Is bill length in curlews *Numenius* associated with foraging habitats and diet in non-breeding grounds?

PETER DANN

Department of Zoology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3052, Australia. Present address: Research Group, Phillip Island Nature Park, PO Box 97, Cowes, Phillip Island, Victoria, 3922, Australia. pdann@penguins.org.au

Keywords: curlew, Numenius, bill morphology, adaptation.

The eight members of the genus *Numenius* (curlews) show considerable intraspecific and interspecific variation in bill length (Hayman *et al.* 1986) which begs the question "can bill length be related to their respective foraging ecologies either during the breeding or non-breeding periods of their annual cycle?" Here I examine the association between bill length and foraging habitat and diet of *Numenius* curlews during non-breeding periods.

Numerius may be partitioned into three main groups on the basis of bill length. The first group comprising two species, minutus and borealis, which Burton (1986) argues are the ancestral type, has bill lengths of 40–50 mm. Both species in this group feed (or used to feed, borealis is thought to be extinct) exclusively in grasslands in the austral summer, minutus in NW Australia and borealis on the South American pampas respectively (Table 1) (Higgins & Davies 1996, Hayman et al. 1986). The second group of three species, phaeophus, tahitiensis and tenuirostris, have intermediate bill lengths of 70-90 mm and feed in both intertidal and coastal grassland areas in their non-breeding period (Hayman et al. 1986, Dann 1993, Cramp & Simmons 1983). The third group of three species (arquata, americanus & madagascariensis) have bills ranging from 120-185 mm and are found feeding in intertidal areas and coastal grasslands in nonbreeding periods (Table 1) (Cramp & Simmons 1983, Grinnell 1921, Higgins & Davies 1996, Dann 2000). Of these three species, *madagascariensis* is the least likely to be found feeding in non-tidal habitats in the non-breeding grounds and, in fact, rarely does so.

Hence there is some association between the relative lengths of bill in Numenius and foraging habitat in non-breeding periods (Table 1). Not surprisingly there are corresponding associations between bill length (and foraging habitat) and diet in non-breeding periods. The shorter-billed species are obligate grassland feeders in the austral summer eating mainly insects and seeds. The intermediate group frequent both coastal grasslands and intertidal habitats and consume insects in grasslands and crustaceans and molluscs in intertidal areas. The three species in the longer-billed group are either facultative grassland and intertidal feeders (arquata & americanus) or obligate intertidal feeders (madagascariensis). The longer-billed group feeds on crabs, shrimps, polychaetes and molluscs in intertidal habitats or, in the case of arquata & americanus, on insects or oligochaetes in grasslands. Davidson et al. (1986) noted that shorter bill length seemed to be associated with foraging on grasslands for two species (minutus and borealis) and also for males of one species (arquata). Townsend (1981) showed that it was mainly male (shorter billed sex) arguata that moved from mudflats to forage on pastures as temperatures fell in autumn.

Whether a relationship between morphology and foraging behaviour is adaptive can be assessed only if the evolution

Table 1.	The bill lengths ar	nd reported diets of the	eight species of Numenius.

Species	Bill length (mm) Male±s.d.	Bill length (mm) Female±s.d.	Diet in non-breeding period	Source
Little Curlew <i>N. minutus</i> Eskimo Curlew <i>N. borealis</i>	41.9±2.4 42-60 ^a	44.5±2.3	insects, berries and seeds ^h insects ^b , berries	Higgins & Davies 1996, ^h Jessop 2003 ^a Hayman <i>et al.</i> 1986, ^b Murphy 1936
Whimbrel N. phaeophus	76.5±3.8 78.6±3.1	84.6±3.6 ^j 86.9±3.9 ^k	molluscs, crustaceans ^j , insects and berries ^k	^j Dann 1993, ^k Cramp & Simmons 1983
Bristle-thighed Curlew N. tahitiensis	85.5±10.5	90	vegetable matter, crustaceans, insects	Hayman <i>et al.</i> 1986
Slender-billed Curlew N. tenuirostris	72.9±2.6	89.9±4.7	polychaetes, crabs, molluscs, insects	Cramp & Simmons 1983
Eurasian Curlew N. arquata	121±6.6	153±7.3,	polychaetes, crabs, molluscs, insects and oligochaetes	Cramp & Simmons 1983
Long-billed Curlew N. americanus	132.9±3.6 ^{c,1} (s.e.)	175.8±6.1 ^{c, 1} (s.e.)	crabs, shrimps, molluscs, oligochaetes, insects and berries ^d	^e Grinnell 1921, ^d Wickersham 1902, ^d Del Hoyo <i>et al.</i> 1996, ¹ Allen 1980
Eastern Curlew N. madagascariensis	150.9 ± 7.2^{f} 153.0 ± 8.1^{i}	183.6±8.8 ^f 183.1±9.7 ⁱ	crabs, shrimps ^e , polychaetes ^g	^e Dann 2000, ^f Barter 1990, ^g Taylor & Mackay 1999, ⁱ Rogers 1995



of morphological traits has occurred in parallel with behavioural traits (Brooks & McLennan 1991) and this can be achieved only within a phylogenetic framework (Losos & Miles 1994). The trend within Numenius of increasing bill length with decreasing reliance on "surface feeding or pecking" in grasslands and increasing importance of "probing" in intertidal areas suggests that long bills are an adaptation to exploiting deeply burrowing prey in soft sediments. It parallels a phylogenetic trend noted by Barbosa & Moreno (1999) for several other groups of waders whereby longer bills appear adaptively coupled to the use of a tactile foraging strategy and shorter bills to a visual strategy. The functional requirements of a tactile foraging strategy are: a high penetration capacity and a high examination capacity (i.e. remote touch system) (Zweers 1991, Zweers & Gerritsen 1997, Zweers & Van den Berge 1997). Unfortunately, there are no studies of the remote touch capacity of madagascar*iensis* (the longest-billed species) available.

