A Long-eared Owl x Short-eared Owl (Asio otus x A. flammeus) specimen from Ontario

Michel Gosselin and Kristen Keyes

Over the course of her graduate work on Short-eared Owls (*Asio flammeus*), Keyes found an unusual specimen of owl in the collections of the Canadian Museum of Nature. Upon examination, this individual proved to have features that are almost perfectly intermediate between the Short-eared Owl and the Long-eared Owl (*A. otus*).

This specimen was received in early 1991 from E.R. [Kit] Chubb, Avian Care and Research Foundation, Verona, Ontario, and was prepared as a study skin (catalogue no. CMNAV 92233) by Richard M. Poulin. According to the catalogue data and the information supplied more recently by Kit Chubb, this owl was originally found with a broken humerus, on 28 October 1990, near Tweed, Hastings County. It was given veterinary care at the Avian Care and

Research Foundation, but had to be euthanized on 15 December 1990. The bird was relatively fat (4, on a scale from 0 to 5) after its two months in captivity, and was determined to be a male from post-mortem gonad examination.

Short-eared Owls and Long-eared Owls are the sole members of the genus *Asio* in temperate North America, where they have extensive overlapping ranges. The species differ to some extent in habitat choice: the Long-eared Owl is an arboreal bird that hunts over open areas at night, while the Short-eared Owl inhabits open areas, where it may hunt by day as well as by night. Nevertheless, interspecific tree-roosts have been documented for these two species (Holt and Leasure 1993, Marks *et al.* 1994). Hastings County has suitable, adjoining habitat for both species, although the

natal origins of CMNAV 92233 are unknown. According to descriptions in Holt and Leasure (1993) and Marks *et al.* (1994), the mating behaviours of Shorteared Owls and Long-eared Owls have many similarities, but their calls are different. Polygamy seems to occur occasionally in both species.

Worldwide, there are seven or eight species in the genus *Asio*, some of which exhibit marked geographical variation that may eventually lead to the recognition of additional species (del Hoyo *et al.* 1999). Short-eared Owls and Longeared Owls have been assigned to distinct subgenera by Wolters (1975-82) [*Brachyotus* and *Asio*, respectively], indicating that the two species are not each other's closest relatives on a global basis — although they are in Ontario. Likewise,

Randi et al. (1991) state that "the genetic distance between A. otus and A. flammeus is unusually large for congeneric bird species." Similarly, Wink et al. (2004) do not show these two species as each other's closest relatives (they associate A. flammeus with A. capensis, and A. otus with A. clamator). However, Voous (1989) claimed that Short-eared Owls and Long-eared Owls "are probably each other's closest relatives". This is based on the karyological work of Belterman and DeBoer (1984), though the authors did not report data on any other Asio species.

According to Pyle (1997), Short-eared Owls are told from Long-eared Owls in the hand by their shorter ear tufts (<25mm). The ear tufts of CMNAV 92233 measure ca 41 mm (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, *Hastings* County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Long-eared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, *Renfrew* County). Apical view showing the ear tufts.



Figure 2. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, Hastings County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Long-eared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, Renfrew County). Frontal view showing the underside of the primaries, and the underside of the secondaries for the two specimens on the left.



Figure 3. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, *Hastings* County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Longeared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, *Renfrew* County). Dorsal view.

Figure 4. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, *Hastings* County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Longeared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, *Renfrew* County). Frontal view.



Figure 5. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, Hastings County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Long-eared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, Renfrew County). Dorsal view of the tails.





Figure 6. Left to right: Short-eared Owl (CMNAV 86182, HY male, 8 November 1986, *Hastings* County), presumed hybrid (CMNAV 92233), and Long-eared Owl (CMNAV 89915, HY male, 12 November 1987, *Renfrew* County). Frontal [underside] view of the tails.

Other diagnostic features mentioned by Pyle (1997) include the blackish primary tips and the light trailing edge on the wings of Short-eared Owls. The colouration of the primary tips of CMNAV 92233 is intermediate between the two species, as is the pattern of the secondaries (Fig. 2).

The plumage of CMNAV 92233 shows a number of additional characters that are intermediate between Shorteared Owls and Long-eared Owls. The overall buffy colouration is that of a Short-eared Owl, yet the upperparts are heavily vermiculated as in the Long-eared Owl (Fig. 3). The underparts are streaked as in the Short-eared Owl, yet many of the streaks show a minute transverse bar, reminiscent of those of the Long-eared Owl (Fig. 4). The tail bands

have features of both species, but mostly of the Long-eared Owl (Fig. 5 and 6).

The standard measurements of CMNAV 92233 fall almost halfway between the means given by Godfrey (1986) for male specimens of the two species:

Wing chord

Short-eared Owl 283.5-307.5 mm mean 302.9 mm CMNAV 92233 294 mm

Long-eared Owl 269.5-295 mm mean 286.7 mm

Tail length

 Short-eared Owl
 135.5-149.5 mm
 mean 146 mm

 CMNAV 92233
 146.4 mm

 Long-eared Owl
 141.5-153.5 mm
 mean 148.1 mm

Weight

The weight of CMNAV 92233 also falls in between the means for males of the two species as given by Holt and Leasure (1993) and Marks *et al.* (1994):

Short-eared Owl 315 g CMNAV 92233 300 g Long-eared Owl 245 g The large number of bars across the outer primaries, as in Long-eared Owls (Pyle 1997), and the dark point at the tip of the central rectrix, as in Short-eared Owls (Baker 1993), would indicate that CMNAV 92233 is a hatch-year bird.

