CONTENTS

AUTHOR ADDRESSES	Х
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	3
METHODS	5
Data collection	5
Specimens	5
Stomach processing and prey identification	6
Feeding behavior	7
Data Analysis	9
Comparison of diets	9
Analysis of temporal, spatial, and demographic factors	11
Multiple regression analyses	12
Diet diversity	12
Prey size	12
Scavenging	13
Stomach fullness	13
Timing of feeding	14
Mass of prey consumed in relation to foraging strategy	14
Calculation of consumption rate for different prey groups	14
Estimation of total prey mass consumed	15
Statistical conventions	16
RESULTS	16
Comparison of Seabird Diets	16
Temporal and Spatial Aspects of Diet	20
Diet Diversity	21
Prey Size	22
Scavenging	27
Stomach Fullness	29
Timing of Feeding	32

Flock Composition and Prey among Birds Feeding over Tuna	35
Summary of Diet Composition	35
Proportion of Prey Obtained Using the Four Feeding Strategies	36
Size of the Seabird Avifauna and Total Prey Mass Obtained According to Feeding Strategy	36
DISCUSSION	41
Seabird Diets	42
Pelecaniformes	42
Large Procellariiformes	43
Small Procellariiformes	43
Laridae	43
Diet Partitioning	44
DIET VARIATION WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	44
Reliance of ETP Seabirds on Large Predatory Fish	45
Nocturnal Feeding	45
Scavenging	47
Diurnal Feeding on Non-Cephalopod Invertebrates	47
Summary of Use of the Four Feeding Strategies	47
Flock versus Solitary Foraging	48
Species Abundance in Relation to Diet	48
Comparison with a Polar Marine Avifauna	48
THE IMPORTANCE OF TUNA TO TROPICAL SEABIRDS	49
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	50
LITERATURE CITED	50

TABLES

TABLE 1. Sample sizes, by season and year, of seabirds collected in the ETP and that contained prey	5
TABLE 2. Birds collected in association with yellowfin and skipjack tunas	6
TABLE 3. Collection details for the 30 most-abundant avian species in the ETP	8
TABLE 4. Flock index, primary feeding method, mean mass (g \pm sd), and prey-diversity index (H') for the 30 most abundant avian species of the ETP	10
TABLE 5. Season and year of the occurrences of El Niño, neutral, and La Niña phases of the El Niño Southern Oscillation	12
TABLE 6. Principal component analyses by eight groups of prey in the diets of ETP seabirds	17
TABLE 7. Principal component analyses for temporal/spatial comparisons by eight groups of prey in the diets of 10 ETP seabirds	22
TABLE 8. Regression analyses for the relationship between prey size and varoius independent variables	27
TABLE 9. Standard lengths of photichthyids and myctophids eaten by certain ETP seabirds	27
TABLE 10. Regression analyses for the relationship between prey size and various independent variables	29
TABLE 11. Mean (± sd) for standard lengths of the more abundant prey consumed by certain ETP seabirds that feed in multispecies flocks	30
TABLE 12. Mean lower rostral lengths (millimeters) of cephalopod beaks eaten by ETP procellariiforms	31
TABLE 13. Results of regression analyses for the relationship between stomach fullness and certain independent variables	32
TABLE 14. Composition of whole prey found in the stomachs of seabirds collected while feeding in flocks induced by yellowfin and skipjack tuna	35
TABLE 15. Species composition of seabird flocks observed while feeding in flocks induced by yellowfin and skipjack tuna	37
TABLE 16. Percent of fishes, cephalopods, and non-cephalopod invertebrates in the diets of the 30 most-abundant ETP seabirds	38
TABLE 17. Average prey mass in grams (mean \pm se) obtained by ETP seabirds when using each of four feeding strategies during a given 24-hr period	39
TABLE 18. Estimate of the total prey mass consumed by ETP seabirds using each of four feeding strategies	40

