estry and Wildlife for permission to work at French Frigate Shoals.

## LITERATURE CITED

- ASCHOFF, J., & H. POHL. 1970. Rhythmic variations in energy metabolism. Fed. Proc. 29: 1541-1552.
- ELLIS, H. I. 1984. Energetics of free-ranging seabirds. Pp. 203-234 in Seabird energetics (G. C. Whittow and H. Rahn, Eds.). New York, Plenum Publ. Corp.
  - —, M. MASKREY, T. N. PETTIT, & G. C. WHITTOW. 1982a. Temperature regulation in Hawaiian Brown Noddies (*Anous stolidus pileatus*). Physiologist 25: 279.
    - , \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, & \_\_\_\_. 1982b. Temperature regulation in Hawaiian Red-footed Boobies. Amer. Zool. 22: 394.
- ENGER, P. S. 1957. Heat regulation and metabolism in some tropical mammals and birds. Acta Physiol. Scand. 40: 161-166.
- GRANT, G. S., & G. C. WHITTOW. 1983. Metabolic cost of incubation in the Laysan Albatross and Bonin Petrel. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 74A: 77-82.
- HAILS, C. J. 1983. The metabolic rate of tropical birds. Condor 85: 61–65.
- HOWELL, T. R., & G. A. BARTHOLOMEW. 1961. Temperature regulation in nesting Bonin Island Petrels, Wedge-tailed Shearwaters, and Christmas Island Shearwaters. Auk 78: 343–354.
- ------, & ------. 1962. Temperature regulation in

the Red-tailed Tropicbird and the Red-footed Booby. Condor 64: 6-18.

- LACK, D. 1968. Ecological adaptations for breeding in birds. London, Methuen.
- LASIEWSKI, R. C., & W. R. DAWSON. 1967. A re-examination of the relation between standard metabolic rate and body weight in birds. Condor 69: 13–23.
- MACMILLEN, R. E., G. C. WHITTOW, E. A. CHRISTOPHER, & R. J. EBISU. 1977. Oxygen consumption, evaporative water loss, and body temperature in the Sooty Tern. Auk 94: 72–79.
- PETTIT, T. N., G. C. WHITTOW, & H. I. ELLIS. 1984. Food and energetic requirements of seabirds at French Frigate Shoals, Hawaii. Pp. 265-282 in Proceedings of the 2nd symposium on resource investigations in the northwestern Hawaiian Islands, 25-27 May 1983 (R. W. Grigg and K. Y. Tanoue, Eds.). Honolulu, Univ. Hawaii Sea Grant Office.
- RICKLEFS, R. E., & S. C. WHITE. 1981. Growth and energetics of chicks of the Sooty Tern (Sterna fuscata) and Common Tern (S. hirundo). Auk 98: 361– 378.
- UDVARDY, M. D. F. 1963. Data on the body temperature of tropical sea and water birds. Auk 80: 191-194.
- WEATHERS, W. W. 1979. Climatic adaptation in avian standard metabolic rate. Oecologia 42: 81-89.
- Received 8 August 1983, accepted 20 June 1984.

## Winter Range Expansion of the Long-billed Curlew (Numenius americanus) to South America

RAYMOND MCNEIL,<sup>1</sup> JOSE RAMÓN RODRIGUEZ S.,<sup>2</sup> AND FRANCINE MERCIER<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre de recherches écologiques de Montréal, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. A, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada, and <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Biología, Universidad de Oriente, Cumaná, Sucre, Venezuela

On 7 February 1984, a Long-billed Curlew (Numenius americanus) was sighted and photographed on a mud flat of the Chacopata Lagoon (10°41'N, 63°46'W) on the north side of the Araya Peninsula, State of Sucre, in northeastern Venezuela. Another sighting, presumably of the same bird, was obtained at the same location on 20 February. One individual of this species also was seen in this area by Rosauro Navarro in October 1982, and on several occasions between August 1983 and January 1984 Gedio Marin and the second author saw up to 5 individuals at a time. The A.O.U. check-list (1983: 186) reports *N. americanus* as wintering from central California and the southern U.S. south to southern Mexico, and irregularly to Guatemala, Honduras, Costa Rica, and Panama (accidental). The species is not listed as occurring in Venezuela nor elsewhere in South America (Meyer de Schauensee 1970, Meyer de Schauensee and Phelps 1978). The Long-billed Curlew was reported for Tobago under the name of *N. longirostris* by James Kirk in 1883 (ffrench 1973), but ffrench believes this record likely refers to the Whimbrel (*N. phaeopus*).

