grass of the preceding year; this year's growth having just well commenced."

The eggs seem to differ in appearance from any of the same genus that I have seen, and may be thus described: Creamy white, finely speckled all over the surface with reddish brown, and also marked with larger spots of the same color, more heavily at the larger ends. There are also a number of spots of light lilac, which are not conspicuous. They measure $.57 \times .48$; $.65 \times .46$; $.59 \times .47$; $.61 \times .46$.

The nest is small and loosely constructed, being quite flat. It is composed outwardly of a few leaves, a little moss and a good deal of fine grass, lined only with the latter material.

The nest was situated on the ground in and arched over with dry grass, and no bush or twigs were near. The eggs contained small embryos.—
J. Parker Norris, Jr., Philadelphia, Pa.

Connecticut Warbler and Philadelphia Vireo at Shelter Island, N. Y. —On Sept. 12, 1901, I took a specimen of the Connecticut Warbler (Geothlypis agilis) and on the 18th another, and on the same day a specimen of the Philadelphia Vireo (Vireo philadelphia); the first one taken here in over twenty years' collecting, and a new record, I believe for eastern Long Island. This bird was feeding in a young growth of wild cherry trees in an old overgrown field in company with some Red-eyed and White-eyed Vireos—a sort of family gathering.—W. W. WORTHINGTON, Shelter Island Heights, N. Y.

Toxostoma vs. Harporhynchus.— Toxostoma was first used by Rafinesque (Amer. Monthly Mag., IV, p. 107) in 1818, for a genus of shells. The name occurs in a mere list of shells as "TOXOSTOMA, N. G. I species," and is a pure nomen nudum. It remained in this state until Nov., 1831 (Enumeration and Account of Some Remarkable Natural Objects in the Cabinet of Professor Rafinesque in Philadelphia, p. 2), when the species was described. Shortly before this, however (Isis, May, 1831, 528), Wagler used the term for a genus of birds (type: Toxostoma vetula Wagler, = Orpheus curvirostris Swainson), and there seems to be no valid reason why Toxostoma should not replace Harporhynchus, the latter given in 1847 by Cabanis, on the supposition that Toxostoma was preoccupied. Our Thrashers should stand as follows: Toxostoma rufa (Linn.), Toxostoma longirostris sennetti (Ridgw.), Toxostoma curvirostris (Swains.), Toxostoma curvirostris palmeri (Coues), Toxostoma bendirei (Coues), Toxostoma cinerea (Xantus), Toxostoma cinerea mearnsi (Anthony), Toxostoma rediviva (Gamb.), Toxostoma rediviva pasadenensis (Grinnell), Toxostoma lecontei Lawr., Toxostoma lecontei arenicola (Anthony), and Toxostoma crissalis Henry.—CHAS. W. RICHMOND, Washington, D. C.

Hylemathrous vs. Troglodytes for the House Wren.— In 'The Birds of Massachusetts' (p. 92) Mr. G. M. Allen and I used Hylemathrous for the

generic name of the House Wren for reasons then in our estimation out of place to explain. In its adoption, however, we followed the accepted methods of scientific nomenclature.

Vieillot was first to separate Wrens from Warblers when he in 1807 (Hist. Naturelle des Oiseaux, p. 52) restricted the name Troglodytes to the true Wrens, including the European Wren (Troglodytes parvulus) as well as our American species aëdon, which is the only one he deals with in full, for the reason he was writing only on North American birds. He specified no type, and if he had not stated the inclusion of the European bird the mere fact that he took the specific name of the European species for his generic term would imply that he included it. In 1816 in his 'Analyse' (p. 45) he restricted Thriothorus, and made the type arundina-Rennie in 1831 (Montagu's Dict. British Birds, 2nd. ed., p. 570), considering Troglodytes, a word meaning a cave dweller, not applicable for the Wrens called them Anorthura. This simple name substitution to suit Rennie's taste of course does not affect the type, and he made no restrictions whatever. We have then next to go to Prince Maximilian (Beitr. Naturg. Bras., III, 1830, p. 742), who suggested Hylemathrous for a South American species, T. furvus, our House Wren aëdon, and also included in his separation Thryothorus arundinaceus of Vieillot, which he considered = to Cistothorus palustris, and not as now understood, T. ludoviciana. This name Hylemathrous was also in 1860 accepted and restricted by Cabanis (Jour. für Ornith., VIII, p. 406, 407).

Hylemathrous then being used for the House Wren leaves Troglodytes by elimination for the European Wren and our Winter Wren, which is congeneric with the European species.

Prof. Newton in his 'Dictionary' (p. 1051) in discussing this case says: "A few, who ignore not only common sense but also the accepted rules of scientific nomenclature, by a mistaken view of Vieillot's intention in establishing the genus *Troglodytes*, reserve that term for some American species—which can hardly be generically separated from the European form,—and have attempted to fix on the latter the generic term *Anorthura*, which is its strict equivalent, and was proposed by Rennie on grounds that are inadmissible."—REGINALD HEBER HOWE, JR., *Longwood*, *Mass*.

Nesting of the Great Carolina Wren in Connecticut. — "Come up here to-morrow morning and I will show you a bird's nest such as you never saw before in the State of Connecticut"— such was the tenor of the message which the mail brought me from Chester, Conn., last 15th of July, under the hand of Mr. C. H. Watrous, that stirred my oölogical instincts. I have a list of one hundred species whose nidification has fallen under my observation in Connecticut, and here was an offer to introduce to me No. 101. Of course I went, a passenger of the first morning train on the Valley Road, which left me on the station platform of that enterprising town which lies on the west shore of the Connecticut River, about ten miles from its mouth. It was not in the wild woods, as I expected, but