative description, and identi-
fication of specific aging criteria, a detailed normal
developmental progression of the American Kes-
trel throughout the incubation period.

Methods

Animals and Treatments. We obtained captive-bred
adult male and female American Kestrels from the Avian
Science and Conservation Centre of McGill University
(Montreal, Canada). Pedigrees for these birds were
known, although the number of generations that were
traceable varied among birds (1–4 generations). This
Group was supplemented by additional male and female
American Kestrels we obtained from wild populations in
California (Yolo and Solano counties; 38°N, 121°W). We
cared for American Kestrels according to animal care
protocols approved by the Office of the Campus Veteri-
narian at UCD.

Prior to the breeding period (early March), we paired
40 each of male and female American Kestrels and
placed them in individual breeding pens (approximately
2 m \times 2 m \times 1.75 m). Most pairs had been together for
the previous one or two breeding seasons, and had suc-
cessfully produced fertile eggs. We maintained breeding
pens at ambient temperature (range 0 to 37°C) in a large
screen-sided building that provided protection from di-
rect sunlight and rain. The building was equipped with
supplemental lighting above the breeding pens, which
was controlled by a timer set to coincide with the natural
photoperiod. Each pen was equipped with a shelf and a
rope perch, and a wooden nest box (entrance hole ap-
proximately 7 cm diameter) containing autoclaved pine
shavings to a depth of about 5 cm. We maintained birds
on a nutritionally complete commercial raptor diet (Ne-
braska Bird of Prey Diet, Central Nebraska Packing,
North Platte, Nebraska, USA), supplemented with a pow-
dered multivitamin additive (Vionate; ARC Laborator-
y Atlanta, Georgia, USA). Each pair was provided with
about 100 gm of fresh food daily, and water was provided
ad libitum.

Egg Collection and Incubation. We observed pairs daily
for normal appearance and behavior and we checked
nest boxes daily in the late afternoon for occupation by
the male and/or female American Kestrel and for newly
laid eggs. Due to this collection schedule, some eggs may
have been incubated by parent birds for up to 24 hr.
However, such a prolonged incubation was unlikely as
American Kestrels do not typically incubate until the
clutch is nearly complete (typical clutch size was 4–5
eggs). We labeled new eggs using waterproof ink with
pen number and Julian date, weighed them, then placed
them in sterilized fiber chicken egg flats for immediate
transport to cold storage (Heck and Konkel 1991). Eggs
were held in cold storage (12.5–13.0°C) for 3–4 d. Just
before the start of incubation, we placed the eggs in plas-
tic chicken flats (up to 30 eggs per flat), and fumigated
them for one h with formaldehyde gas, followed by one
hr in a formaldehyde-neutralizing compound (ammonia
gas). During this procedure, the fumigation chamber was
heated to 30°C, then cooled to 15°C. After fumigation,
we placed the eggs in an egg storage cold box at 15°C
for an additional 6 hr (until about 1800 PST on that day),
then weighed them again and allowed them to warm at
room temperature (22°C) for about 30 min before the
start of incubation. We set eggs, air cell up, in plastic set
trays designed for pheasant eggs and placed them in a
Natureform NOM-125 incubator (Natureform Hatchery
Systems, Jacksonville, Florida, USA) at 37.5°C and 55% relative humidity. In a previous study (Santolo et al.
1999), these conditions were shown to promote normal
embryonic development and successful hatching of eggs
from this colony. Eggs were automatically turned through
90° (45° right to 45° left, etc.) every 15 min. We candled
eggs daily, using a variable intensity candler (Lyon’s Elec-
tric, San Diego, CA, USA), modified with a 2.5 cm di-
ameter black rubber hose taped to the candling mask.
This modification served to move the egg further from
the heat of the light source. Eggs were candled from both
events, and a record was made of the candling appearance
for each egg. This information: (1) allowed early identi-
fication of infertile eggs and early dead embryos, and (2)
made it possible to identify pre-incubated eggs (those dis-
playing development more advanced than other eggs in
the age class). On Day 24 of incubation, we moved all
eggs with live embryos into individual hatching baskets
(sterilized plastic one pint produce baskets) in a table-
top, forced draft incubator (Lyon’s Electric, San Diego,
CA, USA), set at 37.5°C, 70–75% relative humidity.

