

from the useful comments of E. O. Willis, T. S. Schu-  
lenberg, and L. Kiff. Financial support was provided  
by SEPANGUY.

## LITERATURE CITED

- ATLAS DE LA GUYANE. 1979. Paris, Bordeaux: coed.  
CNRS-ORSTOM.
- ERARD, CH. 1982. Le nid et la ponte de *Lipaugus  
vociferans*, Cotingidé, et de *Grallaria varia*, For-  
micariidé. *Alauda* 50:311-313.
- FORESTA, H. DE, P. CHARLES-DOMINIQUE, CH. ERARD,  
AND M. F. PREVOST. 1984. Zoochorie et premiers  
stades de la régénération naturelle après coupe en  
forêt guyanaise. *Rev. Ecol. (Terre Vie)* 39:369-  
400.
- GRANVILLE, J.-J. DE 1982. Rain forest and xeric flora  
refuges in French Guiana, p. 159-181. *In* G. T.  
Prance [ed.], *Biological diversification in the trop-  
ics*. Columbia Univ. Press, New York.
- HILTY, S. L., AND W. L. BROWN. 1986. A field guide to  
the birds of Colombia. Princeton Univ. Press,  
N.J.
- SIBLEY, C. G., AND J. E. AHLQUIST. 1985. Phylogeny  
and classification of New World suboscine pas-  
serine birds (Passeriformes: Oligomyodi: Tyran-  
nides), p. 396-428. *In* P. A. Buckley et al. [eds.],  
*Neotropical ornithology*. Ornithol. Monogr. No.  
36. The American Ornithologists' Union, Wash-  
ington, DC.
- THIOLLAY, J.-M. 1986. Structure comparée du peup-  
lement avien dans trois sites de forêt primaire en  
Guyane. *Rev. Ecol. (Terre Vie)* 41:59-105.
- TOSTAIN, O. 1986. Description du nid et de la ponte  
de deux formicariidés guyanais: *Hylopezus mac-  
ularius* et *Thamnophilus nigrocinereus*. *Alauda* 54:  
170-176.
- WIEDENFELD, D. A. 1982. A nest of the Pale-billed  
Antpitta (*Grallaria carrikeri*) with comparative re-  
marks on antpitta nests. *Wilson Bull.* 94:580-582.
- WILLIS, E. O. 1979. Comportamento e ecologia da  
mãe-de-taóca, *Phlegopsis nigromaculata* (d'Or-  
bigny & Lafresnaye) (Aves, Formicariidae) *Rev.  
Brasil. Biol.* 39:117-159.
- WILLIS, E. O. 1984. *Hylophylax*, *Hypocnemoides*, and  
*Myrmoderus* as army ant followers. *Revista Bras.  
Zool.*, S. Paulo 2:159-164.

*The Condor* 90:239-241  
© The Cooper Ornithological Society 1988

## THE NESTING AND FEEDING BEHAVIOR OF THE ORNATE HAWK-EAGLE NEAR MANAUS, BRAZIL<sup>1</sup>

BERT C. KLEIN

*Department of Zoology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611*

LEE H. HARPER

*Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12211*

RICHARD O. BIERREGAARD

*World Wildlife Fund-U.S., 1255 23rd St. N.W., Washington, DC 20037*

GEORGE V. N. POWELL

*National Audubon Society Research Department, 115 Indian Mound Trail, Tavernier, FL 33070*

*Key words:* Ornate Hawk-Eagle; *Spizaetus orna-  
tus*; Amazonia; feeding behavior; nesting.

The Ornate Hawk-Eagle (*Spizaetus ornatus*), found over  
most of tropical Central and South America, is typi-  
cally a bird of dense forest (Brown and Amadon 1968).  
Nests are difficult to observe in high tropical forests.  
Little has been reported on its biology other than casual  
observations by Slud (Brown and Amadon 1968) and  
Lyon and Kuhnigk (1985). Our study provides infor-  
mation on nest activity from copulation and nest build-  
ing to 318 days after hatching.

### STUDY AREA AND METHODS

A large stick nest was found in virgin forest 70 km  
north of Manaus, Brazil (2°25'S, 59°50'W, see Bier-  
regaard 1984 for general habitat description). We ini-  
tiated observations in June 1983 when adult Ornate  
Hawk-Eagles were found on and around the nest. In-  
tensive observations were made from 21 September to  
28 November 1983. During this time we recorded 173  
hr of observations on the adults and 77 on the nestling.  
From 28 November 1983 until 27 July 1984 brief daily  
to bi-weekly observations were recorded. An obser-  
vation platform constructed 19 m high in a tree and  
72 m from the nest across a clearing allowed an unob-  
structed view of the nest.

The sex of the adults was distinguished by size dif-  
ferences and individual molting patterns. We assumed

<sup>1</sup> Received 9 February 1987. Final acceptance 8 July  
1987.

