lemetry was apparently more disturbance than the birds could handle.

The Least Tern is at best a marginal subject for telemetry because of its small size, adverse reactions to all but minimal handling, and need for streamlined flight. Common Terns, which are larger and more amenable to handling, should be better suited to the method. However, a pilot study on the Common Tern in Massachusetts in 1987 encountered similar problems. Transmitters were glued to the rectrices of five pairs of breeding birds, following which two pairs deserted their nests. The method of attachment was unsuccessful; all terns lost the transmitters within a few days (J. L. Atwood, pers. comm.).

Our experiences in 1987 have caused us to abandon radiotelemetry on the Least Tern. Further miniaturization of transmitters and internalization of antennas may in future make the method more useful, but at present caution is in order. For Least Terns the only marking technique that has proven tolerable is leg bands.

We wish to thank Jon Atwood, Gail Hoffman, William Schew, Robert Cimberg, Kevin Foerster, Eric Massey, and Victor Lopez for their help with various aspects of this project. The comments of an anonymous reviewer helped in tightening and clarifying the manuscript. The personnel of Marine Ecological Associates (Encinitas, California) provided logistical support and creative solutions to the difficult problem of following fast-flying Least Terns. Charles T. Collins provided helpful comments on the manuscript. Lillian Kawasaki, Frank Edmands, and Don Rice of the Los Angeles Harbor Department offered continued support and encouragement; funding was provided by the Los Angeles Harbor Department.
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FIRST DESCRIPTION OF THE NEST AND EGGS OF THE MOSS-BACKED TANAGER (BUTHRAUPIS [BANGSIA] EDWARDSI)

MARK B. ROBBINS AND GEORGE S. GLENN

Academy of Natural Sciences, 19th and The Parkway, Philadelphia, PA 19103

Key words: Buthraupis edwardsi; nest; eggs; Ecuador.

While making an avifaunal survey in wet, foothill forest at El Placer, Prov. Esmeraldas, Ecuador (0°52'N, 78°33'W), we discovered a nest with two eggs of the Moss-backed Tanager (Buthraupis [Bangsia] edwardsi). This apparently represents the first published description of the nest and eggs of this species, since a recently published book summarizing tanager biology (Isler and Isler, The Tanagers: natural history, distribution, and identification. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC, 1987) gives no information on its breeding biology.

The nest was discovered on 30 July 1987, along the crest of a ridge in wet, relatively undisturbed foothill forest at ca. 670 m. However, the contents and the identity of the owner were not positively established.
until the following day, when an adult was flushed from
the nest and reappeared less than 5 min later. The nest,
an open cup, was placed at the junction of two relatively
small, moss-covered branches near the base of an
understory tree, just over 2 m above the ground. Cano-
py height in the nest-site area was ca. 20 m. With
the exception of the inner lining, the nest was comprised
of fresh material. The bulk of the nest was composed
of at least three fern species (one of the genus 
Dryopteris) and moss. The inner lining consisted of a thin,
woody vine with alternate, distichous leaves (most of the
vine was devoid of leaves; photos in VIREO VO6/4/001-002).
The diameter and depth of the cup's interior
was 65 mm and 30 mm, respectively. The subellep-
tically shaped eggs (ANSP #180154; 26.5 x 18.2 mm;
25.5 x 18.8 mm) are white and nonglossy. Both are
finely speckled in red or brownish-red at the smaller
end, with the spotting becoming heavier and blotched,
terminating in a dense ring at the larger end.

This discovery unfortunately cannot shed any light
on the controversy surrounding the systematic limits
of the genus Buthraupis (composed of two distinct
groups; the larger-sized, temperate zone 
Buthraupis, and the smaller, lower montane "Bangsia"; see Isler
and Isler 1987), since the eggs are unknown for all other
members of this complex, and the nest is described
only for another Bangsia, the Blue-and-gold Tanager
(Buthraupis [Bangsia] arcuati). The nest of edwardsi
differs in two respects from that of the two described
ests of arcuati (neither were collected nor examined in
the hand). Both arcuati nests were stated to be enclosed
with a moss dome and located somewhat higher (10-
12 m) above the ground than the edwardsi nest (R. S.
Ridgely, in litt, and B. Whitney, in litt., in Isler and
Isler 1987).

We sincerely appreciate the generous support of the
ANSP expedition by M. Wright, R. Thompson, and
RARE Center for Tropical Conservation. We extend
our thanks to the Museo Ecuatoriano de Ciencias Nat-
urales, Quito for their collaboration and assistance dur-
ing our work in Esmeraldas. We thank the Ministerio
de Agricultura, Quito for their cooperation and per-
mission to work in Ecuador. J. Bond and L. Kiff made
helpful comments on the manuscript. D. Frodin helped
identify nest material.
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OBSERVATIONS ON THE NESTING OF THE GREAT POTOO
(NYCTIBIUS GRANDIS) IN CENTRAL VENEZUELA1

ERIC A. VANDERWERF
671 Corwin Road, Rochester, NY 14610

Key words: Great Potoo; Nyctibius grandis; Nycti-
biudae; nesting; fledging period; Venezuela.

The nesting and behavior of the Great Potoo (Nyctibius
grandis) are largely unknown because of its cryptic ap-
appearance and nocturnal habits. The Great Potoo is mostly
often observed during the day when it is chanced upon
as it sits motionless on a regular daytime perch (Hav-
erschmidt 1948, Perry 1979), relying on its mottled
plumage and elongate shape for concealment (Wet-
more 1968). It is only rarely observed at night, when
it is usually first detected by its guttural calls (Slud
1979). The Great Potoo also habitually uses hunting
perches at night, from which it flies out to catch prey
(Haverschmidt 1948). The breeding biology of the Great
Potoo is virtually unknown. To the best of my knowl-
edge, the only published accounts of the nesting of this
species are descriptions of nests, eggs, and nestlings
(Haverschmidt 1948, 1968; Sick 1951; Wetmore 1968),
many of which were destroyed by collecting. The only
detailed studies done on any species in the family were
conducted on the Common Potoo (N. griseus) by Skutch

The following is a report on observations I made on
the nesting of the Great Potoo in a gallery forest on the
Guárico River in the llanos of Guárico state, Ven-
ezuela.

On 9 June 1987, I saw an adult Great Potoo perched
approximately 12 m above the ground on a branch of
a large tree. It was in exactly the same place as I had
observed an almost fully grown juvenile Great Potoo
from 12–22 August 1986. The branch was about 30
cm thick and sloped up at an angle of approximately
20°, but the part of the branch used by the bird was
relatively flat and slightly wider than the rest of the
branch. The bird habitually perched with the long axis
of its body at a small angle with the branch. While
perched, the bird's head faced the ascending side of
the branch, and its long tail hung down slightly below the
branch. The only movements the bird made were to
occasionally turn its head from side to side. Otherwise
it remained motionless, and I was able to examine it
closely with binoculars and a telescope. When alarmed,
it would stretch itself lengthwise and point its head

1 Received 22 February 1988. Final acceptance 16