## 100 Years Ago in The Auk



The Auk 116(4):1169-1170, 1999

## The Proper Function of 'Binomials' and 'Trinomials'

(Auk 16, No. 4, October 1899)

EDITORS OF 'THE AUK':

Dear Sirs: "Without wishing to throw discredit upon the much abused second edition of the AOU Check-List of North American Birds, I nevertheless feel called upon to draw attention to some inconsistencies contained therein, which indicate the present tendency to depart from some of the Canons of Nomenclature originally adopted by the Union.

"I refer to the nomenclature of island forms. The AOU Code distinctly makes 'intergradation in the touchstone of trinomialism,' and insular bird being obviously prevented from intergrading with mainland forms, ought to be uniformly provided with binomial names. Anyone who takes the trouble to examine the Check-List and the recent Supplements thereto will find that this custom is by no means followed out, as very many of the recently described insular forms from the California islands, which differ but slightly from allied mainland birds, appear as trinomials. In other words 'intergradation' has been disregarded and 'degree of difference' recognized as the criterion for deciding the specific or subspecific claims of a given form.

"We have, for instance, Carpodacus mcgregori from San Benito and Carpodacus mexicanus clementis from Santa Barbara; Aphelocoma insularis from Santa Cruz, while the Helminthophila from the same island is H. celata sordida. The Guadalupe Island birds are, I believe, without exception, written as binomials, but the vast majority of the other insular birds which have been described recently are listed as trinomials.

"In recent American mammalogy the tendency is in the other direction, and not only are all island forms, no matter how slightly differentiated, regarded as 'species' (i.e., binomials), but many peninsular and other continental forms which may readily be expected to intergrade are treated in the same way. In fact, the tendency among our mammalogists seems to be to depart from trinomialism altogether.

"This to my mind is much to be regretted, and will work irreparable damage to nomenclature. A trinomial name carries to the average student just twice the information that a binomial would under these circumstances. For instance, to one who is not conversant with every paper relating to modern mammalogy, how much more knowledge the name Lynx canadensis subsolanus conveys than Lynx subsolanus.

The former indicates at once a race of the Canada Lynx, the latter leaves him in doubt whether the animal is related to the Canada Lynx or the Wild Cat (L. ruffus). It seems that some modification of the AOU Canon relating to trinomials is desirable, especially as we seem to be deliberately violating it, but this can surely be effected without abolishing this extremely useful system.

"Animal forms (using this term for any recognizable species or subspecies) are of four kinds: (1) Those which exist side by side in the same area without intergradation as the Hermit and Olive-backed thrushes. (2) Those which inhabit different areas and intergrade where the areas join. These are obviously modified from one far ranging form which is being broken up by different geographic environments. (3) Those which inhabit different areas, but which do not intergrade and are often separated by wide gaps. (4) Island forms which are often closely related to nearby continental forms, but are of course completely isolated.

"By the AOU Code trinomials can only be applied to forms coming under category (2), and all others are treated as binomials. By common usage in ornithology, however, we adopt trinomials for such forms under (4) as show only a slight deviation from the allied continental type, and we also treat very many forms as trinomials which from lack of material we are undecided whether to place in (2) or (3).

"Personal opinion must necessarily govern such cases, no matter what Code we set up, just as it must govern all cases where 'degree of difference' is adopted as our criterion. Considering the great diversity of custom at present, it seems to me time that we came to some definite agreement on the matter, and our practice shows that 'degree of difference' must influence us in certain cases.

"To my mind (A), binomials should be applied to all forms which occur together without intergradation, no matter how slight the differences, and (B) trinomials, to geographic races which intergrade, or which differ so slightly that there is every probability of intergradation, and to slightly differentiated island forms. Only such geographic races should by considered as species (binomials) as are markedly different, and of the intergradation of which there is no probability. In other words, where intergradation

is probable, give it the benefit of the doubt. This practice is nearly that followed by the AOU Committee, but is at variance with that of many of our mammalogists, with whom the custom seems to be to call everything a species until intergradation is proven; which will speedily result in the adoption of a binomial name for every geographic variation—a most

undesirable state of affairs and a distinct retrograde step in nomenclature."

WITMER STONE Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia Sept. 6, 1899