is directly compensated for by an increase in overall heat production.

**SUMMARY**

At temperatures between 0°C and 20°C, heat loss from the feet of Mallards was minimal (0.42 kcal hr⁻¹). In this temperature range, the metabolic heat production increased with declining temperature by 0.22 kcal hr⁻¹ °C⁻¹. Below 0°C, however, heat loss from the feet and metabolic heat production both increased substantially. The further increase in heat production (0.22 kcal hr⁻¹ °C⁻¹) was approximately equal to the increase in heat loss from the feet (0.27 kcal hr⁻¹ °C⁻¹).

The observed increase in blood flow to the feet apparently serves to keep their temperatures above freezing and to prevent freezing damage to the tissues.

This study was supported by National Institutes of Health Research Grant HL-02228 and Research Career Award 1-K6-GM-21, 522 (KS-N).
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**INVERTED FLIGHT IN CANADA GEESE**

**FRANK H. HEPPNER**

**AND**

**CHRISTOPHER WILLARD**

Department of Zoology
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Kingston, Rhode Island 02881

Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*) are not usually associated with aerobic flight, but during the filming of a large flock of geese (*B. c. canadensis*) landing on a field near the Bombay Hook National Wildlife Refuge, Delaware, in the winter of 1973, we recorded a series of spectacular flight maneuvers, some of which resulted in the birds flapping their wings while flying upside down. We filmed the same behavior was gusty winds, although we have since seen the behavior on still days.

As working nomenclature, we have called the behavior "dumping," because it gives the visual impression that the birds are dumping, or spilling air from their wings, as a parachutist pulls his shrouds to change direction, or increase his rate of altitude loss.

Processed films were analyzed by projecting one frame at a time on a graph paper screen. Counts were taken of: 1) the number of birds in a landing flock, 2) the fraction of birds "dumping" ("dumping" defined as a 90° or greater bank) in a flock, 3) the duration in seconds of the "dumping" behavior, calculated from a wings-level position to return to wings level, 4) the fraction of "dumping" maneuvers involving some period of completely inverted flight, 5) the duration of inverted flight, 6) the number of maneuvers showing a 360° roll, and 7) the number of "dumping" maneuvers that showed a flap of the wings.

Measurements were also made of 8) the fraction of "dumping" maneuvers filmed in which a neighboring bird could also be seen displaying "dumping" behavior, 9) the mean number of birds that could be seen displaying a "dumping" maneuver at any one time, 10) the mean gain or loss in altitude of a maneuvering bird relative to the nearest neighbor in a normal attitude, measured in 1 mm "squares" on the graph paper screen, and 11) the mean period in seconds between the time the first and the last bird displaying "dumping" behavior could be seen in a landing flock.

We subsequently filmed more landing flocks to obtain additional pictures of the maneuvers from different viewpoints. The geese were filmed from directly below, as they came toward the camera, as they flew away from the camera, and from the side. The only consistent meteorological variable on the days we filmed the behavior was gusty winds, although we have since seen the behavior on still days.

**FRANK H. HEPPNER**

**AND**

**CHRISTOPHER WILLARD**
Figure 1 shows a representative landing flock with birds displaying "dumping" maneuvers. Table 1 summarizes the results of the film analysis. A statistical analysis (Table 1) of "dumping" (Figure 1) in Canada Geese revealed that 87% of the "dumping" birds lost altitude, in some cases at a rapid rate. The maneuver itself is performed rapidly (0.56 sec), and some birds will repeat a "dumping" maneuver after a period of normal flight. Films taken in 1974 suggest that there is some lateral movement during a "dumping" maneuver.

TABLE 1. "Dumping" maneuvers in landing Canada Goose flocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Landing flocks studied</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>&quot;Dumping&quot; maneuvers seen</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Birds in flock at start of filming</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>24.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Birds &quot;dumping&quot; in flock</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5.  | Percentage of birds "dumping".  
  A. Percentage of all birds filmed | 53.77 | 33.96 |
| 6.  | Duration of total maneuver (sec) | 0.56 | 0.22 |
| 7.  | Maneuvers showing inverted flight | 23   |     |
| 8.  | Duration of inverted flight (sec) | 0.13 | 0.07 |
| 9.  | Maneuvers showing 360° roll | 0.0  |     |
| 10. | Maneuvers showing wing flaps | 44   |     |
| 11. | Maneuvers showing other birds simultaneously "dumping" | 150  |     |
| 12. | No. of other birds simultaneously maneuvering | 2.61 | 1.32 |
| 13. | Change in altitude of maneuvering bird ("squares") | -2.53 | 3.41 |
| 14. | Percentage of maneuvering birds gaining altitude |     |     |
| 15. | Time first to last maneuver (sec) | 4.23 | 1.91 |
maneuver. It is not common to see a single bird performing a "dumping" maneuver in a landing flock. Item 5 (table 1) shows that the grand mean fraction of "dumping" birds, of all birds filmed, is 36%, but it is true that our data suggest that birds performing "dumping" maneuvers do usually lose altitude relative to other birds, the significant fraction which gain altitude must be accounted for.