TABLE 1. *Spizaetus ornatus* prey species identified from bones in the nest and prey observed delivered to the nest.

	Individuals	Percent of total
<b>Reptiles</b>		
Unidentified snake*	1	
Unidentified lizard (Teiidae)	1	
Total reptiles	2	4.1
<b>Birds</b>		
<i>Tinamus</i> sp. ( <i>major</i> or <i>guttatus</i> )	6	
<i>Crypturellus</i> sp. ( <i>variegatus</i> or <i>souli</i> )	4	
<i>Crypturellus variegatus</i>	1	
<i>Ara macao</i>	1	
<i>Ara</i> sp. (probably <i>manilata</i> )	1	
<i>Ramphastos vitellinus</i>	1	
<i>Penelope</i> sp. (probably <i>marail</i> )	2	
<i>Penelope</i> sp. (probably <i>jacuacu</i> )	10	
<i>Ortalis motmot</i>	1	
Smaller birds	4	
Total birds	31	63.5
<b>Mammals</b>		
Opossums* ( <i>Didelphis marsupialis</i> , <i>Metachirus nudicaudatus</i> )	2	
Dasyproctidae ( <i>Myoprocta</i> sp. or <i>Dasyprocta</i> sp.)	12	
<i>Myoprocta</i> sp.	1	
<i>Coendu</i> sp.	1	
Total mammals	16	32.7
Grand total	49	

\* Indicates records based on observations of the eagles with prey not represented in skeletal material taken from nest.

that the larger bird found consistently on or close to the nest was the female.

On 12 May 1983, 19 to 26 days after fledging, the juvenile was captured with a bal-chatri trap (Berger and Hamerstrom 1962) baited with a live chicken. A 12-g transmitter was mounted on the eagle's central tail rectrice.

Seven months after fledging, the nest tree was cut down in the ranch's attempt to create more cattle pasture. The nest was measured and prey remains removed for identification.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### NEST SITE

The nest site was in a small ravine next to a stream 1 to 2 m wide. From rim to rim, the nest was 1.7 m in diameter and located 37 m high in the main fork of an emergent 46-m tall *Hymenaea* sp. (Leguminosae) tree. The tree's diameter at breast height was 1 m. The canopy was 11 m in diameter at nest level with branches of 25 cm, 18 cm, and 15 cm in diameter supporting the nest.

### PREY SPECIES

Remains of 45 prey items were collected in the nest (Table 1). Scott Robinson (pers. comm.) has recorded

the Ornate Hawk-Eagle taking two squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*), a Saddle-backed Tamarin (*Saguinus fuscicollis*), two spiny woodrats (*Proecomys* sp.), and three Purple Gallinules (*Porphyryla martinica*) in Manu Park, Peru.

### ADULT BEHAVIOR

On the morning of 24 June, the eagles copulated on the nest. We later projected, based on observations of the nestling and an estimated incubation time of 40 days (Brown 1977), that the eggs were laid in the beginning of August. The occurrence of copulations in June indicates the species has a long period of courtship prior to egg laying.

After hatching, whenever the adult male approached the nest area, it vocalized. The female reciprocated by calling from on or near the nest. The calling bouts usually lasted from 2 to 8 min before the female flew to receive prey from the male. The male was observed on the nest only once for a few seconds before the female aggressively chased it off. In contrast, the male Crested Eagle (*Morphnus guianensis*) studied by Bierregaard (1984) always delivered prey to the nest during 4 weeks of observation and was never aggressively chased away by the female.

Throughout the nesting cycle, the female broke branches from trees within 100 to 200 m of the nest and added them to the nest. Prey remains were routinely removed from the nest at least 2 to 4 times daily and taken to a "dump," a conspicuous perch 50 m from the nest where the bones were dropped to the forest floor.

On two occasions Blue-and-yellow Macaws (*Ara ararauna*) and Greater Yellow-headed Vultures (*Cathartes melambrotus*) passed within 25 m of the nest while both the nestling and adult female were on the nest. The adult called briefly as the vultures passed but did not leave the nest. The eagles showed no response to the macaws or to the presence of a crew of chain sawyers felling the forest within 38 m of the nest.

### JUVENILE BEHAVIOR AND DEVELOPMENT

Based on the size of the nestling when we began observations, we estimated that it hatched 2 to 4 days earlier, between 17 and 19 September. At this time, the young was all downy and barely able to lift its head from the floor of the nest. At 36 days it began to peck at prey carcasses between feedings by the adult female. Wing and tail feathers began to appear at about 37 days. The nestling was able to tear some food off prey in the nest without parental help by 54 days. Between 35 and 57 days the time spent feeding increased 14%. It started climbing on branches outside the nest by 71 days and fledged between 83 to 90 days after hatching (for discussion we assume fledging at 87 days after hatching).