Breakout Examination. We broke out and examined
any eggs that showed no sign of embryonic development
after 7 d to determine fertility. We also opened eggs if
the embryo appeared dead. During the first half of in-
cubation, we selected live embryos for collection based
on candling appearance. We usually collected more ad-
vanced embryos (i.e., those likely to have been pre-in-
cubated by their parents) during the late growth phase
of embryonic development, in order to minimize the effect of pre-incubation on early embryo assessments. We weighed all eggs prior to opening them. For embryo collection, we measured the air cell diameter and then cut the shell open over the air cell and emptied the contents while submerging the egg in deionized water. We removed extraembryonic membranes, then weighed the embryo and placed it in a small dish of clean deionized water. We measured diameter of the air cell to the nearest 0.5 mm with calipers, and measured yolk and albumen mass (to nearest 0.001 g; Mettler Instruments, Hightown, NJ, USA; model HR1AR), and volume (water displacement). We obtained yolk sac and albumen measurements when these could be reliably isolated from the surrounding water (i.e., no yolk or albumen measurements were made during the first week of incubation, as both materials tended to be difficult to isolate during this period). We made measurements of the head, trunk, and limbs to the nearest 0.5 mm using calipers and a metric ruler (see Fig. 1 for diagrams showing specific measurements). For each age class of embryo, we selected structures based on the degree to which they could be reliably measured and easily identified landmarks. We staged all embryos using standard chicken embryo criteria (Hamburger and Hamilton 1951).

Statistical Analyses. We used simple and second-order polynomial regression to develop equations for predicting embryo age from measured parameters and ANOVA to measure the quality of the models (SAS Institute 1998).

RESULTS

Nonviable Eggs. Infertile eggs and early embryo mortality are described below and examples are presented in Appendix 1.

Early dead. Very early failures in development will produce a mottled white membrane of varying size with a highly irregular outline spreading across the yolk. The white coloration tends to be most intense at the edge of membrane. If failure occurs slightly later, blood islets, and often parts of the embryo itself, will form. These early dead embryos may appear as a darker region in the center of the membrane.

Infertile. The infertile germ spot is mottled and whitish, with an irregular circular outline, surrounded by a slightly darker band of yolk (width
Table 1. Range of embryo stages found and the number of embryos examined during each day of incubation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCUBATION DAY</th>
<th>H &amp; H STAGE a</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27–29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>36 +</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40–44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>44 +</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>45 –</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Stage of embryonic development as described for the chicken by Hamburger and Hamilton (1951).

of the darker band is 0.5–1.0 mm). The appearance is very similar to that of the Day 0 blastoderm.

Normal Developmental Stages of the American Kestrel. The text below describes the embryonic development of the American Kestrel, emphasizing key diagnostic features for assessing embryo age and (morphologic) normalcy. Along with descriptive text, each daily account includes the approximate stage (median stage with observed range of stages in parentheses) of the embryo, based on normal stages of the domestic chick (Hamburger and Hamilton 1951; see Table 1). Selected daily accounts correspond to embryo photographs in Appendix 1–4. A minority of the descriptions for some aspects of development are clearly only relevant to living embryos and therefore are not useful in assessing dead embryos. In addition it should by noted by users that quantitative measurements of embryo features are provided as approximations based on measures of a limited number of embryos. Variability around these values is to be expected, however, it is anticipated that they will provide useful benchmarks for embryo aging. Descriptions of embryos that died very early in development and infertile eggs are also provided to assist in distinguishing these eggs from viable eggs, either unincubated or partially incubated. In determining fertility, it may be most useful to compare the descriptions for infertile eggs and Day 0 (unincubated fertile) eggs below.

Days 0 to 4. Key diagnostic criteria include qualitative characteristics and diameter of the blastodisc and yolk sac membrane. Also observed during this period are the appearance of somites, head process, and heart, and establishment of the embryonic axis.