As far as we know, the present photographic evidence represents the first confirmed record of the Long-billed Curlew for continental South America. One copy of the color photograph has been deposited in the Colección Ornitologica Phelps in Caracas, and one is in the Ornithological Collection, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Montreal.

We thank Gedio Marin and Rosauro Navarro for providing information from their field books. This note is a by-product of ecological research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Quebec Department of Intergovernmental Affairs, the University of Montreal, and the University of Oriente.

## LITERATURE CITED

AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION. 1983. Check-list of North American birds, 6th ed. Baltimore, Amer. Ornithol. Union.

FFRENCH, R. 1973. A guide to the birds of Trinidad and Tobago. Wynnewood, Pennsylvania, Livingston Publ. Co.

- MEYER DE SCHAUENSEE, R. 1970. A guide to the birds of South America. Edinburgh, Oliver & Boyd.
- —, & W. H. PHELPS, JR. 1978. A guide to the birds of Venezuela. Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton Univ. Press.

Received 9 April 1984, accepted 27 June 1984.

## Survivorship in Hummingbirds: Is Predation Important?

RICHARD S. MILLER<sup>1</sup> AND C. L. GASS<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511 USA, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1W5, Canada

The traditional optimal foraging models reviewed by Pyke et al. (1977) and Krebs (1978) focused on proximal factors that influence energetic costs and benefits in terms of their contributions to net rate of energy gain. In general, these models have assumed that the fitness value of foraging tactics is determined primarily by proximal factors such as quality and distribution of food patches, although a variety of other factors such as cryptic prey, territory defense, or predation risk also may significantly affect feeding rates (Caraco 1980). For example, sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) alter their foraging tactics and feeding rates when predators are present (Milinski and Heller 1978), and feeding Blue Tits (Parus caeruleus) increase their scanning rates as predation risk increases (Leuchem 1983).

In a more general context, McCleery (1978) reviewed attempts to study how time budgets and behavior sequences are influenced by costs and benefits under conditions of conflicting demands. He concluded that to be accurate and predictive, optimization models and their associated decision rules must account for elements in the environment that constrain performance and significantly affect the expression of particular choices.

An advantage in the study of the behavioral ecology of nectar-feeding birds, and hummingbirds in particular, is the relative simplicity of the foraging system and the extent to which it lends itself to reasonably direct and uncomplicated field and laboratory measurements and experiments (Hainsworth 1981). It is an energy-limited system (Carpenter 1978, Gass and Montgomerie 1981) in which the amount and energy content of the food is easily measured in the field, the food sources are stationary and conspicuous, and the foraging behavior of these diurnal birds is easily observed (Gass and Montgomerie 1981). In this paper we review the literature of predation on hummingbirds for evidence of consistent amounts or patterns that would indicate that predation is a significant mortality factor.

Observed predation.—Table 1 shows the North American records of predation on "adult" hummingbirds (of unknown age from fledging) that are available from the literature. This does not include 4 records of hummingbirds caught in spider webs (Danforth 1921, Woods 1934, Stott 1951, Hoyt 1960) and one attacked by wasps (Grant 1959). The 13 recorded instances of predation involve 4 identified species of hummingbirds and a variety of predators (9), including insects, amphibia, and birds. Most of the instances listed in Table 1 occurred in flower gardens (7) or at hummingbird feeders (2). In the cases of predation by frogs and birds, the hummingbirds were eaten, but not by the mantids.

It is especially noteworthy that there are only 3 recorded instances of predation by raptors, and these cases were distributed among 3 raptor species. When Lowery (1938) found the remains of a Ruby-throated Hummingbird (*Archilochus colubris*) in the stomach of