## SIGNIFICANT BANDING TOTALS By Franklin McCamey

I am much interested in the comments stirred up over the column on is Banding the Most of What" in recent issues of EBBA NEWS. I would to add my ideas.

think the column can be quite useful in getting together banders who doing large numbers of certain species. This should stimulate cooperion as well as competition and it might make all our efforts more useful. pr this purpose it would suffice to publish only those cases in which sigfrom numbers were banded, although I realize this may cause a problem the determination of the level of significance. It would vary for diffspecies, and might work out well if left to the individual bander to what he thinks are significant numbers for his station. For pape, I would think that 3 Parula Warblers banded incidentally to a ting operation would not be significant, but that 10 nestling Redbuildered Hawks or 500 Redpolls would be significant and of rather wide mterest. An examination of the lists published in the past might well militate the approximate levels of significance for the different species, the lists submitted by each bander might be culled by an editor on this 1518.

If possible, it would be helpful to give the total number of each pecies banded each year, but this would probably not be available for smeral months after it is of greatest interest.

To get a reaction, I am including a list of what I consider my sigefficant totals for 1960:

1960 Banding Totals -- Significant Humbers

Red-shouldered Hawk Hairy Woodpecker Downy Woodpecker Purple Finch Redpoll Pine Siskin White-breasted National	7 (nestlings) 19 32 320 595 92
White-breasted Nuthatch	55
Black-capped Chickadee	303

These are selected from a total of 2295 individuals of 66 species.

Grehard Acres, Storrs, Connecticut

立 立 立

NOTE -- This will be discussed at the Annual Meeting, April 22-23. Be sure that you come to East Stroudsburg and add your dollar worth to my two-cents worth: (Ed.)