Day 0 Blastoderm appears as a solid white disc, 1.5 mm in diameter, having distinct edges surrounded by a darker region of yolk. Yolk sac may be 2–5 mm in diameter in pre-incubated eggs.

Day 1 Stage 2 (2–3). Blastoderm appears as a distinct white ring, 1.5 mm in diameter. Yolk sac may be 13 mm in diameter in pre-incubated eggs.

Day 2 Stage 4 (3–7). Primitive streak is distinct. The entire area pellucida/area opaca appears as a raised-domed structure protruding above the surrounding yolk.

Day 3 Stage 8 (6–10). Head-fold is visible at anterior end of embryo. At least four pair (4–9) of somites are visible. Area pellucida is 3 mm long and pear-shaped.

Day 4 Stage 13 (12–16). Blood islets surround embryo. Amniotic fold covers head to hindbrain. Otic pits are visible just above first somite. Head is turning over onto left side. Heart tube is beginning to loop.

Days 5 and Onward. Aging is based primarily on development of the head, limbs and tail, and position of the embryo on the yolk. Days 8–12 focus on eye, eyelid, brain size, limb length, neck length, and trunk length. Main diagnostic features from Day 25 to hatch are sloughing of the periderm, keratinization and length of nails and beak, eye diameter, etc.

Day 5 Stage 18 (18–19). Eye: Eye unpigmented,
lens present, midbrain same size as eye.

**Yolk:** Blood moving in yolk vasculature in response to heart tube contractions. **Heart:** Heart looped in full circle. **Limb:** Wing and leg primordia are just visible. **Amnion:** Amnion may be closed but contains very little fluid. **Flexures:** Cervical flexure 90 degrees from trunk to midbrain (L-shaped). Embryo has turned onto left side from head down to mid-torso. **Tail:** Tail bud is cone-shaped and extends along main body axis.

**Day 6**

Stage 20 (17–21). **Eye:** Eye faintly pigmented, with choroid fissure clearly visible. **Visceral arches:** Otic vesicle just dorsal to 2nd and 3rd visceral clefts. Midbrain slightly larger than eye. Nasal placodes have deepened into pits. **Limb:** Both wings and legs are wider than long. **Amnion:** Amniotic sac sealed but contains very little fluid. **Flexures:** Completely turned onto left side. **Tail:** Tail bud is perpendicular to main body axis. **Allantois:** Allantoic sac just visible behind right leg bud.

Stage 23 (23–25). **Eye:** Eye heavily pigmented and lens clearly visible. Mandible is ⅔ length of the maxillary process. **Limb:** Wings and legs are as long as they are wide. **Amnion:** Amniotic sac sealed but contains very little fluid. **Flexures:** Cervical flexure 90 degrees from trunk to midbrain (L-shaped). Embryo has turned onto left side from head down to mid-torso. **Tail:** Tail bud is L-shaped. **Amnion:** Allantoic sac highly vascularized, may cover the eye and forebrain.

Stage 25. **Beak:** Sides of the beak still separated from the tip by the nasal groove. **Visceral Arches:** Otic vesicle about same size as lens. Collar at the base of the neck is distinct and raised. **Limb:** Elbow and knee joints distinct on limbs. Wing tip and foot area flattened into paddles but no digits are visible. Both wings and legs longer than wide. **Allantois:** Allantoic sac highly vascularized, may cover the eye and forebrain.

Stage 27 (25–29). **Beak:** Tip of upper beak is a square protrusion. **Limb:** Connective tissue just visible for tibia/fibula and radius/ulna. Wing middle digit longer than outer two with a slightly fan-shaped digital plate. Distinct grooves between toe primordia. Five toes visible. **Amnion:** Yolk vasculature at or approaching the albumen in the small end of the egg. Contraction of the amnion moderate and frequent. **Amnion:** Filling with fluid. **Allantois:** Allantois/chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) covers embryo except along spine.

**Day 10**

Stage 28 (27–29). **Beak:** Mandible is about ½ the length of the maxilla and very square when viewed from front. Distinct falcon’s notch (i.e., tomatial tooth) is visible just anterior to nasal groove. **Limb:** Slight grooves visible between digits on wings. **Amnion:** Faintly pigmented. **Allantois:** CAM covers embryo except along spine.

