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This anslysis is of the data oallaoted by the Wader Study Group. Data are available for approximately: 600 birds caught in Great Britain (S. England 265, Mid. Ingland 290, NoFngland 5, Scotland 55, Ireland ani Wales 0) from 1963 to 1973 and for 7 fram Swoden, 37 from Jordan and 29 from Morocco.

## 1: Sault/Juvenile Ratio

Table I presents the numbers of adults and juveniles caught during the period from July to October in G.B. and the percentage of juvenilles in these samples.

## TABLE I

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { JUKY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6-31 \\ & \pi .0 \pi x^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{7-8}{\mathcal{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9-16 \\ & A D G \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17-24 \\ & \text { IUG } \end{aligned}$ | 25- | SEPT | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.Juvs | 13 | 39 | 15 | $48^{\circ}$ | 92 | 18 | 61 | 5 |
| No.das | 14 | 38 | 33 | 45. | 35 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| TOTLL | 27 | 77 | 48 | 93 | 127 | 26 | 67 |  |
| \% Juvs. | 50 | $50 \because$ | 30 | 50 | 72 | 70 | 90 |  |

From Table I it can be scen that the passage of adults tends to be earlier than that of juveniles - as in most speoies of waders. However, there is considerably more overlap in the migration period than in many other speoies with jureniles being present in significant numbers right from commencement of migration in early July.

## 2. Bill Length

The distribution of bill lengths for both adults and juveniles has an apparently normal distrihution. Mean ids $=25.02$ ( $n=104$ ) Juvs $=24.80(n=175)$. Range 22031 mm .

This porhaps suggests that the bills of juveniles ore not quite filly grown at the time of the first autum migration.. Llso any differenoe in bill length between, the sexes is probabily small.

## 3. Wing Length

The distributions of wing leigths are 2190 normal; for both adults and juveniles ( Fig g I)

The means are; adults 110.1 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=185$ ), juveniles 110.7 ( $n=283$ ). However, this may be misleading bocause the wing feathers of adults in autum will have already been exposed to shortening by wear for 6-9 months (Pienicowsici and Minton 1973). $\because$ Therefore the wing lengths of newly moulted adults may well be rather greater than those of juveniles.


FIG. 1 - WING LEMTHES OF COMZON SANDPTPERS (G.B.)



FIG. 2 - WETGHT OF COMEION SANDPIPERS

The 21 min range of wing lengths ( $99-120 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is foirly large. This may be partly due to intor-person diffurences in technique of measuring maximum cord wing loneth. Nevertheless oven in small samples of birds caught on the same dny and meesured by one person the range is up to 16 mm . It is possible, however; that the wide range is also due to the presence of populations with different origins each having a slightly different menn wing length. Samples of wing lengths of birds of known origin (o.g. British or Scondinavion popuiations) would help clarify the situntion. Beamination of the histograms of wing lengths for five periods from July to September sheds no additional light on the situation since both adults ard juveniles showed no cansistant pattern of ohange in the means or distributions of wing lengths; Por the prosent thorefore wing lengths of British oaught common Sandpifpers oannot be used.to. separate birds into disoreet groups.

## 4. Weight

The weights of Common Sandpipers caught in Groat Britain vary from 38 gms to 84 gms . Binds are mroly recorded below $40-45 \mathrm{gms}$ and this therefore probabiy. corresponds fairly olosely to their fatfree wright (Dunlin of similar wing length have a similar average fat-free weight). Thus it would appear that at least some individuals are capable of doubling their weight before migration, putting these individuals in the "long hop nigrant" category of wadors. : :

Howevh, from the weight histogramp (F1g.2) it would appoar that most birds depart when they have reaohed a weight of about $65-70 \mathrm{gms}$.
in analysis of tho birds weighing less than 45 gms showed that about a quarter ( 8 birds) were adult and three quarters ( 30 birds) werc juvenile. of the "heavy birds" ( 75 gms ) two thirds ( 17 birds) were adult and one third ( 8 birds) were jurenile. This suggests that juveniles may have a smaller body size than adults and or that they are less offioient at feading, since they seem less capable than adilts of reaohing or maintaining the higher weights. Juveniles may therefore hav a smallier flight range.

