Avian nesting success under gamma radiation exposure.—It is evident from Wetherbee's (1966) literature review that the sensitivity of birds to ionizing radiation (as measured by lethal dose (LD) determinations) decreases through the egg, nestling, and adult stages. For example, Norris (1958) administered acute exposures of 200 to 600 Roentgens (R) to nine Eastern Bluebird (*Sialia sialis*) eggs and 400 to 1200 R to four nestlings. Only one-third of the irradiated eggs produced fledglings, but all four irradiated nestlings fledged. Willard (1963) administered acute exposures to two age groups of bluebird nestlings for which he reported a LD₁₀₀ of 3000 R and a LD₅₀ of 2500 R for the 16-day nestling period. However, nestlings irradiated with 300 to 500 R at the age of 2 days were significantly stunted in growth and feather development. Therefore, Willard (1963) estimated a LD₅₀ of about 500 to 600 R for transition of nestlings to the fledgling stage.

Despite these studies, radiation data on wild birds is scarce. Therefore we took the opportunity as time permitted to watch birds nesting under chronic gamma radiation stress when a 10,000 curie ¹³⁷Cs gamma radiation source was operated on a 20-h day schedule (1230 to 0830) from 3 May to 16 October 1972 in a northern forest community near Rhinelander, Wisconsin. A description of the facility, flora, and fauna may be found in a monograph edited by Rudolph (1974). Radiation exposure for the incubation and nestling periods was estimated by using lithium fluoride dosimetry and by comparing field observations of morphological development with published descriptions of stage of ontogeny with respect to age (Friedmann 1929; Bent 1939, 1949, 1950, 1953; Nice 1953; Welty 1962).

Six species were represented in the 11 nests located (Table 1). Eight nests (Nos. 1 to 8) received 3 R/20-h day or less which resulted in a maximum exposure of 64 R during the incubation and nestling stages of development. Within this group there was only one known instance of hatching failure. In the remaining nests (Nos. 9 to 11), 50, 25, and 20% of the eggs failed to hatch after receiving incubation period exposures of 390, 430, and 500 R respectively. Although all nestlings in nests 9 and 10 survived after receiving total exposures of 760 and 1380 R, respectively, all nestlings in nest 11 died after receiving total exposures of 500 to 1120 R.

In view of the many unknowns, the fact that radiation was actually delivered in fractionated doses, and interruptions in the irradiation schedule caused by equipment failure, our observations suggest that chronic exposures of about 400 to 500 R during incubation are apt to increase hatching failures or to have subsequent lethal effects during the nestling stage. Our conclusion agrees with those reported by Norris (1958), Willard (1963) and Wetherbee (1966) and is also similar to that reported by Wagner and Marples (1966), who concluded that the LD_{100} for wild passerine eggs would be 500 to 1000 R for chronic exposures.

This research was supported in part by the Atomic Energy Commission under contract no. AT(49-7)3016. I acknowledge the assistance of T. King and R. W. Blank, who periodically made observations, and B. J. Salmonson for providing the dosimetry information. All were with the U.S. Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station at the time of the study.

LITERATURE CITED

- BENT, A. C. 1939. Life histories of North American woodpeckers. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 174.
- BENT, A. C. 1949. Life histories of North American thrushes, kinglets, and their allies. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 196.