Stage 30 (30–31). **Eye:** Nictitating membrane just visible at anterior corner of eye. Upper and lower eyelid folds just visible. Eye appears about the same size as midbrain. **Beak:** Mandible has distinct bend at midpoint and is about same length as maxillary process. **Visceral Arches:** Nares may be visible at top of nasal groove. **Limb:** Legs now longer than the tail bud. Wing slightly bent at wrist. **Amnion:** Amnion mildly contractile. **Allantois:** CAM now extends over about ⅔ of yolk sac vascular region. **Allantois:** Filled with mostly clear fluid.

Stage 32 (30–33). **Eye:** Eyelids covering about ½ of eye. Approximately 2–8 scleral papillae visible. **Beak:** Distinct falcon’s tooth. Lower mandible is wider than upper mandible from frontal view. Egg tooth may be visible on top of beak. **Limb:** Alula separated from wing tip. Fifth toe may be gone. **Feathers:** Two distinct rows of feather primordia on either side of spine. **Allantois:** Lobes of CAM starting to surround albumen at small end of egg.

Stage 32–34. **Eye:** 14 scleral papillae. Nictitating membrane about ⅔ way across eyeball toward the scleral papillae. **Beak:** Distinct falcon’s tooth. Lower mandible is wider than upper mandible from frontal view. Egg tooth may be visible on top of beak. **Limb:** Alula separated from wing tip. Fifth toe may be gone. **Feathers:** Two distinct rows of feather primordia on either side of spine. **Allantois:** Lobes of CAM starting to surround albumen at small end of egg.

Stage 35. **Eye:** Nictitating membrane and upper eyelid almost to scleral papillae. **Limb:** Toes well separated. Primary toe pads just visible. **Amnion:** Albumen starting...
Day 15 Stage 36. **Eye**: Eyelid opening is flattened ellipse with lower lid at edge of cornea. **Feathers**: Primary feather buds just visible on manus. Feather buds just visible around ear opening.

Day 16 Stage 36-37. **Eye**: Nictitating membrane at edge of cornea. **Limb**: Primary toe pads well defined. First three scutate scales are on top of foot. Cornification just beginning on dorsal side of toenail. **Feathers**: Primaries and secondaries are longer than wide. **Allantois**: CAM sticks tightly to shell.

Day 17 Stage 37. **Beak**: Groove at tip of mandible just visible. **Limb**: Slight ventral curve to toenails. Legs tend to be crossed in front of body. **Allantois**: CAM may be closed over albumen. Allantoic fluid may be cloudy with precipitate.

Day 18 Stage 38. **Beak**: Upper beak, but not lower beak, starting to cornify around egg tooth. Under side of lower beak (“chin”) is distinctly rounded. **Limb**: Scale primordia covering tops of tarsus and tops of toes, not yet overlapping. Nail bed has distinct ridge at base of toenails. **Feathers**: Feather buds around ear. Two rows of eyelash feather buds. **Cloaca**: Cloaca distinctly raised and oval.

Day 19 Stage 38. **Eye**: Lens partially covered by eyelids. **Beak**: Beak and face may be covered by a lobe of the yolk. Cross-shaped cornification centered around egg tooth. Small cornification on lower beak at tip of mandible. **Amnion**: Coagulated albumen sticking to embryo. **Limb**: Scales starting to overlap along the front of the tarsus. Scales appearing along the back of the tarsus and on primary toepads. Secondary toepads well-defined. Toenails strongly flexed on hallow. **Feathers**: Feather buds visible on ear. **Allantois**: Precipitate throughout allantoic sac.

Day 20 Stages 38-40. **Eye**: Eye is almost closed. **Beak**: Periderm visible on beak. **Limb**: Toenails flexed at a 90° angle to toe. **Amnion**: Yolk is in two distinct lobes on either side of the embryo. Only small amount of amniotic fluid remains. Amnion not contractile. **Allantois**: Allantoic fluid may be clear, but with precipitate.

