

the cover with its omission. Once Jeff Gill included another excellent sketch of a bird, but he forgot the main guy, the band!

"Maybe, your bird is looking at the trap with hopes that therein, he may acquire his own band."

(Consultation with the author of the sketch, J.R.C., reveals that it was her intention that the Titmouse portrayed was yet unbanded.-Ed.)

* * *

GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF EBBA MEMBERS

At the recent annual meeting in Baltimore, Maryland, the question was raised as to the geographical distribution of EBBA members. The following tabulation shows the situation as of April 15, 1954. In so far as the Editor is informed, the area allocated to EBBA includes all the Atlantic seaboard states, exclusive of the New England states, and including the Canadian province of Ontario. Reference to the accompanying map will give, perhaps, a better idea of the distribution of our members. It is interesting to note that 46, or 22% of our members, reside outside the limits allocated to us, i.e., in territories in which other banding associations are assumed to be the ones to which banders should belong. However, it should be noted that banders who are members of one regional association frequently are members of one or more additional associations. The question was raised as to whether members of EBBA who reside out of the geographical area assigned to us should serve as officers of the association. While this issue was not definitely decided at the 1954 meeting, it is an interesting one, and the present geographical breakdown of the membership is presented so that members may be acquainted with the nature of the problem. It is hoped that members who have opinions concerning this matter will express them in EBBA NEWS.

The geographical distribution of EBBA members is as follows:

Extra-limital Territory		EBBA Territory	
NEW ENGLAND		Ontario	8
		New York	48
Maine	1	New Jersey	46
Massachusetts	10	Pennsylvania	55
Connecticut	10	Delaware	4
Total	<u>21</u>	Maryland	16

OTHER		Virginia	5
		North Carolina	7
		South Carolina	4
Manitoba	1	Georgia	6
Quebec	1	Florida	5
Minnesota	2	West Virginia	2
California	2	Total	<u>206</u>
Missouri	2		
Iowa	2	<u>Summary</u>	
Wisconsin	1	Extra-limital Members	46
Indiana	1	'Assigned' members	<u>206</u>
Tennessee	4	Grand total	252
Illinois	3		
Nebraska	1		
Michigan	2		
Ohio	3		
Total	<u>23</u>		

WHAT ABOUT WOODPECKER TRAPS?

Mr. Lester R. Marland, 82 South Street, Ware, Massachusetts, queries the Editor about woodpecker traps. Perhaps banders who have had more experience than the Editor with woodpeckers will be able to give definitive answers to some of the questions raised by Mr. Marland. As far as the Editor is concerned, he has caught Downy Woodpeckers in Potter traps and in house traps. He would also recommend the woodpecker trap developed by Dr. Paul H. Fluck, Lambertville, New Jersey, portrayed in this issue and which may well be the answer to Mr. Marland's problem. Your Editor placed one a tree in his yard this morning and shortly had a Downy. Mr. Marland writes:

"I wonder if E.B.B.A. members have had real good success with the Baldwin woodpecker trap. If so, have they been successful using it as an automatic or as a pull-string trap? Made according to the manual, without a door for the removal of the birds, how do they remove them?

"Does anybody have a good woodpecker trap he would like to put on the market? I would like to hear from anybody regarding a good woodpecker trap. I feel there is a need for one."

* * *