the laurel, about twenty feet from the nest. They were placed in a gathering cage, weighed, measured, and the details of their plumage recorded.

My Chardonneret trap containing one fledgling was next placed near the blind with the result that the male was quickly trapped and banded with a rose-colored band, number A15648. Half an hour later the return female was trapped, and her number, A65065, which she wore on the left tarsus was confirmed. At this time I banded her right tarsus with a rose-colored band, number A15642, in the hope of getting a "Sight Return" of her next year.

The three fledglings of this year's nest wear numbers A15644 (yellow

band left), A15645 (yellow band right), and A15647, a blue band.

So far I have not seen her last year's mate.—Katharine C. Harding, June 28, 1927.

A Plea for More Bird-Weighers.—The heaviest adult Song Sparrows I have banded were captured at Cohasset, Massachusetts, in early April 1926. These ranged in weight between 25.00 and 27.00 grams. In her journal such birds were described as "large and rangy" by Mrs. Whittle, who has banded several during the late fall (October) migration in Peterboro, New Hampshire, but they were not weighed. Such birds in all cases have passed through our stations as migrants and none has been captured as a repeat or a return. In a paper appearing in The Auk\* I have called attention to such unusually heavy birds and raised the question whether they were not representatives of a northern race of this species, not collected on its breeding grounds, but appearing in our museums (collected in migration) as the largest specimens of the Eastern Song Sparrow. It has been stated that a variation in the measured parts of birds of 20 percent may be expected.

It is presumed that Song Sparrows having measurements much in excess of the average are also heavier than the average. Acting on this theory, I have begun an investigation of the average weight of nesting Song Sparrows both in Peterboro and in Cohasset, and to date eight such birds have been weighed, the range in weight being from 20.00 to 22.00 grams, and the average weight being 21.09 grams, or four to five grams less than the heavy birds taken in April. The sexes of these birds were not determined. It is too early to make any inferences from these meagre data. All eight birds are feeding daily at our banding stations, a surplus of canary seeds being always available so that the birds are not likely to be underweight.

The writer would like assistance in this investigation by having others of our members take up bird-weighing in general as a part of their regular work. Balances, sensitive to fractions of a gram, are not expensive. Live bird-weighing is a rather neglected ornithological field. I feel sure that the determination of the average weights of locally nesting birds of many species throughout their ranges, itself well worth finding out, will furnish in addition information of value at present not easily foreseen.—Charles L. Whittle, Cohasset, Massachusetts, June 1927.

A Chebec Recovery.—Mr. A. W. Higgins reports the recovery of a Least Flycatcher, or Chebec, (*Empidonax minimus*) near Lake Assawompsett, Middleboro, Massachusetts, on June 4, 1927. This bird was banded

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;On the Nature of the Relationship existing Among Land Birds During Sustained Aerial Migration", Vol. XLIII, 1926, p. 498 (foot-note).