**Day 21 Stage 40.** **Eye**: Eyelids completely closed. **Beak**: Hole in periderm over egg tooth. Periderm may be starting to separate from cere. **Limb**: Scales overlapping on the back of the tarsus. Scales on secondary toepads.

**Day 22 Stages 40-44.** **Eye**: Eye is fully closed. **Beak**: Bony tubercle visible in nares. Tip of mandible is even with falcon’s tooth. Periderm may be starting to separate from cere. **Hatching muscle**: Hatching muscle starting to swell.

**Day 23 Stage 44.** **Beak**: Beak cornification may be complete. Periderm is separating from the cere. Scallopings on side of mandible is diminished. Tip of mandible is even with falcon’s tooth. **Amnion**: Trace amount of albumen in small end of egg; most is in amniotic sac or sticking to the feathers. **Feathers**: Eyelash feathers much longer than wide. Feathers over entire body are filamenous and white.

**Day 24 Stage 44.** **Head**: Head near air cell, may be under right wing, but not usually pipped. **Beak**: Periderm has sloughed about halfway from upper and lower beaks. Egg tooth may have started to wear through the CAM. **Limb**: Nails are completely keratinized. **Amnion**: CAM easily separates from shell. **Allantois**: Most of allantoic fluid is gone. **Hatching muscle**: Maximum edema of hatch muscle, which may extend into the shoulder area. **Cloaca**: Cloaca is flattened oval, just raised above the surrounding skin.

**Day 25 Stage 44+.** **Amnion**: Yolk just starting to enter abdomen. No fluid in amnion. **Flexures**: Head is under right wing. **Other**: First crack in shell near the equator.

**Day 26 Stage 45−.** **Beak**: Periderm has sloughed. Entire beak appears shiny. **Allantois**: CAM often does not close completely over the albumen. Very little fluid in allantoic sac, just yellowish strings of urate precipitates. Almost no albumen remaining in the small end of the egg. **Yolk**: Sac is ⅓ into abdom-
Egg Mass Loss and Embryo Measurements. American Kestrel eggs lost less mass as a percent of initial (i.e., fresh) egg mass at a slower pace than chicken eggs at comparable developmental stages (Fig. 2). When the embryo died during development, egg mass loss slowed (Fig. 2).

A number of embryo parameters were correlated with incubation day (Table 2). With the exception of yolk and albumen measures, relationships were positive. For each parameter, the ranges of incubation days over which the parameter-incubation day relationship was analyzed are shown in Table 2, and in general reflect the time period over which the parameter could be accurately measured.

**DISCUSSION**

This paper presents the first description of the daily embryonic development for a raptor species and provides a potentially useful tool for experimental and field assessments of the development of American Kestrels and possibly other raptors. Use of a species-specific guide is particularly important for identifying morphological abnormalities in embryos, which would not otherwise be apparent if comparisons were made to a taxonomically distant species (e.g., chicken). Such abnormalities may be indicators of embryo exposure to pathogens, genetic mutations, physical, thermal or nutritional stresses, or toxic concentrations of some chemicals (Romanoff and Romanoff 1972).