Net mate in the ino.Dose struct SpeciesDose inter atte inter battionHow ing ingNo. days inter hatchingNo. days inter hatching1Hermit Thrush (<i>catharus</i> inter22115-6Neetling seen1Hermit Thrush (<i>catharus</i> inter22115-6Neetlings seen2Hermit Thrush (<i>catharus</i> inter22115-6Neetlings seen3Southaris ater) inter22115-6Neetlings seen44411114355Hermit Thrush (<i>atharus</i> arronon Flicker111446Nonon Flicker arronon Flicker33610-12Neetlings seen6American Rohin (<i>Turdus</i> arronon Flicker4414315-16Neetlings seen7American Rohin arronon Flicker3214315-16Neetlings seen6American Rohin arronon Flicker44114315-16Neetlings seen7American Rohin arronon Flicker32144101076American Rohin arronon Flicker32144315-16Neetlings seen7American Rohin arronon Flicker3232114<	SUM	IMARY OF	AVIAN	NESTING S	UCCESS IN RELA	LELATION	N TO GAN	SUMMARY OF AVIAN NESTING SUCCESS IN RELATION TO GAMMA RADIATION EXPOSURE	LXPOSURE
Clutch No. rate tarte Incu- Nest- No. days after hatching size ¹ hatching rotal nest vacated day) No. days after hatching size ¹ bation ling Total nest vacated No. days Cutharus 2 2 1 1 5 6 Cowbird 1 1 - 2 1 1 5 6 Cowbird 1 1 - 2 1 1 5 6 Cowbird 1 1 - 2 1 4 3 5 6 10-12 us 4 4 1.9 8 - - 0 14 3 3 10 23 12 18 <i>tradiation</i> 3 2 1.1 1 4 3 3 0 12 16 12 16 16 16 16 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Dose</td><td>۲ ۲</td><td>) 941130</td><td>á</td><td>Nestin</td><td>g results</td></td<>				Dose	۲ ۲) 941130	á	Nestin	g results
Clutch No. R/20-h Incu- Nest- after hatching is vacated day) size ¹ hatched day) 2 2 0.2 2 1 1 5-6 Cowbird 1 1 - 2 1 1 5-6 Cowbird 1 1 - 2 1 1 5-6 Cowbird 1 1 - 3 3 6 10-12 Coubird 1 1 - 3 3 6 10-12 us 4 4 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 us 10 7 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 t 4 3 1.4 13 10 23 12-18 t 8 5' 1.5 16 22 38 19-26 t 10 7 16 22 38 19-26 t 1 0.6 6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>rate</td> <td>dv:1</td> <td>) amen</td> <td></td> <td>No. days</td> <td></td>				rate	dv:1) amen		No. days	
(Catharus) 2 2 0.2 2 1 1 5-6 $2owbird$ 1 1 - 2 1 1 5-6 $2owbird$ 1 1 - 2 1 1 5-6 $1er$) 2(1) 2 0.3 3 5 10-12 us 4 4 0.4 3 1 4 3-8 r (Colaptes 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 us 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 r (Colaptes 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 r (Vardus 4 1.9 8 - - 0-14 14 3-8 r (Vriteo (3) 2 3.1.4 13 10 23 12-18 r (Vriteo (3) 2 3.32 28 60 9-11 r (Vriteo (3) 2 3.32 28 60 9-11 <		Clutch size ¹ h		(R/20-h day)	Incu- bation	Nest- ling	a Total 1	fter hatching nest vacated	Observation
Cowbird220.22115-6 ler)111-21356 ler)2(1)20.333510-12 us 440.43143-16 us 440.43143-3 us 66661215-16 us 10 7^2 0.6661215-16 $(Turdus)$ 41.980-14 us 31.41.980-14 us 85'1.51.6223819-26 $(Turdus)$ 85'1.51.6223819-26 us (Turdus)85'1.5162238 us 1021.516223819-26 $(Tureo)$ 323937076010-12 us 323937076010-12 us 326(a) 50057011 us 326(a) 50076010-12 us 3269(a) 50071011 us 3269(b) 50071011 us 3269(b) 500710112 us 32(b) 500710 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
$ter)$ 1 1 - 2 1 3 5-6 $ter)$ 2(1) 2 0.3 3 3 6 10-12 us 4 4 0.4 3 1 4 3-5 6 10-12 us t (Colaptes 4 4 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 t (Colaptes 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 t (Turdus 4 3 14 13 10 23 12-18 t (Vireo (3) $ 3.14$ 13 10 23 12-18 t (Vireo (3) $ 3.2$ 2.9 0.66 0.23 0.144 0.122 t (Vireo (3) $ 3.2$ 2.9 0.66 0.122 0.122 t (Vireo (3) $ 3.2$ 2.9 0.370 760 0.1212 t (vireo 3.2 500 3.70 760 0.1212 0.1212 <	guttata) Brown headed Combind	2	2	0.2	2	-	-	5- 6	Nestlings seen
Lowbird $2(1)$ 2 0.3 3 3 6 $10-12$ us 7 $Colaptes$ 4 4 0.4 3 1 4 3 5 $10-12$ us 7 0.6 6 6 1 4 3 1 4 3 1 4 3 5 $10-12$ T 6 0.4 3 1 4 3 1 4 3 5 $10-12$ T 4 4 1.9 8 $ 0.41$ $10-12$ T 4 3 1.4 1.3 10 23 $10-26$ 0.12 T 8 5^2 1.5 16 22 32 32 32 32 32 32 64 $9-11$ $9-12$ T 1 0 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 <th< td=""><td>(Molothrus ater)</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>I</td><td>2</td><td>Ч</td><td>ę</td><td>5- 6</td><td>Nestlings seen</td></th<>	(Molothrus ater)	1	1	I	2	Ч	ę	5- 6	Nestlings seen