Given the intermediate nature of most physical features of CMNAV 92233, and the fact that some of the plumage features fall outside the range of variation seen in Short-eared Owls or Long-eared Owls separately, it is fitting to consider this bird as a hybrid between the two species. Males are the homogametic sex in birds, and therefore hybrid birds are more often males (McCarthy 2006). McCarthy (2006) reports hybridization in several genera of owls (Athene, Bubo, Ninox, Otus, Strix), but not in Asio. He includes a puzzling reference to Asio flammeus x A. otus in the Tytonidae, but this is simply based on a Danish checklist where the two species are listed together under "Asio otus/flammeus" [which does not refer to hybrids, but to owls unidentified as to species]. McCarthy (2006), quoting from Flieg (1971), also reports that a female Barn Owl (Tyto alba) held in captivity with a male Striped Owl (Asio clamator) produced eggs with developing embryos but the possibility that these embryos were parthenogenetic (see Olsen, 1962) was not raised. It must be noted that the American Ornithologists' Union (1998) currently assigns the Striped Owl to Pseudoscops, not to Asio.

CMNAV 92233 would fit in category B of Gilham and Gilham (1996) — when the hybrid parentage of an individual is not known from direct observation of the parents, but inferred from its appearance. It might be possible to investigate further the parentage of this specimen through DNA analyses, such as the ones used by Clark and Witt (2006) for hybrid hawks (*Buteo*).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Gay McDougall Gruner for the generous assistance and logistical support she provided to Keyes during a study of the Short-eared Owl collection at the Museum of Nature. Also, our thanks are extended to Kit Chubb for her relentless work for the welfare of birds, and for ensuring that data generated from her work were put to good use — including the preservation of potentially useful specimens.

Literature Cited

American Ornithologists' Union. 1998. Check-list of North American birds. 7th Edition. A.O.U., Washington, D.C.

Baker, K. 1993. Identification guide to European non-passerines. British Trust for Ornithology, Guide 24, Thetford, U.K.

Belterman, R.H.R. and **L.E.M. DeBoer**. 1984. A karyological study of 55 species of birds, including karyotypes of 39 species new to cytology. Genetica 65:39-82.

Clark, W.S. and C.C. Witt. 2006. First known specimen of a hybrid *Buteo*: Swainson's Hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*) X Roughlegged Hawk (*B. lagopus*) from Louisiana. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 118:42-52. **del Hoyo, J., A. Elliott** and **J. Sargatal**. 1999. Handbook of the birds of the world. Vol. 5. Lynx Edicions: Barcelona.

Flieg, M. 1971. Tytonidae X Strigidae cross produces fertile eggs. Auk 88:178.

Gilham, E. and **B. Gilham**. 1996. Hybrid ducks. B.L. Gilham: Wallington, U.K.

Godfrey, W.E. 1986. The birds of Canada. Revised Edition. National Museums of Canada: Ottawa.

Holt, D.W. and S.M. Leasure. 1993. Shorteared Owl (*Asio flammeus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 62. (A. Poole and F. Gill, Eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.

Marks, J.S., D.L. Evans and D.W. Holt. 1994. Long-eared Owl (*Asio otus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 133. (A. Poole and F. Gill, Eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.

McCarthy, E.M. 2006. Handbook of avian hybrids of the world. Oxford University Press: New York.

Olsen, M.W. 1962. The occurrence and possible significance of parthenogenesis in eggs of mated turkeys. Journal of Genetics 58:1-6.

Pyle, P. 1997. Identification guide to North American birds, part I. Slate Creek Press, Bolinas, California.

Randi, E., G. Fusco, R. Lorenzini and **F. Spina**. 1991. Allozyme divergence and phylogenetic relationships within the Strigiformes. Condor 93:295–301.

Voous, K.H. 1989. Owls of the Northern Hemisphere. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachussetts. Wink, M., H. Sauer-Gurth and M. Fuchs. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships in owls based on nucleotide sequences of mitochondrial and nuclear marker genes. Pp. 515-526

drial and nuclear marker genes. Pp. 515-526 in Raptors Worldwide, Sixth World conference on Birds of Prey and Owls (R.D. Chancellor and B.U. Meyburg, eds.). World Working Group on Birds of Prey, Berlin, Germany.

Wolters, H.E. 1975-82. Die vogelarten der erde. Parey, Hamburg, Germany.

Michel Gosselin, Canadian Museum of Nature, P.O. Box 3443, Station D, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6P4

Kristen Keyes, Migration Research Foundation and Avian Science and Conservation Centre, McGill University, 21,111 Lakeshore Road, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec H9X 3V9