gos mockingbirds, with notes on other behavior. Wilson Bull. 78: 198–206.

ISENHART, F. R., & D. F. DESANTE. 1985. Observations of Scrub Jays cleaning ectoparasites from blacktailed deer. Condor 87: 145–147.

KINNAIRD, M. F., & P. R. GRANT. 1982. Cooperative breeding in the Galápagos Mockingbird, Nesomimus parvulus. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 10: 65–73.

KÖSTER, F., & H. KÖSTER. 1983. Twelve days among the "vampire finches" of Wolf Island. Not. Galápagos 38: 4–10.

Nelson, B. 1968. Galápagos, islands of birds. New York, William Morrow and Co. ——. 1978. The Sulidae. Oxford, Aberdeen Univ. Press.

Schluter, D., & P. R. Grant. 1984. Ecological correlates of morphological evolution in a Darwin's finch, *Geospiza difficilis*. Evolution 38: 856–869.

SWARTH, H. S. 1931. The avifauna of the Galápagos Islands. Occ. Pap. California Acad. Sci. 18: 1–299. VENABLES, L. S. V. 1940. Nesting behaviour of the

Galápagos Mockingbird. Ibis 82: 629-639.

Received 8 August 1986, accepted 16 January 1987.

Further Evidence of Long-term Pair Bonds in Ducks of the Genus Bucephala

GILLES GAUTHIER1

Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1W5, Canada

In holarctic ducks, pair formation occurs during the winter or the spring migration, or both, and pair bonds are seasonal, usually lasting until the onset of incubation by the female (McKinney 1986). Because males desert their mates during incubation and leave the breeding grounds for molting, it has been thought that new pair bonds are formed every year and that repairing with the same mate does not occur (Rowley 1983, McKinney 1986). In dabbling ducks, marking males on the breeding grounds has confirmed that long-term pair bonds (>1 yr) do not occur (Poston 1974, Blohm 1978), although exceptions exist (e.g. Dwyer et al. 1973). In seaducks, however, there is evidence that pairing with the same individual for more than one breeding season may be a common occurrence. Savard (1985a) recently reported that in Barrow's Goldeneye (Bucephala islandica) the return rates of adult males and females to the breeding grounds were similar (66% vs. 76%), and that several pairs reunited in subsequent years. I now present evidence that male Buffleheads (B. albeola) are also philopatric to their breeding area and that pair bonds can reform in subsequent years.

The study was conducted in the Cariboo Parkland of British Columbia. In 1983 I captured 3 male Buffleheads using a mirror trap (Savard 1985b), whereas 66 females were trapped on the nest between 1982 and 1985. All birds were marked individually with color-coded nasal saddles and a set of color bands. In

all cases the mates of marked males were marked. Retention of saddles was poor after 2 yr, but the leg bands enabled me to identify individuals up to at least 4 yr.

Pair 1 consisted of the same individuals in 1983 and 1984. This pair was not seen on the study area in 1985, but female 1 returned in 1986 with a new mate. From 1982 to 1984, and again in 1986, female 1 nested successfully in the same tree cavity, and her mate always defended the same territory, located on the pond closest to her nest. The reasons for her absence in 1985 are unknown as both the cavity and territory were unoccupied.

Pair 2 involved the same male and female for at least three consecutive seasons. Female 2 failed to hatch a clutch in all years and changed nest sites every year. The pair changed ponds from 1983 to 1984 but not in 1985. In 1986 male 2 returned unpaired for a fourth year to the same pond. His former mate did not return to the study area. For much of the nesting season he remained on the same pond, where he joined transient groups of nonbreeders and performed courtship displays to unpaired females.

Pair 3 nested successfully in 1983 but was not seen subsequently on the study area. Male 3, however, was known to be alive in the following year because he was resighted on the wintering area near San Diego, California, in December 1984 (T. Meyer pers. comm.).

Two of the three marked pairs, therefore, remained intact for 2 yr and one of them for a third year. Buffleheads are highly territorial during the breeding season (Gauthier 1987), and philopatry of females to the territory is high (Erskine 1961, Gauthier in prep.). My results show that philopatry may also be strong

¹ Present address: Département de Biologie, Université Laval, Ste. Foy, Québec G1K 7P4, Canada.

in males. Donaghey (1975) marked one male Bufflehead (but not his mate) and found that the male returned to the same pond in the following year. Male philopatry could be associated mostly with reunion with the previous mate, although the return of male 2 in 1986 showed that even if a male does not reunite with his previous mate and does not find a new female, he still may return to his previous nesting area.