FIGURES

FIGURE 1. The study area in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean, including locations (shown with dots) where birds were collected. The horizontal dashed line separates the Equatorial Countercurrent from the South Equatorial Current (Tropical Front); and the vertical line separates east from west as referred to in the text. The staircase line effect along the coast on the east side of the study area denotes the boundary separating pelagic waters (to the west) and coastal waters to the east. Shading indicates large-scale patterns of ocean productivity:

the three gradations shown are, darker meaning higher values: <200, 201–300, and >300 mgC m ⁻² d ⁻¹ (from Longhurst and Pauly 1987, p. 122)	4
FIGURE 2. The distribution of at-sea survey effort of seabirds in the eastern Pacific Ocean (1983–1991). Each dot represents one noon ship position. The staircase line effect along the coast on the east side of the study area denotes the boundary separating pelagic waters to the west and coastal waters to the east	9
FIGURE 3. Results of the PCA comparing diets among 30 species of seabirds from the ETP. Diets of species enclosed in the same circle were not significantly different (Sidak multiple comparison tests, P > 0.05). BORF = Red-footed Booby (Sula sula), BOMA = Masked Booby (S. dactylatra), BONA = Nazca Booby (S. granti), FRGR = Great Frigatebird (Fregata minor), JAPA = Parasitic Jaeger (Stercorarius parasiticus), PEBU = Bulwer's Petrel (Bulweria bulwerii), PTBW = Black-winged Petrel (Pterodroma nigripennis), PTDE = DeFilippi's Petrel (Pterodroma defilippiana), PTHE = Herald Petrel (Pterodroma arminjoniana), PTJF = Juan Fernandez Petrel (Pterodroma externa), PTKE = Kermadec Petrel (Pterodroma neglecta), PTMU = Murphy's Petrel (Pterodroma ultima), PTPH = Phoenix Petrel (Pterodroma alba), PTSJ = Stejneger's Petrel (Pterodroma longirostris), PTTA = Tahiti Petrel (Pterodroma rostrata), PTWN = White-necked Petrel (Pterodroma cervicalis), PTWW = White-winged Petrel (Pterodroma leucoptera), SHCH = Christmas Shearwater (Puffinus nativitatus), SHSO = Sooty Shearwater (Puffinus griseus), SHWT = Wedge-tailed Shearwater (Puffinus pacificus), STMA = Markham's Storm-Petrel (Oceanodroma markhami), STWR = Wedge-rumped Storm-Petrel (Oceanodroma tethys), STLE = Leach's Storm-Petrel (Oceanodroma leucorhoa), STWB = White-bellied Storm-Petrel (Fregetta grallaria), STWF = White-faced Storm-Petrel (Pelagodroma marina), STWT = White-throated Storm-Petrel (Nesofregetta fuliginosa), TEGB = Gray-backed Tern (Onychoprion lunatus), TESO = Sooty Tern (Onychoprion fuscatus), TEWH = White Tern (Gygis alba), TRRT = Red-tailed Tropicbird (Phaethon rubricauda)	17
FIGURE 4. Percent of each of eight prey groups in the diet of seven smaller species of petrels, which feed solitarily in the ETP. Percent was calculated as the total number of prey representing a given prey group divided by the total number of prey summed across all eight prey groups in a given seabird species' diet. Values of N (in parentheses) are the number of birds containing at least one prey item. Error bars denote the standard error. See Methods for details on classification of the eight groups of prey species, and Appendices 3–9 for detailed prey lists	18
FIGURE 5. Diet composition of the eight medium-sized petrels, most of which feed solitarily in the ETP. For each seabird species, percent was calculated as the total number of prey representing a given prey group divided by the total number of prey summed across the eight prey groups in a given seabird species' diet. Values of N (in parentheses) are the number of birds containing at least one prey item. Error bars denote the standard error. See Methods for details on classification of the eight groups of prey species, and Appendices 10–17 for detailed prey lists and predator sample sizes	19
FIGURE 6. Diet composition of the 15 species of birds that generally feed over surface-foraging tuna in the ETP. For each seabird species, percent was calculated as the total number of prey representing a given prey group divided by the total number of prey summed across the eight prey groups in a given seabird species' diet. Values of N (in parentheses) are the number of birds containing at least one prey item. Error bars denote the standard error. See Methods for details on classification of the eight groups of prey species, and Appendices 18–32 for detailed prey lists and predator sample sizes	21

