An examination of Gmelin's work shows that he used the name Larus for the Gulls systematically throughout his 'Reise' and only in one instance makes use of the name Gavia, and in this case merely as a quasi citation from Brisson, and having no reference whatever to Gavia Möhring. The passage in which Gavia is used, so far as it has any bearing on the case in point, is as follows: "Gavia ridibunda phaenicopos. Die Grosse Lach-Möve. Sie ist von Brisson schön beschrieben worden, und ich habe bey ihr nur zwey Anmerkungen zu machen. Die eine betrifft den Unterschied des Geschlechts und diese besteht in Schnabel...."

On referring to Brisson I find in Vol. VI of his 'Ornithologie,' page 196, that he describes a Gull to which he applies the name Gavia ridibunda phaenicopos, to which Gmelin here refers. Brisson, however, did not recognize a genus Gavia, but placed all the Gulls under Larus, beginning in every case his diagnoses "Larus supernæ cinereus," etc., as the case might require. Brisson's genus Larus is his genus "No. CII," under which he has 15 species, the technical name of 5 of which begins with the name Larus and the remaining 10 with the name Gavia, an eccentricity not confined to the genus Larus, and of no nomenclatural significance (see, for example, under Brisson's genus Anser, where Cygnus and Bernicla are employed in place of Anser).

The use of the name Gavia by Gmelin is merely in the sense of a reference to Brisson, he nowhere adopting Gavia in a generic sense for any Gull. It is evident, therefore that by no fair construction can Gavia be considered as established in a generic sense by S. G. Gmelin in 1770, and that it, "therefore, must be considered as a mere synonym of Larus."—
J. A. Allen, American Museum of Natural History, New York City.

Occurrence of the Glossy Ibis at Washington, D. C.—A specimen of the Glossy Ibis (*Plegadis autumnalis*) was shot by a hunter near Washington, D. C., September, 1900, and brought to the taxidermist shop of Mr. A. E. Colburn, to be mounted, where I examined it while it was in the flesh and absolutely identified it.

So far as I can learn, this is the first instance of the occurrence of this species in the District of Columbia. — JOHN W. DANIEL, JR., Lynchburg, Va.

The Red Phalarope (Crymophilus fulicarius) on the Coast of South Carolina.—An adult male of this far northern species was captured alive near the town of Mount Pleasant, S. C., in an exhausted state, by Mr. W. D. Hamlin on December 4, 1900, and presented to the writer. Upon preparing the specimen I found it to be greatly emaciated, but the plumage was entirely unworn. As far as I am aware this is the most southerly record of this bird for the Atlantic coast.—Arthur T. Wayne, Mount Pleasant, S. C.