

OCCASIONAL PAPERS OF THE MUSEUM OF ZOOLOGY

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

THREE NEW SUBSPECIES OF BIRDS FROM HONDURAS

By BURT L. MONROE, JR.

IN THE COURSE of investigating the distribution of the birds of the Central American republic of Honduras, I have examined and critically studied nearly all the Honduran material now extant, including specimens in the British Museum (Natural History). Among the considerable number of Honduran specimens available in American museums, there are more than 2,000 specimens collected in 1962 and 1963 by Richard and Jean Graber, J. Alan Feduccia, Rose S. Monroe, and myself. As one of the initial results of my study of Honduran material I am here describing three new subspecies.

Family FALCONIDAE

BUTEOGALLUS SUBTILIS RHIZOPHORAE new subspecies

Type.—Adult male; no. 28923, Louisiana State University Museum of Zoology; 4 mi. SW San Lorenzo, Department of Valle, Honduras; 5 October 1962; J. Alan Feduccia; original no. JAF 706.

Characters.—Differs from B. s. subtilis (Thayer and Bangs)¹ and B. s. bangsi (Swann)² in the lack of rufous or buff on the primaries and secondaries of adults, the remiges being wholly black except for the white or grayish white area at the base of the primaries and for faint gray mottling on the ventral surface of the inner webs of the proximal secondaries. Differs

¹Urubitinga subtilis Thayer and Bangs, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 46, 1905: 94 (Gorgona Island, sw. Colombia).

²Urubitinga anthracina bangsi Swann, Synop. Accip., ed. 2, 1922: 98 (San Miguel Island, Bay of Panama).

from B. s. utilensis Twomey³ only in smaller average size. Differs from B. anthracinus (Deppe)⁴ in much smaller size and in the lack of rufous on the secondaries

Measurements (in millimeters).—Males (5 specimens): wing (chord), 324-345 (336); tail, 179.5-196.0 (184.7); culmen (chord from cere), 24.6-26.2 (25.7). Females (5 specimens): wing (chord), 336-357 (350); tail, 189.5-200.0 (196.0); culmen (chord from cere), 26.1-27.9 (27.3).

Distribution.—Confined to the vicinity of mangrove swamps on the Pacific coast of El Salvador and Honduras (Chiapas records of subtilis are probably referable to this race); specimens from Guanacaste Province in northwestern Costa Rica are intermediate between rhizophorae and bangsi.

Remarks.—The populations of the genus Buteogallus inhabiting the mangroves of the Pacific side of Middle and South America are currently treated as an ecological race (subtilis) of the species anthracinus. Smaller size has been regarded as the primary distinguishing characteristic of subtilis. After observing both anthracinus and subtilis on the Pacific coast of Honduras in 1962 and 1963 and examining large series representing all described forms of the genus Buteogallus, I feel that the relationships in the group are best expressed by treating subtilis as a full species. The morphological evidence does not indicate any intergradation between anthracinus and subtilis despite the fact the two are in contact. In Honduras I have observed subtilis foraging several miles from mangroves in areas inhabited by anthracinus. However, extensive field work would be required to determine if complete reproductive isolation is indeed a fact.

I am unable to detect any constant geographical variation in 118 specimens (86 adults) of *B. anthracinus* examined in the present study. These specimens were taken throughout the range of anthracinus from Texas and Sonora to Venezuela, and on St. Vincent Island, Lesser Antilles. The race *B. a. cancrivorus* (Clark)⁵ might conceivably be recognized on the basis of the average greater amount of white or buff basally on the feathers of the nape and upper back. But this character is subject to much age variation, and adults throughout the range of the species may be of either type. All adults exam-

³Buteogallus anthracinus utilensis Twomey, Ann. Carnegie Mus., 33, 1956: 387 (Utila I., Honduras).

^{*}Falco anthracinus W. Deppe, Preis-Verz. Säugeth. Vög. . . . Mexico, 1830: 3 (Veracruz).