FIGURE 7. Results of the PCA to compare diets between sexes for each of 10 species of seabirds in the ETP. See Fig. 3 for species codes (first four letters). The fifth letter in the code designates female (F) or male (M). Diets of species enclosed in the same circle did not differ significantly between sexes (Sidak multiple comparison tests, all P > 0.05). Differences among species are not shown (see Fig. 3 for those results)	22
FIGURE 8. Results of the PCA to compare diets between spring and autumn for each of 10 species of seabirds in the ETP. See Fig. 3 for species codes (first four letters). The fifth and sixth letters in the code designate spring (SP) and autumn (AU). Diets of species enclosed in the same circle did not differ significantly between seasons (Sidak multiple comparison tests, all $P > 0.05$). Differences among species are not shown (see Fig. 3 for those results)	23
FIGURE 9. Results of the PCA to compare diets of 10 species of seabirds between the South Equatorial Current and North Equatorial Countercurrent. See Fig. 3 for species codes (first four letters). The fifth letter in the code designates current system; S = South Equatorial Current, or N = North Equatorial Countercurrent. Diets of species enclosed in the same circle did not differ significantly between current systems (Sidak multiple comparison tests, all $P > 0.05$). Differences among species are not shown (see Fig. 3 for those results)	23
FIGURE 10. Percent of eight different categories of prey in the diets of different species of seabirds occurring within different current systems, longitudinal sections, or during La Niña vs. El Niño. See Methods for details on divisions for these waters or temporal periods. For current system, longitudinal section, and ENSO phase, the light bars designate the SEC, East, and El Niño, respectively; and the dark bar designates the NECC, West, and La Niña	24
FIGURE 11. Results of the PCA to compare diets between east and west longitudinal portions of the ETP for each of 10 species of seabirds. See Fig. 3 for species codes. The fifth letter in the code designates east (E) or west (W). Diets of species enclosed in the same circle did not differ significantly between longitudinal sections (Sidak multiple comparison tests, all P < 0.05). Differences among species are not shown (see Fig. 3 for those results)	25
FIGURE 12. Results of the PCA to compare diets between El Niño and La Niña for each of 10 species of seabirds in the ETP. See Fig. 3 for species codes. The fifth letter in the code designates El Niño (E) or La Niña (L). Diets of species enclosed in the same circle did not differ significantly between the two ENSO phases (Sidak multiple comparison tests, all $P < 0.05$). Differences among species are not shown (see Fig. 3 for those results)	25
FIGURE 13. A) Shannon-Wiener diet-diversity indices (H') for species of seabirds in the ETP having sample sizes (number of birds containing prey) \geq 9. See Table 3 for species' sample sizes; Fig. 3 for species code definitions. B) Mean $H' \pm sD$ among six groups of ETP seabirds	26
FIGURE 14. (a) Average otolith length (millimeters) of 10 species of prey taken by five species of seabirds that feed on smaller fishes. Predator species' bars for each prey species are from left to right (in order of increasing predator mass): Wedge-rumped Storm-Petrel (<i>Oceanodroma tethys</i>), Leach's Storm-Petrel (<i>O. leucorhoa</i>), Black-winged Petrel (<i>Pterodroma nigripennis</i>), White-winged Petrel (<i>P. leucoptera</i>), Tahiti Petrel (<i>P. rostrata</i>). (b) Average otolith or beak length (millimeter) of three species of prey taken by six species of seabirds that feed on larger prey. Predator species' bars are from left to right (in order of increasing mass): Sooty Tern (<i>Onychoprion fuscata</i>), Wedge-tailed Shearwater (<i>Puffinus pacificus</i>), Juan Fernandez Petrel (<i>Pterodroma externa</i>), Red-tailed Tropicbird	