Common Sandpipers caught in Morooco and Jordan wore all below 55.5 gm ( 63 birds) with weights as low as 34 gms in both countries, such birds probably baing near the minimum woight at which they can hive. The Morocoon birds caught in auturm had a mean weight 42.5 gms (range 34-51 gho 31 birds) 4 spring bixds had an similar mean. The Jordanian birds were oaught in a deaert oasis in spring and may have bean "lost" migrants; they had a weight 43 gms (range $34-55 \mathrm{gms}$, 32 bixis).

Weight changes from retreps.
The woight changes of binds retrapped during the same auturm were plutted against.dnte and are shown in fig.3.

There is no apporent chanise in the ratc of woight inorease during the period for whioh retrapa are availabla.

This oontrasts with the Curlew Sandpiper (Stanley and Minton 1972) where the rate deporeases later in the season. Unifortunately there were no retraps among the late oaught Common Sandpipers

The weight changes of the birls retrapped more than tinree days after ringing, are plotted against the number of cays between capture and reoapture (Fig.4)

From this it can be seen that -

1. The rate of weight increase varies considerably for different biris.
2. There dnes not seem to be any difference between adults and juveniles, indicating contrast to earlier suggestions that juveniles vere less efficient feeders.
3. The maximum rate of increase is 3.2 gms per dny, but the average rate of incraase is 1.2 gms per day ( $\ln$ average rate of increase for juvenile Curlew Sandpipers is between 2.6 gms per day and 3.9 gms per day (Minton and Stanley 1972))

There is a suggestion that birds put on weight more rapidly to begin with, since the average initial weight ( 49.1 gms ) of the five birds with the greatest rates of increase is less than the average initial weiget ( 58.4 gms ) of the five birds with the next greatest rates of increase, which in turn is less than the average initial weight ( 60.6 gms ) of the five birds with the slowest rate of increase i.e. as weight increases the rate of deposition of fat deoreases.

## 5. Primary Moult

$i l l$ but six of the approximately two hundred adult Common Sandpipers caught in autumn showed no signs of active wing moult and no examples of arrested moult were reoorded. It would appear therefore that most Common Sandpipers migrate tbrough Britain bofore commencing their primary moult.

The six which were moulting were all following a pattern markedly different from that of most waders which regularly moult in Britain. Only one or two foathers at a time were in noult and therefore the pattern is sinilar to that of the Green Sandpiper which regularly noults while on migration. There was considerable variation between the number of primaries left to be moulted, i.e. 4 in one, 5 in two and 8 in two birds.

By a remarkable coinoidente two of the rocords refer to the same bird from Wisbeah Sewage Farm. On 24th September 1964 jits moult was $N^{\prime} 3^{\prime} 0^{8}$ and on $2 j$ rd dugust 1969 its noult was $N^{3} 4^{\prime} 2^{2} 0^{5}$. This elininates any possibility that noult in the U.K.isinited to first sumerbirds.

It is worth noting that on the first oooasion this bird was "aged" as a juvenile! Some noulting biris oqugit in Horocco were also of questionable age.

## RRM:RKS

It is suge:ested that anyono handing Comon Sandpipers in the future should he vary onreful about agoing thon correotly. iss well as measuring the wing bill and weight oarafully, attention should be paid for signs of aotive or arrested moult. sinoe it is possible that some instances have been overlooked in the past.
lny measurements of known breeding birils would be very usaful.
in analysis of Common Sandpiper reooveries is to be oarried out and will appear in a future odition of the W.S.G. bulletin.
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FIG. 3 - Weight Changè of Common Sandpipers retrapped during the same year.