⁶Urubitinga anthracina cancrivora Clark, Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 18, 1905: 63 (Barrouville, St. Vincent, Lesser Antilles).

ined possess at least faint indications of rufous mottling ventrally on the inner webs of the secondaries; this character, however, is of little value in immatures because of the extensive amount of white or buff on the secondaries in that plumage, regardless of geographical derivation. A comparison of young birds was not undertaken during the present work.

Populations of B. subtilis, in contrast to B. anthracinus, exhibit considerable geographical variation, a fact overlooked by most recent workers. Coloration of the secondaries in Pacific coast populations of subtilis varies in a general north-south cline from gray mottling through rufous mottling (similar to that of anthracinus) to an extreme rufous condition involving extensive rufous on both webs of most or all remiges. Birds from Guanacaste Province, northwestern Costa Rica, seem to be closest to the newly described form, but many show signs of rufous tint in the mottling on the secondaries and are, therefore, considered intermediate between B. s. rhizo-phorae and B. s. bangsi. Specimens from eastern Costa Rica and Panama possess distinct rufous mottling on the secondaries, and are similar in this respect to B. anthracinus. This condition might be interpreted as an approach to anthracinus, but I believe it to be a matter of clinal variation within B. subtilis.

Further evidence of the lack of interbreeding between B. s. bangsi and anthracinus is indicated by the measurements made by Wetmore (personal communication) of a large series of Panamanian specimens. Despite the great range of variation within each species in Panama, there is no overlap between the two species in wing measurement. In fact, if the population from Utila Island, Honduras, is disregarded for the moment, the only overlap in wing measurement between B. anthracinus and any race of B. subtilis exists in a few scattered specimens (the smallest wing of an anthracinus that I measured was 365 mm, the largest of a subtilis was 369 mm). Aldrich (in Aldrich and Bole, 1937, Sci. Publ. Cleveland Mus. Nat. Hist., 7: 44-49) reports large examples of subtilis (wing measurements up to 380 mm) in the Guanacaste region of Costa Rica, but I believe examination of specimens of known ecological origin (i.e., mangrove or nonmangrove) and of known morphology (i.e., type of wing mottling) will show these measurements to be based on individuals of anthracinus, as well as of subtilis. In the Guanacaste region, as in southern Honduras, it seems likely that anthracinus would occur in close ecological proximity to subtilis and that the earlier identification of all Guanacaste birds as subtilis was probably based on locality alone.

Specimens from eastern Panama show an approach to nominate subtilis in the appearance of indistinct mottling on the outer webs of the primaries as well as in having the inner (and sometimes outer) webs of the secondaries distinctly rufous. Ecuadorian and Colombian specimens of subtilis possess a bright rufous patch in the folded wing, resulting from the extensive rufous in the outer webs of the primaries. The rufous is also very extensive on the secondaries, being present on both webs, and is visible dorsally as well as ventrally.

The race utilensis from Utila Island, Honduras, on the Caribbean side, constitutes a puzzling situation. In every respect except size it is a duplicate of the newly described thizophorae from the Pacific slope; it is primarily a mangrove inhabitant (although ranging throughout the island, of which only an area three miles in diameter is devoid of mangroves). The size (wing measurements of a series of six males ranged from 346.0 to 383.0, mean 367.5; two females measured 365.0 and 381.5) is intermediate between anthracinus and other races of subtilis. But I do not think these facts necessarily indicate intergradation, hence conspecificity, between subtilis and anthracinus. The habitat preference and the mottling of the secondaries point toward a relationship between the Utila birds and B. subtilis and I therefore consider utilensis a race of that species.

Two other forms of the genus may enter into the nomenclatorial picture, depending upon one's taxonomic philosophy. The Cuban B. gundlachii (Cabanis)⁶ is certainly closely related to the subtilis group; the small size and mangrove habitat preference indicate such a relationship. However, for the present, I am considering gundlachii a distinct species on the basis of its brown plumage and large white patch in the primaries. Should it be considered conspecific with subtilis, gundlachii would replace subtilis as the name of the species.

