Churchill, most of this foraging takes place on Hudson Bay (Jehl and Smith 1970). Such was the case with both adults of the nest mentioned here. At least in some areas and seasons loons prefer to fish in still weather (Sjölander pers. comm.), a condition often lacking for several days at Churchill. Thus, when weather conditions permit the eggs to be untended, it may be advantageous for both adults to feed provided that the eggs are checked frequently to deter potential predators. As suggested by Sjölander (pers. comm.) such "checking" behavior may result from a conflict between feeding and incubating drives during periods of prolonged feeding.

I thank Keith Yonge and Sandy Middleton for comments on the observation and Judy McIntyre, D. Jean Tate, James Tate, Jr., and Sverre Sjölander for helpful comments on the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- BENT, A. C. 1919. Life histories of North American diving birds. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 107.
- BENT, A. C. 1921. Life histories of North American gulls and terns. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 113.
- DUNKER, H., AND K. ELGMORK. 1973. Nesting of the Black-throated Diver, Gavia arctica (L.) in small bodies of water. Norwegian J. Zool. 21: 33-37.
- EVANS, R. M., AND M. K. MCNICHOLL. 1972. Variations in the reproductive activities of Arctic Terns at Churchill, Manitoba. Arctic 25: 131-141.
- JEHL, J. R., JR., AND B. A. SMITH. 1970. Birds of the Churchill region, Manitoba. Spec. Publ. No. 1, Manitoba Mus. Man and Nature, Winnipeg.
- PALMER, R. S. (Ed.). 1962. Handbook of North American birds, vol. 1. New Haven, Yale Univ. Press.
- SJÖLANDER, S. 1968. Iakttagelser över storlommens (Gavia arctica L.) etologi. Särtryck ur Zoologisk Revy 30: 89–93.

SNYDER, L. L. 1957. Arctic birds of Canada. Toronto, Univ. Toronto Press.

MARTIN K. MCNICHOLL, Department of Zoology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba. Present address: Department of Zoology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E1, Canada. Accepted 22 Apr. 74.

First record of the Greater Shearwater from the Gulf of Mexico.—On 4 November 1973 Jeffrey Greenhouse and Joseph Kleiman of Birmingham, Michigan, found a nearly dead shearwater near the south jetty of Galveston Beach, Galveston County, Texas. The bird was taken to S. M. Ray of the Texas A&M University Marine Laboratory, Galveston, where it soon died and was prepared as a study skin. Ray brought the skin to me, commenting that it might be a Greater Shearwater (*Puffinus gravis*). I agreed with Ray's identification, which was confirmed by comparison with material in the Louisiana State University Museum of Zoology collections.

The specimen has been deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collections at Texas A&M University. Selected measurements in mm are: wing (chord) 333, tail 103, exposed culmen 42.6. No information is available on gonad condition. The bird is molting on the upper back; the remiges and rectrices show wear, but no molt. Stresemann and Stresemann (1970, J. Ornithol. 111: 378) state that young birds molt the body plumage only at 6–7 months of age, while away from the breeding grounds. This places the molt period around October or November.

The Greater Shearwater is known from the United States only along the Atlantic coast from eastern Florida northward (Murphy 1967, Serial atlas of the marine environment: distribution of North Atlantic pelagic birds, map 8B, Amer. Geogr. Soc.). I have been unable to locate any other records for the Gulf coast of the United States or for any other part of the Gulf of Mexico region. Hence, the specimen represents not only the first record for Texas, but for the entire Gulf of Mexico.

The occurrence of procellariiform birds along the Texas (and Gulf) coast is usually associated with storms from the Gulf of Mexico. No severe weather had occurred on the Texas coast for at least 2 weeks prior to the encounter with this bird (Ray, pers. comm.) nor were there any tropical storms in the Gulf during the period of 1 October-4 November according to the Texas A&M University Weather Station.

I thank Jeffrey Greenhouse and Joseph Kleiman for salvaging the bird and S. M. Ray for preserving the specimen and for recognizing its uniqueness. Finally, I thank George H. Lowery, Jr. and John P. O'Neil for comparing the specimen with material in their care.—KEITH A. ARNOLD, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843. Accepted 19 Apr. 74.

A new prey-pursuit behavior by White-tailed Kites.——I report here two instances of White-tailed Kites (*Elanus leucurus majusculus*) making a rapid dive with wings folded while in apparent pursuit of prey. This behavior was seen during November 1972 in Irvine, Orange County, California.

On 5 November, at about 1450 PST, I watched an immature kite make four attempts to capture prey in 3- to 4-feet-tall weed growth on the fringe of a marsh. The first three attempts were characterized by the steep V of the wings, dangling legs, and slow descent. This is the form of hunting behavior reported for many years as typical of the White-tailed Kite (Pickwell 1930, Condor 32: 221; Waian and Stendall 1970, California Fish and Game 56: 188). The fourth attempt was a rapid, head-first dive made with wings folded tight against the body. The dive started from hovering flight approximately 50 feet above the ground; the axis of movement was nearly perpendicular to the ground. The kite penetrated the weed growth while in diving flight.

The second sighting, on 27 November at about 0945 PST, involved an adult kite. I was driving next to a tilled field when I saw the kite hovering ahead of me, over the field slightly to the right of the road. As I approached, the kite descended feet first until about 30 feet above the ground, then partially folded its wings against the body and dove toward the right ditch bank. The kite appeared to absorb the impact with its legs and breast.

Hawbecker (1942, Condor 44: 267) stated that Laidlaw Williams thought the last 20 feet of a kite's stoop is quite fast; however, there is no indication that the speed resulted from a dive. Watson (1940, Condor 42: 295) described the flight of the White-tailed Kite in detail, mentioning rapid dives only in the defense of feeding areas. All instances he recorded were associated with inter- and intraspecific aerial encounters. I saw no indication of feeding area defense.

I am grateful to George L. Hunt, Jr. of the University of California at Irvine for his comments during the preparation of this report.—BRUCE C. THOMPSON, 1412 Nisson Road, Apt. 2, Tustin, California 92680. Present address: Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331. Accepted 22 Apr. 74.