In addition to assessment of normalcy, the external criteria described in this study can be used for estimating age in days, or equivalent Hamburger and Hamilton (1951) stage, in embryos that have been incubated and/or dead for unknown periods, such as those collected in the field. Clearly, some descriptions and measured parameters will be more practical than others depending on the condition of the embryo. In embryos that have been dead for some period, dehydration and decay may limit the utility of some of the visual and measured cri-
Table 2. Significant relationships observed between incubation day and egg and embryo measurements over the incubation period ($P < 0.001$). For each parameter, columns show range of incubation days over which measures were taken (Incubation Days), sample size ($N$), regression equation describing relationship with incubation day, $X$, $r^2$ value, and $F$ statistic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCUBATION PARAMETER (X)</th>
<th>DAYS</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>EQUATION</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>$F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air cell</td>
<td>0-26</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$-21.03 + 2.03 (X)$</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye diameter</td>
<td>5-28</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>$5.56 + 0.72 (X) + 0.106 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>344.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain vessels/midbrain</td>
<td>5-13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$3.67 + 1.28 (X)$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>208.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing length</td>
<td>6-11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$4.79 + 1.44 (X)$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>7-28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$4.09 + 2.33 (X)$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1086.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk</td>
<td>7-28</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>$3.59 + 0.76 (X)$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1456.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk sac mass</td>
<td>8-28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>$31.23 - 4.45 (X) + 0.211 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>104.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk sac volume</td>
<td>8-28</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$32.17 - 4.97 (X) + 0.267 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>117.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumen mass</td>
<td>8-24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$24.10 - 3.45 (X)$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumen volume</td>
<td>8-24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$24.22 - 3.82 (X)$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>139.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryo mass</td>
<td>9-28</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$9.65 + 3.42 (X) - 0.174 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>567.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-to-eye</td>
<td>9-28</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>$6.81 + 0.09 (X) + 0.050 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>114.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third toe length</td>
<td>10-28</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$5.96 + 2.28 (X)$</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>318.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atula</td>
<td>11-28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$5.51 + 3.65 (X)$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>239.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>11-28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$10.12 + 0.36 (X) + 0.073 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>429.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manus</td>
<td>11-28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$10.26 + 0.34 (X) + 0.073 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>304.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culmen</td>
<td>11-28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$6.52 + 2.94 (X)$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>795.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarsus</td>
<td>13-28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$8.43 + 1.43 (X)$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>739.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia</td>
<td>13-28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$7.83 + 1.04 (X)$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>989.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear-to-ear</td>
<td>13-28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$12.04 - 0.28 (X) + 0.084 (X)^2$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>149.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters that may remain most useful for postmortem evaluation include limb and beak measurements, eye pigmentation, leg and foot development (e.g., scales, toenail keratinization), and feathering.

In a previous study, the embryonic development of the American Kestrel was described for selected days during incubation using naturally incubated eggs (Bird et al. 1984). Findings reported here for artificially incubated embryos compare favorably with the prior study with respect to timing of appearance of various external features (e.g., eye pigmentation, allantoic sac, development of toe digits, toenails, and down). A potential confounding factor in artificial incubation studies of embryos is the possible pre-incubation of eggs by parent birds before collection, which could result in an apparent advancement of embryo maturation, particularly at early stages of development. We controlled for this effect as much as possible by carrying out frequent egg collections, and excluding eggs that were relatively advanced, based on candling appearance, from early development examinations. Comparison of our results with those of the Bird et al. (1984) study suggest that American Kestrel embryos developed at “normal” rates under the conditions of artificial storage and incubation we used.

The appearance of the germinal disc at the time of oviposition or before the onset of incubation can be used to determine fertility or early death. However, normal variations in size, shape, and color patterns may make it difficult to differentiate reliably between an infertile germinal disc and a developing blastoderm. Such variations have been described in great detail for the domestic turkey, (Bakst et al. 1998). Although precocial, the turkey shares several characteristics with the American Kestrel: an incubation period of 28 days, a blastodisc that typically appears as a circular, uniformly white structure (see Appendix 1; in contrast to the chicken blastodisc, in which the white area opaca is typically seen as a ring around the darker area pellucida), and the presence of small vacuoles surrounding the unfertilized germinal disc, which may closely resemble the fertile blastoderm in size, shape, and color. Thus, the morphological classes described in detail by Bakst et al. (1998) are a potentially useful guide to assessing fertility and ab-
normalities of American Kestrel germs at this very early period in development.

The chicken has long been used as a developmental standard for aging avian species (Hamburger and Hamilton 1951), and it is considered to be accurate for both precocial and altricial species up to stage 42 (about 3/4 of the way through normal incubation; Ricklefs and Starck 1998). However, the chicken staging charts are not particularly useful in aging altricial embryos during the last 1/3 of incubation, which is characterized by a rapid increase in size. Also, despite the utility of the chicken model, differences in morphology of key embryonic structures between the chicken and specialized altricial species, such as the American Kestrel, can make it difficult to assess incubation age accurately.
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