B. aequinoctialis (Gmelin)⁷ also may be related to the subtilis group. It could be considered the rufous extreme, this color being present even on the contour feathers, but the structural characteristics of this bird (cf. Friedmann, 1950, Bull. U. S. Natl. Mus., 50, pt. xi: 396) are sufficiently distinct to justify maintaining it as a full species.

On the basis of the foregoing considerations, the forms of the genus Buteogallus would stand as follows:

⁶Hypomorphnus Gundlachii Cabinis, Jour. f. Orn., 2, 1855 (1854): lxxx (Cuba). ⁷[Falco] aequinocsialis Gmelin, Syst. Nat., 1, pt. 1, 1788: 265 (Cayenne).

Buteogallus anthracinus: Resident from southern Arizona and southern Texas south through Mexico and Central America to northern Colombia and northern Venezuela; Trinidad; St. Vincent, Lesser Antilles.

Buteogallus subtilis utilensis: Confined to Utila Island, in the Bay Islands group, Honduras.

Buteogallus subtilis rhizophorae: Resident in the mangroves of the Pacific coast of El Salvador and Honduras (probably also Chiapas, Mexico); intergrading with B. s. bangsi in northwestern Costa Rica.

Buteogallus subtilis bangsi: Resident in the mangroves of the Pacific coast of Costa Rica and Panama (including the Pearl Islands), intergrading with B. s. rhizophorae in northwestern Costa Rica and with B. s. subtilis in eastern Panama.

Buteogallus subtilis: Resident in the mangroves of the Pacific coastal islands (and probably also the adjacent mainland) of western Colombia (Gorgona Island) and Ecuador (Puna Island).

Buteogallus gundlachii: Resident in the mangrove swamps of Cuba and the Isle of Pines.

Buteogallus aequinoctialis: Resident in the swampy forests of coastal South America from eastern Venezuela (Orinoco delta) to eastern Brazil (south to Paraná).

Specimens examined.—Ten (5 males and 5 females) from El Salvador (Puerto del Triunfo and Barra de Santiago) and Honduas (4 mi. SW San Lorenzo).

Family TROGLODYTIDAE

UROPSILA LEUCOGASTRA HAWKINSI new subspecies

Type.—Adult male; no. 134231, Carnegie Museum; Coyoles, Department of Yoro, Honduras; 29 June 1950; Arthur C. Twomey and Roland W. Hawkins.

Characters.—Differs from all other races of Uropsila leucogastra except

U. l. brachyura (Lawrence)⁸ in possessing distinctly barred under tail coverts and rectrices. Differs from brachyura in being a much darker and grayer brown above; in a few specimens crown even darker, contrasting slightly with the back. Darker above than any race except U. l. musica (Nelson),⁹ which is a much more rufous bird. No specimen examined of any race other than hawkinsi exhibits a contrasting crown and back.

Measurements (in millimeters).—Males (7 specimens): wing (chord), 50.0-55.4 (51.2); tail, 28.0-30.9 (29.2); tarsus, 17.7-19.9 (18.7), culmen (chord from nostril), 8.8-10.0 (9.5). Females (3 specimens): wing (chord), 48.2-48.7 (48.5); tail, 28.9 (frayed in two specimens); tarsus, 17.2-18.4 (17.9); culmen (chord from nostril), 9.0-9.3 (9.2).

Specimens examined.—Ten (7 males and 3 females), all from the type locality.

Family ICTERIDAE

AGELAIUS PHOENICEUS BREVIROSTRIS new subspecies

Type.—Adult male; no. 30249, Louisiana State University Museum of Zoology; 4 miles north of Río Lindo, Department of Cortés, Honduras; 29 November 1962; Burt L. Monroe, Jr.; original no. BLM 3393.