(Phaethon rubricauda), Nazca Booby (Sula granti), Masked Booby (Sula dactylatra). See Appendices for prey sample sizes
FIGURE 15. Stomach fullness (mean \pm SE) of 29 species of seabirds in the ETP (Nazca booby [Sula granti] excluded; see Methods). Stomach fullness is the mass of food in the stomach divided by the fresh mass of the predator (minus mass of the food) multiplied by 100. See Table 2 for approximate sample sizes. Verticle line projecting from x-axis separates flock-feeding species (left side) from solitary feeding species (right side)
FIGURE 16. Otolith condition (mean ± SE) in relation to hour-of-day among five groups of seabirds: (a), myctophids caught by storm-petrels, (b), myctophids caught by solitary procellariids, (c), myctophids caught by flocking procellariids, (d), exocoetid-hemiramphids caught by flock-feeders; and (e), diretmids, melamphaids, and bregmacerotids caught by all procellariiforms. Otolith condition 1 represents pristine otoliths of freshly caught fish and 4 represents highly-eroded otoliths of well-digested fish. Numbers adjacent to means are otolith sample sizes, where one otolith represents one individual fish (see Methods). For myctophids, diretmids, melamphaids, and bregmacerotids, the line of best fit (solid line) was extrapolated (dashed line) to the x-axis at otolith condition 1, and gives an estimate of the average hour when fish were caught by the seabirds
FIGURE 17. Number of intact prey representing six prey groups present in the stomachs of flock-feeding species (top two graphs) and storm-petrels (bottom four) in relation to time-of-day that the birds were collected
FIGURE 18. Percent composition of the seven most frequently consumed prey species within the diets of seabirds feeding in flocks over yellowfin (<i>Thunnus albacares</i>) (light bar, N = 11 flocks) and skipjack tuna (<i>Euthynnus pelamis</i>) (dark bar, N = 7 flocks). For a given flock type, percentages are the number of prey of a given prey species divided by the total number of prey representing all seven prey species multiplied by 100. Number of prey for the seven prey species was 471 individuals from birds collected over yellowfin tuna, and 206 prey from birds collected over skipjack tuna
FIGURE 19. Proportion of prey mass obtained by each of three species groups when using four feeding strategies. Feeding over predatory fish is denoted by predatory fish; NCI = non-cephalopod invertebrates
APPENDICES
APPENDIX 1. Prey species by number, mass (grams), and percent (by number) in the diets of 2,076 birds of 30 species sampled in the ETP, 1983–1991
APPENDIX 2. Regression equations used to calculate standard lengths (SL), dorsal mantle lengths (DML), and mass (W) of 19 species of fishes and 17 species of cephalopods eaten by ETP seabirds
APPENDIX 3. Diet of Bulwer's Petrel (Bulweria bulwerii)
APPENDIX 4. DIET OF WHITE-FACED STORM-PETREL (PELAGODROMA MARINA)
APPENDIX 5. DIET OF WHITE-THROATED STORM-PETREL (NESOFREGETTA FULIGINOSA)
APPENDIX 6. DIET OF WHITE-BELLIED STORM-PETREL (FREGETTA GRALLARIA)
APPENDIX 7. DIET OF LEACH'S STORM-PETREL (OCEANODROMA LEUCORHOA)
APPENDIX 8. DIET OF WEDGE-RUMPED STORM-PETREL (OCEANODROMA TETHYS)

APPENDIX 9. Diet of Markham's Storm-Petrel (Oceanodroma markhami)	73
APPENDIX 10. Diet of Stejneger's Petrel (Pterodroma longirostris)	74
APPENDIX 11. Diet of Defillippe's Petrel (Pterodroma defilippiana)	76
APPENDIX 12. Diet of White-winged Petrel (Pterodroma leucoptera)	77
APPENDIX 13. Diet of Black-winged Petrel (Pterodroma nigripennis)	79
APPENDIX 14. Diet of Herald Petrel (Pterodroma arminjoniana)	81
APPENDIX 15. Diet of Murphy's Petrel (Pterodroma ultima)	82
APPENDIX 16. DIET OF PHOENIX PETREL (PTERODROMA ALBA)	83
APPENDIX 17. Diet of Tahiti Petrel (Pterodroma rostrata)	84
APPENDIX 18. Diet of Juan Fernandez Petrel (Pterodroma e. externa)	87
APPENDIX 19. DIET OF WHITE-NECKED PETREL (PTERODROMA E. CERVICALIS)	89
APPENDIX 20. Diet of Kermedec Petrel (Pterodroma neglecta)	90
APPENDIX 21. Diet of Sooty Shearwater (Puffinus griseus)	91
APPENDIX 22. Diet of Wedge-tailed Shearwater (Puffinus pacificus)	92
APPENDIX 23. Diet of Christmas Shearwater (<i>Puffinus nativitatus</i>)	93
APPENDIX 24. Diet of Sooty Tern (Onychoprion fuscata)	94
APPENDIX 25. Diet of White Tern (Gygis alba)	95
APPENDIX 26. Diet of Gray-backed Tern (Onychoprion lunatus)	95
APPENDIX 27. Diet of Parasitic Jaeger (Stercorarius parasiticus)	96
APPENDIX 28. Diet of Red-tailed Tropicbird (Phaethon rubricauda)	96
APPENDIX 29. Diet of Great Frigatebird (Fregata minor)	97
APPENDIX 30. Diet of Masked Booby (Sula dactylatra)	97
APPENDIX 31. DIET OF NAZCA BOOBY (SULA GRANTI)	98
APPENDIX 32. DIET OF RED-FOOTED BOOBY (SULA SULA)	98
APPENDIX 33. Minimum depth distributions of myctophids during nocturnal vertical migrations	99