Davidson et al. (1986) have argued that strong selection for bill length in curlews operates on the non-breeding grounds while Hale (1980) maintains that studies of morphological characters indicate that the breeding season is when competition for resources among waders is greatest. The evidence within Numenius supports the idea that longer bills are associated with a predominately tactile feeding strategy during non-breeding periods and thus supports the proposal of Davidson et al. (1986). There is a clear greater dependence on soft sediment burrowing prey in the longer-billed species which is most pronounced in *madagascariensis* (Eastern Curlew), the species with arguably the greatest sediment penetration capacity of any wader. Whether or not the available evidence supports the idea that this is an adaptation to increase their access to burrowing benthic prey in nonbreeding areas remains equivocal but highly suggestive.

I would like to thank Angus Martin and Graeme Watson, for their advice and encouragement. Iain Taylor, Alex Hartman, Ros Jessop and Peter Collins kindly commented on a draft of this paper. I am also grateful to Belinda Gillies, Walter Boles and Glenn Ingram for permission to examine the museum specimens of Eastern Curlew in their care.

- Allen, J. N. 1980. The Ecology and behaviour of the Long-billed Curlew in southeastern Washington. Wildlife Monographs No. 73.
- Barbosa, A. & Moreno, E. 1999. Hindlimb morphology and locomotion performance in waders. An evolutionary approach. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 67: 130–39.
- Barter, M. 1990. Morphometrics of the Eastern Curlew. Stilt 16: 36-42.

- Brooks, D. R. & McLennan, D. H. 1991. Phylogeny, ecology and behaviour. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Burton, P. J. K. 1986. Curlews' bills: some anatomical notes. *Bird Study* 33: 70.
- Cramp, S. & Simmons, K. E. L. 1983 The Birds of the Western Palearctic. Vol. III. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Dann, P. 1993. Abundance, diet and feeding behaviour of the Whimbrel Numenius phaeopus variegatus in Rhyll Inlet, Victoria. Corella 17: 52–57.
- **Dann, P.** 2000. The ecology of birds frequenting intertidal habitats in Western Port, Victoria. Unpublished PhD thesis. Pp 231.
- Dann, P. (in review). Diet and prey selection of non-breeding Eastern Curlew *Numenius madagascariensis* in southern Australia.
- Davidson, N. C., Townsend, D. J., Pienkowski, M. W. & Speakman, J. R. 1986. Why do curlews *Numenius* have decurved bills? *Bird Study* 33: 61–69.
- Del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargital, J. 1996. Handbook of the Birds of the World, Vol. 3. Hoatzin to auks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Grinnell, J. 1921. Concerning the status of the supposed two races of the Long-billed Curlew. *Condor* 23: 21–27.
- Hale, W. G. 1980. Waders. Collins, London.
- Hayman, P., Marchant, J. & Prater, T. 1986. Shorebirds: An identification guide to the waders of the world. Croom Helm, London.
- Higgins, P. & Davies, S. J. J. F. 1996. The Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Vol. 3. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- **Jessop, A.** Gut analysis of five wader species collected from the NW of Western Australia. *Stilt* 43: 3–13.
- Losos, J. B. & Miles, D. B. 1994. Adaption, constraint and the comparative method: Phylogenetic issues and methods. In Wainwright, P. C. and Reilly, S. M. (eds.) *Ecological morphology: Integrative organismal biology*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Murphy, R. C. 1936. Oceanic birds of South America. Vol 1. American Museum of Natural History, New York.
- Rogers, K. G. 1995. Eastern Curlew biometrics: based on bivariate separation of the sexes. *Stilt* 26: 23–34.
- Taylor, I. A. & Mackay, M. F. 1997. The effects of the "Iron Baron" oil spill on shorebirds in the Tamar Estuary. Johnstone Centre Report No 110. Charles Sturt University, Albury, New South Wales.
- Townsend, D. J. 1981. The importance of field feeding to the survival of wintering male and female Curlews *Numenius arquata* on the Tees estuary. In *Feeding and Survival Strategies of Estuarine Organisms*. Eds. N. V. Jones and W. J. Wolff. Pp 261–273. Plenum Press, New York.
- Wickersham, C. W. 1902. Sickle-billed Curlew. Auk 19: 353–356.
- Zweers, G. A. 1991. Pathways and space for evolution of feeding mechanisms in birds. In Dudley, C. (ed.) *The Unity of Evolutionary Biology*. pp. 530–547. Discoroides Press, Portland, Oregon.
- Zweers, G. A. & Gerritsen, A. F. C. 1997. Transitions from pecking to probing mechanisms in waders. *Neth. J. Zool.* 47: 161–208.
- Zweers, G. A. & Van den Berge, J. C. 1997. Evolutionary transitions in the trophic system of the wader-waterfowl complex. *Neth. J. Zool.* 47: 255–287.

* * *