The fact that male Buffleheads desert their mates during incubation and migrate for molting raises the question as to where pairs reunite. Some females join flocks of molting males in late summer (Erskine 1972), and pair reunion could occur at this time. Alternatively, pairs may reunite in winter as both male and female Buffleheads have a strong tendency to return to the same wintering area (Limpert 1980). Savard (1985a) documented such a reunion in goldeneyes when he observed the remating of a marked pair on its wintering area.

Pairing of the same individuals during more than one breeding season has now been reported in Harlequin Ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus; Bengston 1972), Oldsquaws (Clangula hyemalis; Alison 1975), Common Eiders (Somateria mollissima; Spurr and Milne 1976), Barrow's Goldeneves (Savard 1985a), and Buffleheads (this study). This indicates that long-term pair bonds may be a common occurrence in seaducks. The fact that pairs reform from year to year despite a long period of separation suggests that there are significant advantages in reuniting with the same mate. Such advantages have been demonstrated in other longlived species of birds (Rowley 1983). Male experience could be especially important in highly territorial species like Buffleheads and goldeneyes where defense of a territory by the male is a prerequisite to successful breeding by the female (Gauthier 1986, 1987). Future studies in ducks should look more for pair-bond stability and should not neglect the contribution of males to reproductive success.

This study was funded by the Canadian National Sportsmen's Fund and a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada to J. N. M. Smith. I thank Danielle Gauthier, Barbara Peterson, and Simon Richards for their help in banding the birds; Terry Meyer for his observation of a marked Bufflehead in winter; and Jim Snowden for reporting it. Jean-François Giroux, Jean Bédard, and Frank McKinney provided helpful comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. W. Monical kindly allowed me to work on his property. This paper was written while I was supported by an Izaak Walton Killam Memorial Fellowship.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALISON, R. M. 1975. Breeding ecology and behavior of the Oldsquaw (*Clangula hyemalis* L.). Ornithol. Monogr. No. 18.
- BENGSTON, S. A. 1972. Breeding ecology of the Harlequin Duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus* L.) in Iceland. Ornis Scandinavica 3: 1-19.
- BLOHM, R. J. 1978. Migrational homing of male Gadwalls to breeding grounds. Auk 95: 763–766.
- DONAGHEY, R. H. 1975. Spacing behavior of breeding Bufflehead (*Bucephala albeola*) on ponds in the southern boreal forest. M.S. thesis, Edmonton, Univ. Alberta.
- Dwyer, T. J., S. R. DERRICKSON, & D. S. GILMER. 1973. Migrational homing by a pair of Mallards. Auk 90: 687.
- ERSKINE, A. J. 1961. Nest-site tenacity and homing in the Bufflehead. Auk 78: 389–396.
- ——. 1972. Buffleheads. Can. Wildl. Serv. Monogr. Ser. No. 4.
- GAUTHIER, G. 1986. Experimentally-induced polygyny in Bufflehead: evidence for a mixed reproductive strategy? Anim. Behav. 34: 300–302.
- ——. 1987. The adaptive significance of territorial behaviour in breeding Bufflehead: a test of three hypotheses. Anim. Behav. 35: 348–360.
- LIMPERT, R. J. 1980. Homing success of adult Buffleheads to a Maryland wintering site. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 44: 905-908.
- MCKINNEY, F. 1986. Ecological factors influencing the social systems of migratory dabbling ducks. Pp. 73–82 *in* Ecological aspects of social evolution (D. I. Rubenstein and R. W. Wrangham, Eds.). Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton Univ. Press.
- Poston, H. J. 1974. Home range and breeding biology of the Shoveler. Can. Wildl. Serv. Rept. Ser. No. 25.
- ROWLEY, I. 1983. Remating in birds. Pp. 331-360 in Mate choice (P. Bateson, Ed.). Cambridge, England, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- SAVARD, J.-P. 1985a. Evidence of long-term pair bonds in Barrow's Goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica*). Auk 102: 389–391.
- ——. 1985b. Use of a mirror trap to capture territorial waterfowl. J. Field Ornithol. 56: 177–178. Spurr, E., & H. Milne. 1976. Adaptive significance of autumn pair formation in the Common Eider Somateria mollissima (L.). Ornis Scandinavica 7: 85–89

Received 11 August 1986, accepted 17 January 1987.