Characters.—Differs from A. p. richmondi Nelson¹⁰ in having a shorter bill (especially noticeable in the male, in which there is no overlap in measurements between brevirostris and richmondi) and, in the female, in averaging more yellowish and in being less distinctly streaked in the breast region. Differs from other races in the same manner as does richmondi, thus being decidedly smaller than either A. p. grinnelli Howell¹¹ or A. p. costaricensis van Rossem, ¹² the two other geographically adjacent races.

Measurements (in millimeters) — Males (9 specimens): wing (chord), 108.6-112.9 (110.3); tail, 72.8-82.3 (77.0); tarsus, 26.4-31.1 (28.8);

⁸Troglodytes brachyurus Lawrence, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 4, 1887: 67 (Tekanto, Yucatán).

^oHemiura leucogastra musica Nelson, Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 16, 1903: 159 (Teapa, Tabasco).

¹⁰ Agelaius phoeniceus richmondi Nelson, Auk, 14, 1897: 58 (Tlalcotalpam, Vera Cruz, Mexico).

¹¹Agelaius phoeniceus grinnelli Howell, Auk, 34, 1917: 196 (San Sebastian, El Salvador).

¹²Agelaius phoeniceus costaricensis van Rossem, Condor, 32, 1930: 162 (Bebedéro, Guanacaste, Costa Rica).

culmen (chord from nostril), 15.6-16.3 (16.0). Females (8 specimens): wing (chord), 82.1-94.5 (88.2); tail, 65.5-73.1 (68.5); tarsus, 24.5-26.9 (25.5); culmen (chord from nostril), 13.5-14.4 (13.9).

Distribution.—Caribbean slope of Honduras (breeding in marshes around Lake Yojoa, Department of Cortés, and along the Río Aguán near Coyoles, Department of Yoro) and southeastern Nicaragua (Río San Juan near San Carlos).

Remarks.—The bill length is the only mensural character by which the new race differs from *richmondi*, but the difference is rather striking, especially in the male. The bills of a series of ten males and four females of *richmondi* from Tabasco, Quintana Roo, and British Honduras were measured, with the following results: males, 16.8-18.8 (17.7); females, 14.1-15.0 (14.6).

Specimens examined.—Seventeen (9 males and 8 females) from Honduras (Coyoles; Lake Yojoa; Agua Azul; 1 mi. W Jaral; 4 mi. N Río Lindo) and Nicaragua (Río San Juan near San Carlos).

For the loan and use of comparative material I am grateful to the following: Thomas R. Howell, University of California at Los Angeles; J. William Hardy, Moore Laboratory of Zoology, Occidental College; Emerson Kemsies, University of Cincinnati; Austin L. Rand and Emmet R. Blake, Chicago Natural History Museum; Kenneth C. Parkes, Carnegie Museum; Dean Amadon and Eugene Eisenmann, American Museum of Natural History; Raymond A. Paynter, Jr., Museum of Comparative Zoology; James Bond, Academy of Natural Sciences at Philadelphia; Philip S. Humphrey and Alexander Wetmore, United States National Museum; and Oliver L. Austin, Jr., and J. C. Dickinson, Jr., Florida State Museum, University of Florida.

Field work in Honduras in 1962 and 1963 was supported by the Louisiana State University Museum of Zoology, mainly through the personal contributions to that institution by Mr. John S. McIlhenny of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Mr. Dulaney Logan of Louisville, Kentucky, and Mr. Clarence J. Schoo of Springfield, Massachusetts. Travel to various museums for study of Honduran material was also sponsored by Mr. Schoo. Examination and comparison of specimens at the American Museum of Natural History in the summer of 1963 was supported through a grant from the Chapman Memorial Fund. Indispensable cooperation was provided by the management and personnel of the United Fruit Company in the course of the year that I spent in Honduras.