I Cowbird 1 1 - 3 3 6 10-12 us 4 4 0.4 3 1 4 3-8 r (Colaptes 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 (Tardus 4 4 1.9 8 -	2 Hermit Thrush	2(1)	2	0.3	3	ŝ	9	10-12	Nestlings seen
us $(Colaptes)$ 4 4 0.4 3 1 4 3-8 r<(Colaptes) 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 (Turdus) 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 13-16 r<(Vireo 3 1.4 1.9 8 -	Brown-headed Cowbird	, 	1	I	3	ю	9	10-12	Nestlings seen
r (<i>Colaptes</i> r (<i>Colaptes</i> (<i>Turdus</i> (<i>Turdus</i> 10 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 (<i>Turdus</i> 4 4 1.9 8 0-14 8 5 ² 1.5 16 22 38 19-26 (<i>Vireo</i> (<i>Vireo</i> 3) - 3.2 (a) ^a 32 28 60 9-12 (b) 32 32 64 9-11 3 2 39 370 760 10-12 1 Cowbird 1 0 - 4 3 12 ⁻¹⁸ 1 Cowbird 2 2 - (a) ^a 32 28 60 9-11 3 2 69 (a) 500 - 500 11 3 2 69 (a) 500 - 500 - 10 1 (b) 500 50 1120 - 12 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - 12 1 2 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - 12 1 2 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - 12 2 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - 12 2 2 - (b) 500 620 1120 - 12 2 2 2 - (b) 500 620 620 620 620 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 12	5 UVENDITA (Seturus		-	Ň	¢	-	•	ç ,	
I0 7^2 0.6 6 6 12 15-16 (Tardus 4 4 1.9 8 - - 0-14 4 3 1.4 1.9 8 - - 0-14 7 3 1.4 1.9 13 10 23 12-18 7 $(Vireo$ 3 5² 1.5 16 22 38 19-26 $(Vireo$ (3) - 3.2 (3) 2 32 23 19-26 I Cowbird 2 3 12 13 10 23 12 13 1 Cowbird 2 39 370 760 9-11 3 2 39 370 760 10-12 1 Cowbird 1 0 - 500 - - 3 2 69 (0) 30 370 760 10-12 1 Cowbird 2 - (1) 050 - 500 - - 3 2 69	uurocupauus) 4 Common Flicker (Colastes	4	1	0.4	r,	-	4.	<u>5-</u> 8	Nestlings seen
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	auratus)	10	7^{2}	0.6	9	9	12	15-16	Nestlings seen
4 4 1.9 8 - - 0-14 r 8 5 1.5 1.6 23 11-18 12-18 (<i>Vireo</i> (3) - 3.2 1.6 22 38 19-26 (<i>Vireo</i> (3) - 3.2 1.6 22 38 19-26 I Cowbird 2 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						I			
T 4 3 1.4 13 10 23 12-18 T 8 5^2 1.5 16 22 38 19-26 (<i>Vireo</i> (3) - 3.2 (3) - </td <td>migratorius)</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>×</td> <td>I</td> <td>1</td> <td>0-14</td> <td>Nestlings seen</td>	migratorius)	4	4	1.9	×	I	1	0-14	Nestlings seen
r 8 5² 1.5 16 22 38 19-26 (Vireo (3) - 3.2 16 22 38 19-26 I Cowbird 2 2 - 3.2 64 9-11 I Cowbird 2 2 - (b) 32 28 60 9-12 I Cowbird 1 0 - - (b) 32 64 9-11 I Cowbird 1 0 - - - 10-12 1 Cowbird 1 0 - - - - 2 69 (a) 500 - 500 - 2 05 1380 11 - 2 69 (a) 500 - 500 - 2 - (b) 500 710 - - 2 - (b) 500 210 710 -	6 American Robin	4	3	1.4	13	10	23	12–18	Nestlings seen
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 Common Flicker	ø	Sī S	1.5	16	22	38	19–26	Nestlings seen
I Cowbird (3) $ 3.2$ $(a)^8$ 3.2 2.8 60 $9-12$ 1 2 2 - (b) 32 32 64 $9-11$ 1 3 2 39 370 760 $10-12$ 1 0 - 430 370 760 $10-12$ 3 2 69 (a) 500 $ 500$ $-$ 3 2 69 (a) 500 $ 500$ $ 500$ 110 $ (b)$ 500 $ 500$ $ 500$ $ (b)$ 500 $ 500$ $ 500$ $ (b)$ 500 210 710 $ -$									3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(3)	1	3.2	1	ł	I	I	Eggs disappeared
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2	2	ł	$(a)^3 32$	28	60	9–12	Nestlings seen
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		I			(b) 32	32	64	9-11	Nestlings seen
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hermit Thrush	3	7	39	390	370	760	10-12	Nestlings seen
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Brown-headed	1		1	I	I	I	1	Egg failed to hatch
3 2 69 (a) 500 - 500 - Cowbird 2 2 - (b) 500 70 - - Cowbird 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - - Cowbird 2 - (b) 500 620 1120 - -		4		128	430	950	1380	11	Fledged prematurely by
3 2 0.9 (a) 500 - 570 - Cowbird 2 2 - (a) 500 10 710 - Cowbird 2 2 - (a) 500 210 710 - (b) 500 620 1120 -	11 Dod arod Viuco	,	ç				001		observer's presence
Cowbird 2 2 $-$ (a) 500 710 $-$ (b) 500 210 710 $-$ (b) 500 620 1120 $-$	na neu-eyeu viteo	ç	7	60	(a) 500	1 0	000	1	Nestling died at 1 day
Cowbird 2 2 – (a) 500 210 710 – (b) 500 620 1120 – (b) 500 620 1120 –						0/	5/0	1	Nestling died at 1–3 days
500 620 1120 -	-	2	7	I	(a) 500	210	710	1	Nestling died at 3–5 days
					(b) 500	620	1120	I	Nestling died at 9–12 days

