GENERAL NOTES.

The Generic Name of the Gannets.— Several years ago Mr. G. M. Mathews proposed the recognition (Austral Avian Record, II, Nos. 2-3, Oct. 23, 1913, pp. 55-56) of Pelecanus bassanus Linnæus and the other Gannets as a genus apart from the other species of Sula Brisson. On a later occasion (Birds Australia, IV, pt. 3, June 23, 1915, pp. 204-207, 217-218) he elaborated the diagnosis of this group and showed conclusively the claims of the Gannets to generic distinction. The feathered face, the proportions of wing, tail, tarsus, and culmen, and particularly the scutellate toes and parts of the front of the tarsus are more than ample characters. He further mentioned (Birds Australia, IV, pt. 3, 1915, p. 202) what had for some time been known, that the name Dysporus Illiger (Prodrom. Mamm. et Avium, 1811, p. 279), which has frequently been used in either generic or subgeneric sense for the Gannets, is merely a substitute term for Sula Brisson, on grounds of purism. The next name in point of time, Morus Vieillot (Analyse Nouv. Méth. d'Ornith. Elément., April, 1816, p. 63), is preoccupied by Morum Bolten, 1798, for a genus of Mollusca, and for this reason Mr. Mathews has named the group of Gannets Sulita (Austral Avian Record, II, No. 7, Jan. 28, 1915, p. 123; type by original designation, Pelecanus bassanus Linnæus). The name Moris Leach (Syst. Cat. Spec. Indig. Mamm. and Birds Brit. Mus., August, 1816, p. 35; type by monotypy Moris Bassana [= Pelecanus bassanus Linnæus], he rejects (Birds Australia, IV, pt. 3, 1915, p. 202) as a nomen nudum; and Moris Forster (Synop. Cat. Brit. Birds, 1817, p. 59) as a "mis-spelling of Morus only" (Birds Australia, IV, pt. 3, 1915, p. 217). According to the A. O. U. Code of Nomenclature, Mr. Mathews is correct in the rejection of Morus Vieillot on account of the prior *Morum* Bolten; but neither the A. O. U. Code nor the International Code permits the rejection of *Moris* because of either Morus or Morum, since Moris is a word not merely of different grammatical gender, but of different classical termination. Furthermore, the generic names in the publication of Leach quoted above are not nomina nuda, being properly joined with already duly described specific names; and in this particular case the species is unequivocably recognizable. Moreover, even if Leach's name Moris be disregarded, as of course it should not be, it would then be necessary to fall back on *Moris* Forster, 1817, which of course is a perfectly legitimate substitute for Morus Vieillot. Thus, in any case, the generic name of the Gannets will become Moris, and the species stand as follows:

> Moris bassana (Linnæus). Moris capensis (Lichtenstein). Moris serrator serrator (Gray). Moris serrator dyotti (Mathews).

> > HARRY C. OBERHOLSER, Washington, D. C.