2. The birds are being upset, or bounced by strong local gusts. But the films suggest that the birds initiate the movement by folding the wing on the side toward which the bird will roll.

3. The birds are performing these maneuvers to adjust their position in the flock laterally. The films indicate there is some lateral movement during "dumping" maneuvers, but there are other, easier ways to shift laterally, such as using the trailing edges of the wings as ailerons.

4. The incoming birds are conveying some type of information to birds on the ground, analogous to the "waggle" dance of bees. The information might be about location of good feeding sites. We have seen birds displaying "dumping" maneuvers at all times of the day, including just before sunset, when the birds were coming in for the night.

5. The incoming birds might be requesting information, by means of a wing signal, from birds on the ground or water. In particular, some response from birds on the ground suggesting that they are not decoys. If this were the case, "dumping" would have had to evolve since men started using decoys. It might be possible to examine very old hunting stories to see if there was mention of the behavior a hundred or more years ago. We have seen the behavior demonstrated only when other birds are on the ground or water. It might be possible to test this hypothesis by simultaneously filming landing birds and landed birds, to see if there is some response by birds on the ground to the sight of birds displaying a "dumping" maneuver.

6. The birds might be performing violent evasive maneuvers to avoid collision. While this is undoubtedly a possibility in some cases, a cursory look at the films shows birds "dumping" that are nowhere near another bird.

7. They might be doing it just for their enjoyment. This hypothesis is not testable with present methods, but it is possible that "dumping" shares some functional characteristics with gull soaring, which may also represent a behavior which animals do, for lack of a more rigorous concept, because it feels good.

"Dumping" poses two linked questions: why do geese do it, and why don't they do it all the time?

We thank John Haffner for filming assistance, Robert Hegner and Steven Reinert for data analysis, and the staff of the Bombay Hook National Wildlife Refuge for their gracious hospitality. Publication Number 6 of the Avian Research Institute.
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THREE ADULT RED-TAILED HAWKS TENDING A NEST

JAMES W. WILEY
Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 5887
Puerto de Tierra, Puerto Rico 00906

The occurrence of extra helpers at nests is known for several avian families, notably the Sittidae, Hirundinidae, and Corvidae (Lack 1969). Skutch (1961) listed more than 130 species exhibiting this behavior. Although such situations are not common in the Falconiformes, there are several published reports of helpers at the nests or polygamy in this order: Marsh Harrier (American) (Circus cyaneus hudsonius; Hecht 1951, Reindahl 1941, Yocom 1944, Balfour 1937, Hamerstrom 1969), Hen Harrier (Circus cyaneus cyaneus; Jourdain 1924, van der Kraan and van Strien 1969), Montagu’s Harrier (Circus pygargus; Jourdain 1924, Hens 1926, Deet 1939), Marsh Harrier (Circus aeruginosus) (Bengston 1967), European Sparrow Hawk (Accipiter nisus; Balfour 1924, Greesee 1926, Jourdain 1928, Young 1973), and European Kestrel (Falco tinnunculus; Mathew 1882).

Reports of polyandry are few: Harris’ Hawk (Parabuteo unicinctus; Mader, pers. comm.) and Galapagos Hawk (Buteo galapagoensis; de Vries, unpubl. data), Clayton White (pers. comm.) observed three adult Bald Eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) attending nests in the Aleutian Islands although sex of the adults was not determined. My purpose here is to describe an instance of three Red-tailed Hawks (Buteo jamaicensis) attending one nest.

Observations were made at approximately 3-day intervals from March through July 1973 incidental to a population study of Red-shouldered (Buteo lineatus) and Red-tailed Hawks in Orange County, California. On 7 March I found a Red-tailed Hawk’s nest in a narrow oak grove which followed a dry stream bed through a 2.1-km long canyon. This canyon intersected a larger canyon approximately 450 m downstream from the nest tree. The larger canyon had a permanent stream and a broad, wooded flood plain. The nest was exposed at the top of an 11.6-m live oak (Quercus wislizenii).

During my first visit to the nest area, I observed one adult Red-tailed Hawk nest-building. On 17 March I observed an adult incubating. Four eggs were present on 30 March. Again, I saw only one adult (later determined to be a female from the distinctive plumage). She was moderately defensive, circling low overhead and calling with low-intensity defense vocalizations.

I noted a plumage difference in the incubating birds on different days but paid only casual attention to this observation, thinking it was merely a difference between the male and the female. On 24 April, when I climbed the nest tree again, I realized that three birds were defending the nest area. Judging from their relative body sizes and behavior, the three