628

¹Number in parentheses is the known additional number of eggs that apparently were removed by cowhirds. ²Minimum number known to have hatched. ³The letters (a) and (b) identify individual nestlings.

- BENT, A. C. 1950. Life histories of North American wagtails, shrikes, vireos, and their allies. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 197.
- BENT, A. C. 1953. Life histories of North American wood warblers. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 203.
- FRIEDMANN, H. 1929. The cowbirds. Springfield, Illinois, Charles C Thomas.
- NICE, M. M. 1953. The question of ten-day incubation periods. Wilson Bull. 65: 81-93.
- NORRIS, R. A. 1958. Some effects of X-irradiation in the breeding biology of Eastern Bluebirds. Auk 75: 444-455.
- RUDOLPH, T. D. (Ed.), 1974. The Enterprise, Wisconsin Radiation Forest: Preirradiation ecological studies. TID-26113, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- WAGNER, R. H., AND T. G. MARPLES. 1966. The breeding success of various passerine birds under chronic gamma irradiation stress. Auk 83: 437–440.
- WELTY, J. C. 1962. The life of birds. Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders Co.
- WETHERBEE, D. K. 1966. Gamma irradiation of birds eggs and the radiosensitivity of birds. Massachusetts Agr. Exp. Station Bull. 561.
- WILLARD, W. K. 1963. Relative sensitivity of nestlings of wild passerine birds to gamma radiation. Pp. 345–349 in Radioecology (V. Schultz and A. W. Klement, Jr., Eds.). New York, Reinhold.

RICHARD R. BUECH, North Central Forest Experiment Station, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108. Accepted 28 Mar. 75.

Nocturnal predation by a Black-crowned Night Heron at a Common Tern colony .--- Scattered reports appear in the literature of actual or suspected predation by Black-crowned Night Herons (Nycticorax nycticorax) at Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) colonies. Marshall (1942) reported seeing a single heron eating Common Tern eggs at night in a western Lake Erie colony that all adult terns had temporarily left; he gave no data on numbers of eggs taken or on the duration of observation. Collins (1970) reported the remains of a 2- to 4-day-old Roseate Tern (S. dougallii) chick in the stomach contents of a Black-crowned Night Heron collected at 0700 on 13 July 1968 at Great Gull Island, New York. He suggested that nightherons may also have been responsible for the disappearance of several 1- to 3-dayold Common Tern chicks from the same colony. Nisbet (1975) suggested that most of the nocturnal predation in a Massachusetts Common Tern colony was caused by Great Horned Owls (Bubo virginianus) although he noted footprints of Blackcrowned Night Herons and a raccoon (Procyon lotor) near the colony. This note presents details of nocturnal predation in a Common Tern colony by a Blackcrowned Night Heron and discusses the significance of such predation as a factor influencing the terns' reproductive success.

We made these observations at one of several Common Tern colonies near Port Colborne, Ontario, where we have been studying tern reproductive biology for the past 3 years. The ternery was on a concrete shelf forming part of a breakwater at the Lake Erie terminus of the Welland Canal. Egg-laying began in early May 1973 and continued until early August 1973. During the course of recording hatching and fledging data for approximately 400 marked nests, we noted that numbers of eggs and young chicks disappeared between daily visits for no reason obvious from diurnal observations. In an attempt to assess the possibility of loss