The juvenile was captured 23 days after fledging. It had a wing length of 370 mm, a tail length of 350 mm, and a tarsus of 110 mm. Based on these measurements it was assumed to be a female (Bierregaard 1978, Weick 1980).

Between 23 and 76 days after fledging, we located the juvenile with radio telemetry 73 times on 41 days (transmitter's battery went dead at 54 days). The ju-

venile was never found more than 170 m from the nest. Over 90% of the observations were within 100 m of the nest. On 27 July, 225 days after fledging, we observed a food transfer from an adult hawk-eagle to the juvenile within 100 m of the nest. Before the transfer the adult and juvenile called repeatedly 160 m apart for 36 min. The juvenile then left its perch and flew to the adult, grabbed the prey item from its talons without landing and continued to a nearby perch. It continued to exchange calls with the adult for 2 min. These observations indicate that the juvenile hawk-eagle was partially dependent on parental feeding 312 days after hatching when the study was concluded. This supports Brown's (1977) generalization that tropical raptors have a long parental dependency period compared to similar sized temperate raptors.

If we assume that Ornate Hawk-Eagles have an incubation period of at least 40 days, they will require well over a year for courtship, nesting, and raising one young to independence. At most, this species may produce one nestling every other year. Such low productivity may make the species sensitive to habitat destruction or hunting pressure.

We appreciated the help of Susan Renner and Antonio Cabral in identifying the nest tree. Antonio Cabral also helped with the construction of the observation platform. Fernando C. Novaes, Jose Maria Cardosa da Silva, and Maria de Fatima Lima identified the prey remains from the nest using reference material at the Museu Goeldi in Belem, Brazil. Rocelino Marajo dos Reis and Luis Raimundo helped with many of the ordeals of living in a remote field site. Scott Robinson

provided unpublished data on prey species. The manuscript benefited from conversations with Jay Malcolm and John Eisenberg. This study was supported by the World Wildlife Fund-US, the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisa da Amazonia (INPA), and the Instituto Brasileiro de Desenvolvimento Florestal (IBDF), and represents publication number 32 in the Minimum Critical Size of Ecosystems Project (Dinamica Biologica de Fragmentos Florestais) technical series.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BERGER, D. D., AND F. HAMERSTROM. 1962. Protecting a trapping station from raptor predation. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 26:203-206.
- BIERREGAARD, R. O., JR. 1978. Morphological analyses of community structure in birds of prey. Ph.D. diss., Univ. of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.
- BIERREGAARD, R. O., JR. 1984. Observations of the nesting biology of the Guiana Crested Eagle (*Morphnus guianensis*). *Wilson Bull.* 96:1-5.
- BROWN, L. 1977. *Eagles of the world*. Universe Books, New York.
- BROWN, L., AND D. AMADON. 1968. *Eagles, hawks and falcons of the world*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.
- LYON, B., AND A. KUHNIGK. 1985. Observations on nesting Ornate Hawk-Eagles in Guatemala. *Wilson Bull.* 97:141-147.
- WEICK, F. 1980. *Birds of prey of the world: a coloured guide to identification of all the diurnal species, order Falconiformes*. Verlag Paul Parey, Hamburg.

*The Condor* 90:241-245  
© The Cooper Ornithological Society 1988

## A CASE OF COMMUNAL NESTING IN THE EUROPEAN STARLING<sup>1</sup>

PHILIP C. STOFFER, LINDA C. ROMAGNANO, MICHAEL P. LOMBARDO,<sup>2</sup>  
ANN S. HOFFENBERG, AND HARRY W. POWER

*Department of Biological Sciences, Rutgers University, Nelson Biological Laboratory,  
P.O. Box 1059, Piscataway, NJ 08854*

*Key words:* Communal nesting; hole-nesting species; European Starling; *Sturnus vulgaris*; parentage; polygyny; electrophoresis; nest-site competition.

The most common type of avian communal breeding system involves helpers at the nest, in which one or more nonreproductive conspecifics help to raise the

brood of a single breeding pair (e.g., Skutch 1961, Brown 1978, Emlen 1978). A less common system involves two or more females and one or more males contributing gametes to a single brood that they raise cooperatively (Koenig and Pitelka 1981). The latter phenomenon is well known in Groove-billed Anis (*Crotophaga sulcirostris*; Vehrencamp 1978) and Acorn Woodpeckers (*Melanerpes formicivorus*; Koenig and Pitelka 1979). In other species, in which nonreproductive helpers are common, a minority of nests contain the clutches of more than one female (Zahavi 1974, Rowley 1978, Lawton and Lawton 1985). Few other cases of communal laying and subsequent cooperation in parental care have been reported (Bellrose 1943, Hawksley and McCormack 1951, Brackbill 1952, Frith

<sup>1</sup> Received 25 February 1987. Final acceptance 27 August 1987.

<sup>2</sup> Present address: Museum of Zoology and Michigan Society of Fellows, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109.