A similar experience was had with an American Kestrel (Falco s. sparverius Linn.). Five miles from my home I discovered an adult female incubating 5 eggs (April 25, 1943). Again having no bands with me, I decided to risk transportation, so wrapped her in my handkerchief and headed home. There she was banded (41-331509), again rolled in the handkerchief, and carried back to the nesting stub. As I climbed to replace her on the eggs, the male came in with a mouse in his talons, and was only a few feet away when he saw me. He swerved and flew off, still bearing the mouse. I very gently replaced the female and cautiously climbed down, retiring to a safe distance where I could watch what happened.

The female remained in the nest-cavity, and soon her mate was back with the mouse. His soft chittering brought her out immediately, she took the food from him and flew to a nearby tree. There she scolded for some minutes before eating, but eventually consumed the whole mouse. Then she very thoroughly went over her whole body, rearranging each feather that had been displaced during her

trip. Finally she reentered the nest hole, and I departed.

Î checked these little falcons as they were hatching, and when I thought of them again, they had grown up and flown, leaving ample evidence of a successful upbringing. One egg failed to hatch. Again, what to the parent must have been a rather frightening experience was forgotten in the more pressing duties of parenthood.—ROBERT M. STABLER, Department of Zoölogy, University of Pennsylvania.

Size 1B Bands Found Preferable.—The relatively new 1B bands were developed, I understand, to be used on Chimney Swifts (Chaetura pelagica (Linn)). I have had occasion to use these bands on only two species of birds to date, but, in both instances, they have proved to be much more satisfactory than the too large 1A size. The Eastern Song Sparrow (Melospiza m. melodia Wils.) and the White-throated Sparrow (Zonotrichia albicollis (Gmel.)) are the two species for which I have found the 1B bands to be preferable.—G. HAPGOOD PARKS, 99 Warrenton Ave., Hartford, Connecticut.

Unusual Behavior of Hairy Woodpecker.—The following behavior by a female Eastern Hairy Woodpecker (*Dryobates v. villosus* (Linn.)) was noted last spring after I had discovered the nest, on June 30, in the hollow trunk of a small

apple tree in Millbridge, Maine.

The nest-opening was about shoulder high. From it came noises which indicated that the nestlings were well developed. Shortly after the discovery had been made the male parent entered the nest. He was captured, as he left, by means of a light landing net. He was banded and released. When the female entered the nest, several minutes later, I again covered the opening with the net, following the same procedure which had just taken her mate, as well as a whole family of Flickers (Colaptes auratus luteus Bangs) earlier that same morning. But she was not so readily captured. Instead of flying out into the net as the other birds had done, she merely perched in the nest-hole. Stretching to her limit she inspected the twine which constituted the mesh, frequently taking it in her bill and moving it as if to brush it aside. She also explored the wire frame of the net and attempted to force her bill and head between it and the trunk of the tree. After more than an hour of this behavior my available time gave out, so I approached the tree and tried to hasten her exit by tapping and slapping on the tree trunk. She only retreated into the nest. Finally she won out. I departed and took my net with me.

Next day, from hiding, I saw her again enter the nest. The net was placed over the entrance and I returned to my hiding-place to watch. The bird's bill, and then her head, pushed cautiously out of the hole and into the space enclosed by the mesh of the net. Then, just as slowly, she disappeared again inside the tree